

For Reference

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THIS ROOM

EX LIBRIS
UNIVERSITATIS
ALBERTIANÆ





Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2019 with funding from
University of Alberta Libraries

https://archive.org/details/Johansen1977_0

T H E U N I V E R S I T Y O F A L B E R T A

RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR J. G. JOHANSEN
TITLE OF THESIS THEME, STRUCTURE AND IMAGERY IN
..... THE OLD ENGLISH JUNIUS MANUSCRIPT
DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED MASTER OF ARTS
YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED 1977

Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

THEME, STRUCTURE AND IMAGERY IN THE
OLD ENGLISH JUNIUS MANUSCRIPT

by



J.G. JOHANSEN

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH
IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE
OF MASTER OF ARTS

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

FALL, 1977

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and
recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research,
for acceptance, a thesis entitled
.....THEME, STRUCTURE AND IMAGERY IN THE.....
.....OLD ENGLISH JUNIUS MANUSCRIPT.....
submitted byJ. G. JOHANSEN.....
in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Master ofARTS.....

Abstract

In this thesis I intend to examine aspects of the poems in the Junius Manuscript which argue for their conceptual and poetic unity based on the theme that it is right to serve and praise God, and that such praise and service will be rewarded.

After a brief introduction to the history and composition of the manuscript in Chapter I, the thesis' second chapter will study ways in which the single theme is overtly expressed in all four poems. Chapter III will consider how the manuscript's overall poetic structure serves the didactic purpose of the poets/compiler(s) in expressing their theme. Finally I will examine selected strands of imagery prominent in the manuscript and demonstrate their role in expressing the prevailing theme.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deep and heartfelt thanks to those who in their various ways have made it possible for me to write this thesis.

Thank you, first of all, to Dr. L.N. McKill for his friendship, his kind encouragement, and his efforts as instructor, guide, and supervisor. Thank you as well to Sheldon Tate and Agnes Hubert for their invaluable aid and friendly support throughout all stages of my Old English studies. Thank you, finally, to my parents, the Woodmans, the Logans (especially Linda), and most of all, to Dorothy, swæsne gebeddan, wifa selost. Without their active assistance and inspiration in the final days of preparation this thesis might never have been completed.

If this work merits any praise let it be given to the Lord God who has granted me the strengths and abilities to see the task through.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERT STATEMENTS OF THEME	8
III. THEME AND STRUCTURE	33
IV. THE IMAGERY OF HALL, TROOP, AND TREASURE	66
V. THE IMAGERY OF EXILE	98
VI. CONCLUSION	126
* * *	
FOOTNOTES	130
BIBLIOGRAPHY	158

Chapter I: Introduction

The Junius Manuscript entered literary history in 1651 when it was presented as a gift by James Ussher, Archbishop of Armagh, to Francis Junius a Dutch scholar residing in England. The whereabouts and compilation of the manuscript prior to this date are unknown.¹ Junius published the manuscript in Amsterdam in 1655 and at that time attributed its contents to Cædmon on the basis of Bede's report in the Ecclesiastical History (IV, 24) of the kind of poetry Cædmon composed. Upon Junius' death in 1677, the manuscript was left to the Bodleian Library, Oxford, where it remains. The manuscript consists of 116 parchment folios in 17 gatherings averaging 8 leaves each, though some have fewer because leaves have been lost. The pages are numbered from 1 to 229 in Junius' hand.²

Officially entitled Oxford, Bodleian Library, Junius 11, the manuscript contains four poems which have been called Genesis, Exodus, Daniel, and Christ and Satan by modern editors; the poems have no titles in the manuscript. Their authors are unknown, as are the identities of the four scribes and the compiler(s). The first three poems are thought to have been written in the earlier part of the eighth century,³ whereas Christ and Satan is generally regarded as having been composed some 100 - 150 years

later.⁴ Scholars generally believe that the three scribes responsible for writing down Christ and Satan were engaged in that task somewhat later than the scribe of the first three poems,⁵ and date the final compilation of the manuscript to about the beginning of the eleventh century.⁶ Insofar as these matters affect this thesis, they will be discussed in closer detail in Chapter 3.

Genesis, the first poem in the manuscript, draws its subject matter from the biblical Genesis up to Abraham's offering of Isaac at Chapter 22, verse 18; its 2,936 lines occupy the first 142 pages of the manuscript. Within Genesis another poem composed originally in Old Saxon and dealing with the Fall of the Angels and the Temptation and Fall of Adam and Eve has been interpolated. This work, called Genesis B or the Later Genesis to distinguish it from the poem in which it is embedded (Genesis A), occupies 618 lines (ll. 235 - 852) on pages 13 through 40 of the manuscript.⁷ Its separateness and Old Saxon origin were postulated by Eduard Sievers in 1875 on the basis of differences compared with the surrounding work in its vocabulary, meter, and poetic style. In 1894 Karl Zangemeister discovered a portion of Old Saxon verse in the Vatican Library, 25 1/2 lines of which correspond almost exactly to lines 791 - 817 of Genesis. This discovery confirmed Sievers' hypothesis, and the entire episode has since come to be one of the classic anecdotes of Old English

scholarship. How this portion of a ninth-century Old Saxon poem⁸ found its way into the earlier Old English work is unknown but has been the subject of much speculation which need not occupy us here.⁹

The manuscript's second poem, Exodus, focuses on the departure of the Israelites from Egypt and their crossing of the Red Sea. It is a poem of 590 lines on pages 143 through 171 of the manuscript, and draws most of its material from Chapters 13 and 14 of the biblical source. Lines 362 - 446, however, consist of a backward glimpse at Noah and Abraham and are in turn interrupted by a glance forward to David and Solomon (ll. 389-96). The Old English poem Daniel draws from the first five chapters of the biblical book up to Belshazzar's Feast; it includes the Song of Azarias (ll. 280 - 332) and the Song of the Three Children (ll. 362 - 415) which have since been taken from this portion of the biblical Daniel and relegated to the Apocrypha. Daniel is on pages 173 through 212 of the manuscript and is 764 lines in length. Christ and Satan is slightly shorter (729 lines) and occupies the final 16 pages. It draws from Church legend as well as Scripture to present a chronologically disjointed account of the lamentations of the fallen angels, the Harrowing of Hell, Christ's Temptation and Doomsday.

The manuscript which contains these four poems is quite elaborate in comparison with the three other major

Old English poetic manuscripts, the Vercelli Book, the Exeter Book, and the Beowulf manuscript (London, British Museum, Cotton Vitellius A. xv). The first eighty-eight pages show regular illustrations by two separate artists of the accompanying text and in the remainder of the manuscript spaces left for illustrations remain blank.¹⁰ The manuscript is further enhanced by decorative capitals, of zoomorphic design, which are present consistently up to page 73.¹¹ There are other such decorative capitals on pages 79, 143, and 226,¹² and spaces are left for them on pages 146, 148, and 149, suggesting that it was intended that the entire manuscript be adorned by such capitals. This sort of embellishment is, as we have said, unique among surviving Old English poetic manuscripts, and one would think it unlikely that so much effort should have been expended if the manuscript were not seen as something more than a chance collection of works whose only relationship is the fact that all have Scriptural and/or Apocryphal sources.

I shall argue that indeed a greater overall unity than this does exist. My reading of the poems suggests that, as with the plays of the later mystery cycles, the works assembled to form this manuscript were seen to be depicting selected engagements from the cosmic war that began with Lucifer's rebellion against God and was extended from Heaven and eternity into time and middangeard 'middle-

earth' by Satan's successful Temptation of Adam and Eve.¹³ The Old English poets, like John Milton, see this war, "supernal Grace contending/ With sinfulness of Men,"¹⁴ as the fabric from which all events in history, including present history, are cut. The poets and compiler(s) of the Junius Manuscript are concerned to emphasize that it is of absolute importance to one's immediate, as well as eternal, well-being to be on the right side as this war is fought out on earth and in time. This then, is their single theme: those who are loyal to God and praise him, as is right, will prosper and be protected against their enemies; those whose allegiance is with Satan, on the other hand, will endure suffering and misery in this world and the next.

The second chapter of this thesis will show that throughout all four poems of the Junius Manuscript the audience is presented with statements of the theme which are so explicit that resorting to external sources in order to understand the meaning of the poems is quite unnecessary. These statements come, as we shall see, from various perspectives; some are voiced by the poet, some by his persona, the narrator, and others come from central characters whose points of view we can accept.

Chapter III deals with the overall structure of the Junius Manuscript. I shall attempt to demonstrate that the New Testament subject matter of Christ and Satan serves to

explicate the spiritual significance of the various conflicts recounted in the first three Old Testament poems. It does this by providing archetypes of these conflicts as they have been undergone by God (Christ) and Lucifer (Satan). As God triumphs in his battles with his arch-enemy, so men who remain loyal to him are able to defeat their enemies, whose allegiance is with Satan. There is no middle-ground in these poems: men are either for God, or against him and with Satan. The structural movement in the first three poems and in Christ and Satan is from eternity into time. This movement draws the attention of the audience to their own situation, in time, leading them to see it as analogous to those of the biblical sources. The parallelism of movement makes the connection between the first three poems of the Junius Manuscript and the last, and explains the chronological ordering of the final work.

The last two chapters will show how the diction of heroic and exilic imagery is used by the poets to depict the situation of men in the world, and to draw even sharper the absolute distinction between those men who are loyal to God in the cosmic struggle and those who are not. Men who remain loyal to God are shown to be thanes receiving treasure and protection from their Lord. Exilic imagery and diction which ironically inverts all the positive things that heroic imagery associates with the hall and the comitatus are used to portray the fortunes of those who

foolishly follow Satan. Indeed, Satan himself is presented in these terms. Furthermore, the Germanic concept of exile is fused with the Augustinian idea that Christians are only pilgrims in this world. to show that God's loyal retainers on earth, though they prosper, have their real home in the hall of Heaven.

By examining the fabric of the poetry along these lines, and without pretending to be either exhaustive or definitive, the chapters which follow will show that there is reason for considering the Junius Manuscript poems as substantially more than an attempt "to discover an attractive way of telling stories from the scriptures," as a recent popular account of Old English literature calls them.¹⁵ At the same time, it should become apparent that the value of Genesis, Exodus, Daniel, and Christ and Satan as art does not rest upon their expression of external matters, such as the spirit of pagan Germania or the teachings of the Church Fathers, but upon the web of words which comprises them and makes them poetry.

Chapter II: Overt Statements of Theme

In St. Augustine's view the purpose of all serious literature is to express the New Law, the rule of charity. Examination and evaluation of all figurative works, therefore, has to seek the spiritual meaning which is consonant with this purpose. The discovery of such spiritual meaning hidden in the husk of the literal narrative is the source of aesthetic pleasure; the excellence of the work and the artist resides in how cleverly the spiritual fruit is concealed. In an influential book, Doctrine and Poetry, Bernard Huppé seeks to demonstrate the value of Old English poetry (and in particular, that of the so-called Cædmonian poems with which this thesis deals) by showing how it is composed in accordance with these principles of Christian poetics formulated by Augustine, most explicitly in De Doctrina Christiana.¹ Huppé justifies his approach by arguing that the Augustinian idea of poetry was "clear and definite" and "subscribed to by all Christians" in the Middle Ages. "Since the body of OE poetry is Christian,"² it is most reasonable to seek the meaning of Old English poems by applying the methods recommended by Augustine.³

In accordance with Augustine's principles, the Fathers of the Church detected spiritual meanings in the Old Testament sources of the poems in the Junius Manuscript.

In Huppé's view, these spiritual meanings are the key to understanding and appreciating the poems properly. Thus, only an awareness of the allegorical interpretations of the Fathers will enable us, for example, to perceive a unifying theme that accounts for the interpolation of Genesis B in Genesis A.⁴ Huppé likewise believes that Exodus "must be understood . . . in the light of certain exegetical concepts developed by the Fathers,"⁵ and that Daniel, like the two poems which precede it, has an "apparent reliance on biblical symbolism."⁶ The problematic structure of Christ and Satan, he says, "like that of Genesis A, rests on an understanding of the underlying meaning of biblical narrative."⁷

I am not attempting to deny that the authors of Genesis, Exodus, Daniel, and Christ and Satan were familiar with the writings of the Church Fathers and the theories of Augustine regarding the nature and purpose of poetry, or that a knowledge of the *Patrologia* and medieval hermeneutics might be useful to an audience of these poems. But to depend on such external materials for one's understanding of a poem's meaning may blind one to what is readily accessible on the work's literal level. A brief example from Huppé's reading of Genesis A should be sufficient to demonstrate the hazards of such dependence. The Genesis-poet refers to the raven which Noah releases to search for signs of land, as se feonde 'the fiend/the enemy'

(1447a).⁸ Huppé refers to Bede and concludes that in Genesis A this epithet is intended to show that the raven is a symbol for "those who dwell in Babylon, the enemies of God, and those who refuse the way of Redemption, which leads to Jerusalem."⁹ The epithet is, however, justified from the context of the passage in which it appears. Given the raven's traditional role as a beast of battle,¹⁰ its attraction to floating corpses (1447) is entirely in keeping with its normally unsavoury character in Old English poetry. Because the Flood-waters have been described as a ravaging enemy (1381b - 88) of such great violence that Noah must be protected from it by God (1328 - 32a, 1389b - 91, 1407 - 10), the raven's presence, feasting on the victims of the Flood, is not unexpected or inappropriate. Since the raven is sweart 'black' (1441b, 1449b) and salwigfeðera 'sallow-feathered' (1448a), it is implicitly identified with the Flood-waters, sweart 'black' (1375a, 1414a) and won 'dark' (1379a, 1430a, 1462a), as an active enemy of Noah. Se feonde, therefore, is effective as an epithet (with obvious demonic associations) to describe the raven. The effectiveness of the description is enhanced since it is preceded by an account of Noah's feelings of constraint in the Ark and longing to get out (1431b - 35). In failing to return to Noah the raven in effect deserts him and is the more despicable on that account. Thus we see that it is unnecessary to resort

solely to external sources in order to understand the poet's description of the raven as se feonde. By approaching the poem only through external commentaries on the biblical source, Huppé obscures the fact that a clear meaning is apparent on the literal level of the poem itself.¹¹

In my view the best guides to the meaning of these poems occur overtly within the poems themselves. Comment by the poet-narrator on the narrative action, explicit addresses by the poet to his audience, and statements made by central characters in the poems all provide clear indications of the theme and intent of the poems. There can be no doubt that the poems are unabashedly didactic and homiletic, having been composed to teach moral and spiritual truths and to inculcate right action in their audience. To this end the poet often speaks to us (and any audience) directly as if he is one of our number, telling us that our period is like that of the poems, the same forces threaten us, the same source of solace is near, and the same choices are available. The biblical exempla provided in the poems make clear what these choices and their consequences are. In the event that we have not learned from the stories themselves, the poet as narrator often comments upon them in order to make their point clear. In addition, significant characters, whose point of view we can accept as valid because of their nature and situation,

frequently voice these choices and state what consequences follow. Their comments are addressed directly to audiences within the poems but speak clearly, if indirectly, to the audience without.

Some of these overt statements of theme concern themselves only with those attitudes and actions proper to men, but most refer as well to the reward (or punishment) granted by God for such proper (or improper) behaviour. Those actions or attitudes seen in a positive light are also reinforced negatively: their absence, or the presence of their opposites, is lamented, as their presence, or the absence of their opposites, is celebrated. In the first case misfortune and punishment follow, both temporally and eternally; in the second prosperity, blessing and protection from enemies on earth and in Heaven are the result.

The first explicit statement of theme occurs in the very first lines of Genesis and therefore of the Junius Manuscript as a whole:

Us is riht micel ðæt we rodera weard,
wereda wuldorcining, wordum herigen,
modum lufien! (Gen. 1 - 3a)

It is very right for us that we praise in words,
laud in our thoughts, the guardian of the skies,
glory-king of hosts!

Before anything else is said and prior to the presentation of any narrative, the poet addresses the audience directly to tell them it is riht micel 'very right' (1a) that they herigen 'praise' and lufien 'laud' God. The audience is in-

cluded with the poet in the first person plural of the pronoun us 'us' (1a). In the passage itself no mention is made of punishment or reward, but in the narrative of the revolt and expulsion of the angels which follows (9b - 81) the fate of those who do what is right is contrasted with that of those like Lucifer who cease to do so.

In Exodus also praising God is commended to the Israelite host by an anonymous hildecalla 'herald' (252b):

Eow is lar godes
 abroden of breostum. Ic on beteran ræd,
 pæt ge gewurðien wuldres aldor,
 and eow liffrean lissa bidde,
 sigora gesynto, pær ge siðien.
 (Ex. 268b - 72)

The teaching of God is broken from your breast.
 I give you better counsel, that you honour the
 prince of glory, and ask the Lord of life for
 kindnesses, success in victories, wherever you
 travel.

The Israelites are advised in a time of adversity to change their disregard of God's teaching which has "broken" from their breasts and turn to praising and honouring him (270). When these lines are spoken the Israelites are at the nadir of their fortunes, caught between the sea and the advancing Egyptians. They are counselled to pray for God's kindness, and success in battle, with the understanding that such action will restore them to the right relationship with God and result in their requests being granted. The rest of the poem demonstrates how their praise is rewarded and their prayers are answered.

In Daniel the value of praising God is recognized by Nebuchadnezzar after he has witnessed the preservation of Annanias, Azarias and Misael:

"We gesawon
 pæt he wið cwealme gebearh cnihtum on ofne,
 lacende lig, pam þe his lof bæron;
 forþam he is ana ece drihten,
 dema ælmihtig, se ðe him dom forgeaf,
 spowende sped, pam þe his spel berað."
 (Dan. 473b - 78)

"We saw that he protected the youths in the oven, the playing flame, against death, those [youths] who bore his praise; therefore he alone is eternal Lord, Almighty Judge, he who gave glory, thriving success, to those who bear his story."

Having condemned the youths to the fiery furnace (224 - 31) as punishment for remaining steadfast to their God and refusing to bow down to the golden idol he has erected (188 - 208), Nebuchadnezzar is amazed to see a fourth being in the furnace and the youths preserved from the flames and praising God in their midst. In these lines Nebuchadnezzar addresses his subjects in explanation of the miracle: the Three Youths were preserved because they praised their God (475b) and bore witness to him (477b) even in the midst of the fire. Their preservation proves to Nebuchadnezzar that their God is the only true and eternal God. The Three Youths are rewarded for their right action, just as the Israelites in Exodus are granted God's aid and protection against the Egyptians.

Nebuchadnezzar and his golden idol become a demonic inversion of the true God. Acting on behalf of the golden

idol, Nebuchadnezzar demands praise and punishes those who refuse in a Hell-like furnace. But the preservation of the youths and the destruction of his own followers (250 - 51a, 265 - 67, 343 - 44) demonstrate the essential powerlessness of Nebuchadnezzar and his idol. His inability to protect those who praise and serve the golden idol (and by extension himself) markedly contrasts with the ana ece drihten 'one eternal Lord' (476b) who shields those who praise him and who destroys not them but their enemies.

In Exodus, the Israelites who praise God (547b, 576b) are delivered from the hands of the Egyptians. Azarias (Dan. 281b ff.) and the Three Youths in unison (357b ff.) praise God and as a result are rewarded by being delivered from the flames.¹² Angels who praise the Lord (Gen. 15b) enjoy the condition of primal bliss in Heaven before Lucifer's revolt, and in Christ and Satan the host of the blessed in Heaven is depicted praising God (221b, 659b). Both before and after human history, before the advent of sin and after its defeat, praising God is shown to be very right; to be, indeed, an inherent part of the eternal bliss of Heaven.

Explicit statements are also made commending loyalty and obedience to God. Daniel, for example, begins with an account of the prosperity of the Hebrews after their return from the Egyptian captivity,¹³ followed by this comment from the narrator:

penden þæt folc mid him hiera fæder wære
 healdan woldon, wæs him hyrde god,
 heofonrices weard, halig drihten,
 wuldres waldend. (Dan. 10 - 13a)

As long as that people would hold [remain
 loyal to] their fathers' covenant with him, God,
 the Guardian of the Heavenly Kingdom, the Holy
 Lord, the Ruler of Glory, was a protector for
 them.

The Genesis B-poet's commentary on Adam and Eve is similar:

Heo wæron leof gode
 ðenden heo his halige word healdan woldon.
 (Gen. 244b - 245)

They were beloved of God as long as they would
 hold [be true to] his holy word.

Both comments are voiced by the narrator to emphasize the significance of what has gone before: in the first case, as we have said, the well-being of the Hebrews (Dan. 1 - 9a); in the second, Adam's and Eve's piety (Gen. 237 - 38a), lack of sorrow (242b - 43), and obedience to God (243b - 44a). As long as they hold to the word of God, to the covenant, both groups are blessed; the Hebrews are protected by God, their hyrde 'guardian' (11b), and Adam and Eve are leof gode 'beloved of God' (244b). The use of the adverb ðenden 'as long as / while' (Dan. 10a; Gen. 245a) in both cases indicates to the audience that this blessedness is contingent upon the maintenance of loyalty to God's word. When such loyalty disappears the favourable situation of the Hebrews and Adam and Eve will change. The substance of Daniel and Genesis B is devoted to showing what the changed conditions are and how they come about.¹⁴

In Christ and Satan, the poet concludes a long homiletic commentary on a lament of Satan's (228 - 81) as follows:

Blæd bið æghwæm
 pæm ðe hælende heran penceð,
 and wel is þam ðe pæt wyrcan mot.
 (C&S 362b - 64)

[There] is prosperity for each who thinks to obey the Healer, and [it] is well for those who accomplish that.

Here obedience is specifically emphasized as behaviour which is rewarded by blæd 'prosperity'. Obedience is recommended, in the context, as a method whereby the audience may avoid Satan's fate. These lines are a particularly pure statement of what is right behaviour, reinforcing an earlier statement in the same poem by Satan himself:

Wat ic nu þa
 pæt bið alles leas ecan dreamas
 se ðe heofencynige heran ne penceð,
 meotode cweman. (C&S 180b - 83a)

I know now that all are without eternal joys who do not think to obey the King of Heaven, [or to] please the Measurer.

Satan speaks these words on the basis of his own experience as one who did not obey or please God. Life in Hell has taught him what constitutes correct action. His audience at this point is the troop of angels which he led to rebel and is now suffering with him. He has no need to try to conceal anything from them, as he did from Adam and Eve in Genesis B, since his troop knows only too well what sort of

behaviour leads to the condition in which they find themselves. Given this context, Satan's words have a particular credibility for the poet's audience.

Other characters in the poems, characters on the opposite extreme of the moral spectrum from Satan, also express the importance of loyalty and obedience to God. God himself tells Noah that man was created in God's likeness and will have the glorious magwite 'kin-appearance' of God and the angels if he healdan wile halige peawas 'will maintain the holy customs' (Gen. 1531). When Nebuchadnezzar is called earmsceapen 'miserably shaped' (Dan. 631a)¹⁵ and the fallen angels are called forscepene 'misshapen ones' (C&S 72a), it is clear they have failed to be obedient to the demands of the holy customs, and as a result have lost this likeness to God and the angels which the loyal possess. Moses, in his last speech in Exodus, tells the Israelites that God will nu gelæstan pæt he lange gehet/ mid aðsware 'now fulfill what he long promised/ with oath-swearing' (558 - 59a):

"gif ge gehealdað halige lare,
 pæt ge feonda gehwone forð ofergangað,
 gesittað sigerice be sām tweonum,
 beorselas beorna. Bið eower blæd micel!"
 (Ex. 561 - 64)

"if you maintain the holy teachings, [so] that you henceforth overcome each of your enemies, establish a victory-kingdom between two seas, [you establish] beer-halls of men. Your prosperity will be great!"

Melchizedech explains to Abraham that his victory

over the Northern armies is due to his loyalty to the holy covenant:

"ne meahton siðwerod
guðe spowan, ac hie god flymde,
se ðe æt feohtan mid frumgarum
wið ofer~~m~~ægnes egsan sceolde
handum sinum, and halegu treow,
seo pu wið rodora weard rihte healdest."
(Gen. 2114b - 19)

"the journey-troop [army, of the Northern kings] was not able to succeed in battle, but God put them to flight, he who at the fight shielded you by means of your front-spearmen from the terror of the great strength, with his hands and holy covenant, which you correctly hold with the Guardian of the Skies."

The Northern kings had gone too far when, in suppressing the revolt of the Sodomites, they also took Abraham's kinsman, Lot, as a captive. Lot, like Abraham, was metode gecorene 'chosen by the Measurer' (1734a) and waldende leof 'beloved by the Ruler [God]' (2598b). As punishment for this misdeed the much smaller force of Abraham, God's beloved,¹⁶ is able to defeat them because, as Melchizedech says, Abraham rihte healdest 'correctly maintained' (2119b) the halegu treow 'holy covenant' (2118b) with God. Melchizedech's interpretation of events can be given credence because he speaks in his role as leoda bisceop 'bishop of the people' (2103a) and is invested with sufficient divine authority to give God's blessing to Abraham (2105b - 06a).

Obedience to the one loyal to God is made sufficient to gain God's blessing.¹⁷ When God commands Abraham to leave Carran and seek the land which will be shown to

him, he gives Abraham the following reassurance:

"Gif ðe ænig eorðbuendra
mid wean greteð, ic hine wergðo on
mine sette and modhete,
longsumne nið; lisse selle,
wilna wæstme pam pe wurðiað."
(Gen. 1754 - 58)

"If any earth-dwellers greet you with woe, I
will set my curse and the hatred of my mind
and long-lasting hostility on them, [but] to
those who obey you, [I] will grant good things,
the fruit of all that is desired."

The relationship between obedience to God and having his
protection from enemies is made more explicit when God
tells Abraham,

"Ic pæs folces beo
hyrde and healdend, gif ge hyrað me
breostgehygdum and bebodu willað
min fullian." (Gen. 2316b - 19a)

"I will be this peoples' guardian and keeper
if you obey me in your breast-thoughts and
will fulfill my commandments."

By alliterating hyrde/hyrað, the poet emphasizes this
relationship between God and man: God's protection is
contingent upon Abraham's obedience.¹⁸

The opposite of loyalty and obedience to God is
refusal to obey and open rebellion against him, prompted by
pride. Three direct addresses of the poet to the audience
make this clear. The first reads:

Us gewritu secgað
pæt heo on sealtstanes sona wurde
anlicnesse. Æfre siððan
se monlica (pæt is mære spell)
stille wunode, pær hie strang begeat

wite, pæs heo wordum wuldres pegna
 hyran ne wolde. Nu sceal heard and steap
 on pam wicum wyrde bidan,
 drihtnes domes, hwonne dogora rim,
 woruld gewite. (Gen. 2565b - 74a)

Writings tell us that she [Lot's wife] straightway came to be in the likeness of a salt-stone. Ever afterwards that man-likeness -- that is a great story -- remained unmoving, where she suffered a strong punishment because she would not obey the words of the thane of glory. Now [she] must, hard and steep in that place, await her fate, the judgment of the Lord, when the world, the count of days, departs.

Lot's wife is turned into a pillar of salt because she refuses to obey, and even nu 'now' (2571b), as books tell us 'us' (2565b), the pillar remains unchanged until she becomes subject to the final judgment of God on Doomsday. The eschatological implication and the contemporizing effect of us, is, nu, connects the time of the poem, that of Lot's wife or the past of the audience, with Judgment Day, yet to come for both the audience and Lot's wife. This connection encompasses all time in between, including that of the audience. The effect is to emphasize the necessity of behaving correctly in relationship to God so as to avoid his negative judgment when that Day comes. The consistent focus of the Junius poets on the eternal aspects of punishment and reward will be examined more closely below.

Another direct address of a poet to the audience follows a statement that God heard everything his angel (Lucifer) ongan ofermede micel/ ahebban wið his hearran 'began to raise up in great pride against his Lord' (293 -

94a). We are told that Satan had to pay for his act of rebellion (295b) and,

Swa deð monna gehwilc
pe wið his waldend winnan ongynneð
mid mane wið pone mæran drihten.
(Gen. 297b - 99a)

So does each of men who [begin to] strive against his Ruler, with sins against the Great Lord.

Nebuchadnezzar's story in Daniel is similar. Even after he comes to believe in God because of the miracle shown him, he acts No py sel 'not at all better' (488b), because his mind (that is, his opinion of himself), has grown greater than is gemet 'proper' (491b).¹⁹ As Lucifer was cast down into Hell because of the sins to which pride prompted him, Nebuchadnezzar is nyðor asette 'set down' (492b) as punishment:

. . . hine mid nyde nyðor asette
metod ælmihtig, swa he manegum deð
para pe purh oferhyd up astigeð.
(Dan. 492 - 94)

[God] set him down with hardship, [did] the Almighty Measurer, as he does to many of those who rise up through excessive pride.

The comments of the Genesis-poet on the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah also point to pride as the inspiration of the misdeeds of their inhabitants:

Hie pæs wlenco onwod and wingedrync
pæt hie firendæda to frece wurdon,
synna priste, soð ofergeaton,
drihtnes domas, and hwa him dugeða forgeaf,
blæd on burgum. (Gen. 2581 - 85a)

Pride and wine-drinking came upon them so that they

became too enthusiastic in criminal deeds,
bold in their sins, forgot the truth, the
judgment of the Lord and who [it was that]
gave them good things, prosperity in the city.²⁰

Their punishment for neglecting soð 'the truth' (2583b),
which is syntactically equivalent to drihtnes domas 'the
judgments of the Lord' (2584a), immediately contrasts with
God's treatment of Abraham:

	Forpon him brego engla
wylmhatne lig	to wræce sende.
Waldend usser	gemunde wærfæst þa
Abraham arlice,	swa he oft dyde
leofne mannan.	(<u>Gen.</u> 2585b - 89a)

Therefore the Ruler of Angels sent them whelming
hot flame as a punishment. Then Our Ruler, loyal
to the covenant, remembered Abraham favourably,
as he often did the beloved man.

Wlenco 'pride' (2581a) leads to disobedience of God which
expresses itself in sins and criminal deeds and is
followed by punishment. God loves those who are obedient to
him and remembers them with approbation.²¹

This absolute contrast between the fortunes of the
obedient and loyal on the one hand and those who disobey
and rebel against God on the other is not confined to life
in this world. The narrator's comment that no man
inwitfull 'full of malice' or womscyldig 'guilty of sins'
(Gen. 948 - 49a) may re-enter Paradise after the expulsion
of Adam and Eve prefigures the final exclusion of the sin-
ful from Heaven. Similarly, at the conclusion of Exodus
the narrator delineates the two possible fates of men. For
the disobedient there is Hell, a manhus 'house of punish-

ment (536b)²² pær bið fyr and wýrm, / open ece scræf. 'where [there] is fire and the serpent, a pit open eternally.' (537b - 38a). The soðfæstra sawla 'steadfast souls' (544) on the other hand are led to Heaven pær is leoht and lif, eac þon lissa blæd; / . . . to wídan feore 'where [there] is light and life, also the fruits of what is desired . . . forever' (546, 548b). In Daniel, the poet mentions (in an aside bracketed by the editor, Krapp) what the Babylonians can expect as a reward for worshipping Nebuchadnezzar's golden idol:

Fremde folcmægen, swa hyra frea ærest,
unræd efnde, (him pæs æfter becwom
yfel endelean), unriht dyde.
(Dan. 185 - 87)

The troop of people, as [did] their lord first,
carried out [performed] ill-counsel (to them
afterwards came an evil end-reward for this),
did unright.

Endeleaen suggests the 'Final Reward' they will be given after all things are over on Judgment Day.²³

Finally, the Christ and Satan-poet presents a fully-realized vision of the Last Judgment. His vision is included in one of the homiletic passages which Neil Isaacs describes as "explicit preachment."²⁴ It encompasses and expands all the preceding partial eschatological passages:

Wile þonne gesceadan wlitige and unclæne
on twa healfes, tile and yfle.
Him þa soðfæstan on þa swiðran hond
mid rodera weard reste gestigað.
Þonne beoð bliðe þa in burh moton
gongan in godes rice,
and heo gesenað mid his swiðran hond

cynincg alwihta, cleopað ofer ealle:

"Ge sind wilcuman! Gað in wuldres leoht
to heofona rice, þær ge habbað
a to aldre ece reste."

Ponne stondað þa forworhtan, þa ðe firnedon;
beoð beofigende hwonne him bearn godes
deman wille purh his dæda sped.

Wenað þæt heo moten to þære mæran byrig
up to englum swa oðre dydon,
ac him bið reordende
ece drihten, ofer ealle gecwæð:

"Astigað nu, awyrgde, in þæt witehus
ofostum miclum. Nu ic eow ne con."

(C&S 608 - 27)

[God] will then divide the shining and the unclean, the good and evil, on two sides. The steadfast ones will climb to rest with him on the right hand, with the Guardian of the Skies. Then, when [they] are able to go into God's kingdom, [they] are joyful, and the King of All-Things blesses them with his right hand, speaks [out] over all:

"You are welcome! Go in the light of glory to the kingdom of Heaven where you have for all time eternal rest."

Then those who have worked themselves astray [sinners] those who have committed crimes stand, are trembling, when the Son of God will judge them by his wondrous might. They expect that they may go to that great city, up to the angels as the others did, but to them the Eternal Lord is speaking, addresses all:

"Arise now, accursed, into that house of punishment, with great haste. I do not know you now."

An account of the miseries of Hell follows and is followed in turn by an exhortation to the audience: Uton, la,

gepencan geond þas worulde, / þæt we hælende heran
onginnen! 'Let us, lo, think [so] that throughout the

world we begin to obey the Healer!' (C&S 642 - 43).²⁵ The

placement of the exhortation at this point is clearly

meant to stress the relationship between being obedient to

God and sharing the blessed fate of the wlitige 'shining'

and tile 'good', and the soðfæstan 'steadfast', lit. 'secure in truth.'

The centrality of obedience to God's command as a guide to the meaning of these poems emerges from the poets' characterizing the behaviour of persons in the poems consistently according to whether or not it conforms to God's will. The angels who ær godes hyldo gelæston 'previously fulfilled God's trust' (Gen. 321b) retain the heights of Heaven (320b - 21a) after the rebellious angels are cast out. Before the Fall Adam and Eve godes willan/ lengest læst[o]n 'longest carried out God's will' (Gen. 243b - 44a), and after the Fall a repentant Adam and Eve act be godes hæse 'according to God's command' (965b), swa him metod bebead 'as the Measurer bid them' (966b). Noah, too, acts be godes hæse (1370b, cf. 1781b), swa him ælmihtig/ weroda drihten purh his word abead 'as the Almighty Lord of Hosts commanded him' (1361b - 62, cf. 1314a, 1356b). In Genesis 1493, with reference to God's instruction that he leave the Ark, it is said of Noah that he fremede swa and frean hyrde 'he did so and obeyed the Lord'. Noah is lare gemyndig 'mindful of [God's] teaching' (1780a) and therefore obeys God. As Noah before him is mindful of his duty to God, Abraham is wære gemyndig 'mindful of the covenant' (2374b) between God and himself and therefore when God directs him to obey his commands (Gen. 1748b, 2306b - 07a, 2325b) Abraham acts in a loyal and obedient fashion. He

leaves Carran in search of Canaan be frean hæse 'according to the Lord's command' (1781b) and circumcises his household swa him se eca bebead 'as the eternal one commanded him' (2370b, cf. 2769b) and be frean hæse (2371b). In a final act of obedience Abraham leads Isaac, his beloved and long-wished-for son, to the sacrifice in accordance with the haliges hæsum 'commands of the holy one' (Ex. 385a).²⁶ He hyrde 'obeyed' his Lord in sending Ishmael away (Gen. 2804) and in taking Isaac to Zion to be offered up to God (Ex. 410); because Abraham obeys God he lives in prosperity (Gen. 1945 - 59). Before the impending destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah Abraham's kinsman, Lot, is directed to teng recene to/ pam fæstenne 'hasten quickly to that fastness [Zoar]' (Gen. 2529b - 30a). In response to this direction Lot onette 'hurried' (2535a) and ofstum forð/ lastas legde 'rapidly laid tracks thenceforth' (2537b - 38a). The Israelites, fleeing from Egypt, nalles hige gehyrdon haliges lare 'nevertheless obeyed the teaching of the holy one with their thought' (Ex. 307). The Three Youths, metode gecorene 'chosen by the Measurer' (Dan. 92b),²⁷ hogedon georne/ pæt æ godes ealle gelæste 'thought eagerly that [they would] carry out all the law of God' (Dan. 218b - 19). For this loyalty God protects them from death in the fiery furnace. In Christ and Satan, Christ's disciples pider/ ealle urnon 'all hastened there [Galilee]' (529b - 30a) when the risen Christ het 'commanded' (521a)²⁸

them.

The central importance of loyal obedience to God in the Junius Manuscript poems is further emphasized by the way in which the disobedience of men on earth is portrayed as earthly re-enactments of the primal disloyalty and disobedience of Lucifer in eternity (Gen. 264b, 309 - 10, 328 - 29, 352 - 53; C&S 315 - 16).²⁹ It was Lucifer's excessive self-pride which led him to disobey God, and to express his disobedience in active rebellion. His hubris is expressed most clearly in his statement that Ic mæg wesan god swa he 'I may be God as he [is]' (Gen. 283b).³⁰ Belshazzar's disobedience to God is of the same kind. While drunk, and after desecrating the sacred vessels from God's temple, he rashly boasts that his might is of greater magnitude and of more aid to men than that of God (Dan. 712 - 16). Like Lucifer, and like his ancestor Nebuchadnezzar, he fails to recognize his power and glory as gifts granted him by God. Nebuchadnezzar himself erects a golden idol to be worshipped in place of the God of Israel (Dan. 170 - 77). This act is described as being carried out ofer metodes est 'against the command of the Measurer' (Dan. 174b). The same epithet is applied to the disobedience of the sons of Seth in intermarrying with the daughters of Cain (Gen. 1251a).³¹ Hubris is seen particularly clearly as the motivation of the builders of Babel and Nebuchadnezzar in their acts of disloyalty to God. The people of Shinar's

act in building the tower which they intend to reach to heofnum up 'up to heaven' (Gen. 1675a) is described as being ofer monna gemet 'beyond what is proper for men' (Gen. 1677a). Likewise, Nebuchadnezzar's mind, despite the miracle of the Three Youths, grows mara . . . ponne gemet wære 'greater . . . than was proper' (Dan. 491) and he fails to accept the Lord of Israel as his own. The Egyptian pharaoh in Exodus is disloyal because the Egyptians wære ne gymdon 'did not keep the covenant' (140b, cf. 147b). The sin of Ham, son of Noah, in looking upon his naked father is said to be a failure to demonstrate hyldo and treowa 'loyalty and faith' (Gen. 1592a) when there was need; like Pharaoh's, his is a sin of disloyalty.

Curses are meted out throughout the Junius Manuscript poems to disobedient individuals. These include Adam, Eve, and the serpent (Gen. 903 - 38), Cain (Gen. 1013b - 21), Ham (Gen. 1593b - 97), those who disobey God's favourite, Abraham (Gen. 1755 - 56), and even, in a sense, Lot's disobedient wife as she is transformed into a pillar of salt (Gen. 2566 - 67a). The two dreams of Nebuchadnezzar (Dan. 113 - 15, 495 - 522) and the baswe bocstafas 'crimson letters' (Dan. 723a) which are inscribed by an angel's hand on the wall of Belshazzar's palace (Dan. 717 - 40) are also curses on those two individuals for their acts of disobedience towards God. The final punitive curse recorded in the Junius Manuscript is bestowed on

Satan after his abortive temptation of Christ (C&S 698 - 709). Christ's curse on Satan concludes the series of curses applied to those who are disobedient to God, and aptly so since the first such act of disloyalty was Lucifer's.

Those characters who praise and obey God prosper and thrive within the narrative of the poems, but the poets also take pains to identify God's favour explicitly with these characters. This is done by identifying them as leofe 'loved' and dyre 'dear' to God. Abraham, as we have already seen, Lot (Gen. 2598a), and Noah (Gen. 1285a, 1386, 1586b) are all loved by God. Shem and Japheth, Noah's loyal sons who cover their father's nakedness without gazing upon him, are called leofum men 'beloved men' (Gen. 1586b). Moses (Ex. 12a) and the Israelites (Ex. 53b, 279a, 337a) are termed beloved and dear to God, as are the Three Youths in the midst of Nebuchadnezzar's furnace (Dan. 248a).

God's love is lost by those characters who abandon their loyalty to him. God's angels, prior to their revolt, are loved by God (C&S 155) and dear to him (Gen. 26b, 340a; C&S 82a), as are the people of Shinar before they begin to build the Tower of Babel (Gen. 1656b). The descendents of Seth are dear to God (Gen. 1247a) and beloved to him (Gen. 1246) until they disobey his commands and intermarry with the daughters of Cain. Thereafter they become unleofe 'unloved' (Gen. 1268b) and laðe 'loathsome' (Gen. 1269b).

The Hebrews are described in Daniel as dearest to God (Dan. 36b, 37a) and beloved (Dan. 37b) until they give up drihtnes domas 'the judgments of the Lord' (Dan. 32a) in favour of deofles cræft 'the skills of the devil' (Dan. 32b). After they have been consigned to Hell, the rebellious angels are described as lað[an] 'loathsome' (Gen. 489b, 496a, 592b, 647b, 711a; C&S 714a) and godes andsacan 'God's enemies' (Gen. 320a, 442b; C&S 190a, 268b, 279b, 339b, 717b).³² In contrast with their Israelite captives but like Satan and his cohorts, the Egyptians are lað[an] (Ex. 57b, 138a, 195a, 462a) and godes andsacan (Ex. 15a, 503b). The people of Sodom and Gomorrah are gode unleofe 'unloved by God' (Gen. 2454b) and metode laðe 'loathsome to the Measurer' (Gen. 1934b) because they refuse to recognize and be loyal to him. Nebuchadnezzar's thanes are loyal to a demonic lord and in obedience to his orders seek to injure God's beloved. Accordingly they are designated as laðe 'loathsome' (Dan. 250b) and subject to God's hete 'hatred' (Dan. 619b). These explicit descriptions of characters as loved or unloved by God constitute overt statements of theme, since it is only those who are loyal to God and obey him that are called his beloved.

From the foregoing we are able to draw a number of conclusions. First, a single theme emerges with great clarity: it is right to praise and serve God loyally. Those who do what is right are beloved of God and receive

his protection, and are given joy and prosperity here and hereafter; those who do not are subject to God's hatred and must suffer misery and travail in both time and (especially) eternity.³² Secondly, we can see readily that there is no need to have any recourse to outside writings in order to comprehend the meaning of these works. Finally, since we have been able to draw our textual evidence for this single theme from all four poems indiscriminately (from all five poems, if we consider Genesis B a separate work), it is plain that the same theme is shared by the entire manuscript.

In the chapters to follow I shall attempt to show how the organization and structure of the manuscript, as well as the use of imagery based on central concepts in Anglo-Saxon society, support and serve to express this single theme.

Chapter III: Theme and Structure

The poets responsible for Genesis, Exodus, Daniel, and Christ and Satan explicitly identify those who loyally serve and praise God as being loved by him, whereas they describe those who rebel and refuse proper service as hateful and loathsome to God. This bifurcation in the relationship between man and his creator¹ is seen as a radical one, having its origins outside time, in eternity, with the rebellion of Lucifer against God, his lord and maker. Beginning with the Fall and the story of Cain and Abel, this rebellion of Lucifer enters human history and becomes its governing principle. The war mentioned in Chapter I is the earthly and temporal expression of this "cosmic conflict between good and evil for the soul of man."² The Junius Manuscript gives us an account of the original conflict, of how it entered history, and of how it expresses itself therein. It goes on to show how it will be concluded, and how, in the full cosmic scheme of things, good will finally triumph over evil. Soji Iwasaki, writing on the medieval theatre, has this to say:

The old cosmic drama which had once taken place between Heaven and Hell . . . [gave] a basic framework to the mystery plays and the early morality plays.³

This "basic framework" is likewise that of the Junius

Manuscript.

Before going into a discussion of the structure of the Junius Manuscript, it is necessary to establish what are the pertinent textual divisions. The generally accepted division into Genesis, Exodus, Daniel, and Christ and Satan which is present in the Anglo-Saxon Poetic Records, for example, and which is the basis for the individual editions of these poems,⁴ stems originally from C.W.M. Grein's 1857 edition of the Junius Manuscript. They are modern divisions for which there is no explicit justification in the manuscript. This being the case it is perhaps dangerous to accept them too blindly, particularly since, as I believe there is another, more textually compelling way of dividing the manuscript which provides a better guide to the thematic structure of the codex as a whole.

There are factors which indicate the presence of four different poems in the Junius Manuscript. Each of the "poems" opens with introductory formulas and motifs, such as hortatory addresses to the audience and statements of the might and power of God in terms of what he has done for man. Each "poem" is in a style which is discernibly distinct from the others, so that it is clear they are not the work of the same author or even of authors contemporary with each other. Each also begins a new manuscript page.⁵ Nevertheless nothing in the codex indicates that we are meant to consider them four separate and distinct works.

The only structural division (apart from fitts) comes between Daniel and Christ and Satan. Even this does not take any explicit form, but with page 213 of the manuscript, that on which Christ and Satan begins, a new scribal hand takes over.⁶ The last scribe closes his work, the conclusion of Christ and Satan, with the words Finit Liber II. Amen, indicating that at least in his mind, there was a Liber I. There are many reasons for considering that the first three poems belong together in such a Liber I. All are written in the same hand and have either illustrations or (after page 96 where the last and incomplete drawing appears) spaces left for them in the manuscript. The fitt divisions are numbered consecutively (1 to 55) from the beginning of Genesis to the end of Daniel. Also, each page of the first 212 is ruled for 26 lines, as compared with 27 lines for the last 17. In the final 17 pages there are three distinct scribal hands, none the same as that in the first 212. There are no illustrations or spaces left for illustrations in the portion of the manuscript which contains Christ and Satan, and the fitt numbering begins anew, going from 1 to 12.

There are no explicit indications in the manuscript to suggest that we are meant to distinguish the poems from each other. The large decorative "H" at the top of page 143 which is held to be the beginning of Exodus as well as the

capital "G" which is said to begin Daniel on page 173 are not distinct from other capitals, decorative or otherwise, throughout the first 212 pages of the manuscript.⁷ Taking this into consideration, along with the differences we have noted between the last 17 and the first 212 pages of the manuscript, it is not unreasonable to consider the latter as an entity, comprising Liber I,⁸ whereas the last 17 pages, containing Christ and Satan, are the scribe's Liber II. The latest editor of Christ and Satan, Merrel D. Clubb, is of the opinion that Liber II was added after, though "less than a generation" after, the compilation of Liber I.⁹ At the same time, based on the fact that "there are traces in Genesis of the hand of the Late West Saxon Corrector who was so active in Christ and Satan," Clubb feels that Liber I and Liber II were treated together, as one book, in the eleventh century.¹⁰

We can imagine, then, a manuscript existing which contained only what we now know as Genesis, Exodus, and Daniel. Some time later, the material we now call Christ and Satan was added to the already existing manuscript. It becomes a significant structural question then to ask why the addition was made. Is it likely that a work seen as quite irrelevant would have been added to a codex which is relatively elaborate in its conception, with its illustrations and decorative capitals? It is more reasonable to suppose that Liber II (our Christ and Satan) was seen as

contributing to the conceptual unity of the whole in some fashion.

Liber I begins with the conflict between God and the rebellious angels and continues with a series of other conflicts featuring such antagonisms as Satan versus Adam and Eve, Cain versus Abel, Noah versus the fallen sons of Seth and then the Flood, Abraham versus the pharaoh, the Elamites, and Abimelech (all in Genesis); the Israelites versus the Egyptians (in Exodus) and the loyal Israelites versus the Chaldeans (in Daniel). These struggles move from eternity, with the Casting Out of the Rebellious Angels, through the Fall (which marks a transition from eternity to time) to Cain and Abel and all that follows and which takes place in time.

Liber II, whether it is one poem (Christ and Satan) as the present-day consensus has it, or a series of fragments, as early editors such as Coneybeare (1826) and Thorpe (1830) believed, can best be seen as a series of three physical confrontations between Christ and Satan. These confrontations constitute a movement from eternity into time with a glance forward to Judgment Day and the return, as it were, of time into eternity.¹¹ These three struggles all result in the defeat of Satan by Christ and serve therefore to illustrate his incommensurate might. The movement from eternity into time, like that in Liber I, suggests to the audience that Christ's power is as mighty

here and now, in time, as it is outside time, in the eternal present of Heaven and Hell.

What, then, is the relationship between Liber I and Liber II? A credible way of approaching this question is to bear in mind the words of St. Augustine regarding the relationship between the Old and New Testaments:

. . . what is the "Old Testament" but a concealed form of the new? And what is the "New Testament" but the revelation of the old?¹²

In a similar fashion, Liber II recapitulates, reinforces, and reveals the meaning of the central action in Liber I. It sums up Liber I by disclosing the one who will finally overcome and judge Satan and his machinations and who will liberate and glorify those who have been loyal and obedient to God. Long sections of homily and preaching directed towards the audience "stress the implications of this revelation for the conduct, in broad terms, of man's life."¹³

The first struggle in Liber II (ll. 1 - 364) is Christ's expulsion of the rebellious Lucifer from Heaven. It is Christ of the New Testament, not the Father of the Old as in Liber I, who is responsible for this. This is made clear in lines 67b - 68a: Crist heo afirde,/ dreamum bedelde 'Christ expelled them,/ deprived them of joys'. Even without this explicit statement we are made to understand that Satan's rebellion is directed specifically against the Son. Satan's own words make this clear:

" . . . ic wolde towerpan wuldres leoman,
 bearn helendes, agan me burga gewald
 eall to æhte." (C&S 85 - 87a)

" . . . ic wolde of selde sunu meotodes,
 drihten adrifan, and agan me pæs dreames
 gewald,
 wuldres and wynne." (C&S 172 - 74a)

"I wished to overthrow the radiance of glory,
 the Son of the Healer, own for myself all
 power over the city [of God] as a possession."

"I wished to drive the Lord, the Son of the
 Measurer, from the hall, and own for myself the
 power over joy, over glory and pleasure."

The narrator reinforces Satan's words with his own comment:

hie woldon benæman nergendne Crist
 rodera rices, ah he on riht geheold
 hired heofona and pæt halige seld.
 (C&S 345 - 47)

They wished to deprive the saving Christ of the
 kingdom of the heavens, but he by right retained
 the troop of the skies and that holy hall.

The troop of fallen angels, in their malediction
 against their former leader, reveal that Lucifer went so far
 in order to lead them astray as to claim Christ, meotod
moncynnes 'the measurer of mankind,' (63 - 64a) as his own
 son.

Satan's rebellion and hubristic claim that he is
 Christ's father are an offense against godes agen bearn
 'God's own son' (10b), who in the Creation passage which
 begins the poem (as in the seventh book of Paradise Lost) is
 disclosed as the creator of the world. The disobedience
 of the rebellious angels, prompted by oferhygd 'pride'

(C&S 50a, 69a, 113a, 196a, 226a, 369a),¹⁴ results in the loss of all the good things of Heaven which previously were theirs:

" . . . we for dryhtene iu dreamas hefdon,
song on swegle selrum tidum." (C&S 44 - 45)

.

"Ic wæs iu in heofnum halig ængel,
dryhtene deore; hefde me dream mid gode,
micelne for meotode, and ðeos menego swa some."
(C&S 81 - 83)

.

" . . . we iu in heofonum hæfdon ærror
wite and weorðmynt." (C&S 150 - 151a)

" . . . we, before the Lord, previously had joys,
song in the sky, in better times."

.

"I was previously in heaven a holy angel, dear
to the Lord: had for myself joy with God, great
[joy] before the Measurer, and this multitude
likewise."

.

" . . . we previously in heaven had brightness and
repute before [this]."

He who had miht 'might' (2b, 6b, 8a, 13b) and strengðo 'strength' (2b) to establish the earth was more than a match for a rebellious thane.

The casting out of Satan, recounted through the laments of Satan and his followers as well as the narrator's summary in the first part of Christ and Satan, is the fourth appearance of this apocryphal story in the Junius Manuscript. The first two appearances which occur one after the other to begin Liber I (Gen. 22 - 46; 47 - 91) are introduced with an account (Gen. 8b - 21) of the primal bliss in "a golden heaven of light, . . . a heaven

deep, without joys, . . .
 surrounded with eternal night, filled on the
 inside with torments, filled throughout with
 fire and extreme cold, smoke and red flame.
 [God] commanded then that punishment-terrors
 grow throughout that house, without hope.

This is the place in which we meet them, lamenting their
 fate, in Christ and Satan.

The third account of the Fall of the Angels begins
Genesis B (ll. 246 - 337) and precedes Satan/Lucifer's
 plotting to avenge himself on God by making God's new
 creatures, Adam and Eve, join him in sin. This account
 focuses on the personal offense and the psychology of
 Lucifer in refusing to fulfill the duties for which he was
 created and made glorious. The alwalda 'Ruler of All
 Things' (246a) had created the orders of angels so that
hie his giongorscipe fyligan wolden,/ wyrcean his willan
 'they would fill the role of servanthood to him/ work his
 will' (249 - 50a). For this reason they were given gewit
 'intelligence' (250b) and shaped by God's hand (251a).
 Lucifer had been swiðne geworhtne 'created the greater'
 (252b), the highest in Heaven next to God himself (254a).
 The proper response of Lucifer should have been to work
lof 'praise' (256b) to his Lord and to thank him (257b);
 instead, Lucifer turned to worse things (259a). Pride¹⁷
 in the beautiful shape God had given him -- his lic wære
leoht and scene,/ hwit and hiowbeorht 'his body was light
 and shining, white and bright of hue' (265 - 66a) -- and

infatuation with all the power God had given him -- let hine swa micles wealdan 'let him rule so much' (253b) -- leads Lucifer to refuse to serve God: Ne wille ic leng his geongra wurpan 'I will not long remain his servant' (291b, cf. 249). Pride, indeed, leads him here as in Liber II, beyond disobedience into hubris: Ic mæg wesan god swa he 'I may be God just as he [is]' (283b). When God hears all this he casts Lucifer from the hean stole 'high seat' (300b), ufon of heofnum 'down out of Heaven' (306b) helle tomiddes 'into the midst of Hell' (324b) under eorðan neoðan 'beneath the earth' (311a). Like the Hell of Genesis A and Christ and Satan, this one contains brand and brade ligas 'fire and broad flames' (325a), hatne heaðowelm 'hot whelmings of heat' (324a), fyres fær micel 'much great fire' (334a) at the same time as it is leohtes leas 'without light' (333a) and filled with prosm and pystro 'smoke and darkness' (326a). Here, as we see in Liber II at greater length, Satan and his rebellious followers are forced to endure wite micel 'much punishment' (329b, cf. 323b).

In all three accounts of the Rebellion and Casting Out of the Angels, pride leads to disobedience and rebellion, which are punished by loss of the joys of Heaven and by the torments of Hell. All three accounts represent the event taking place outside time, in eternity. The Old English poets here go beyond the material of the Old Testament and make use of apocryphal/ecclesiastical legend

in order to posit an event in eternity, preceding time, that to a large degree replaces the Fall of Adam and Eve as the archetype of all sin within time. The Genesis B account leads into the seduction of Adam and Eve. The juxtaposition of events makes clear that the Fall of Man is engineered by a malicious Satan in order to avenge himself on the God who cast him from Heaven and to injure those whom God created to replace him. In the account of the Fall presented in Genesis B Adam and Eve appear as well-intentioned, but tricked, objects of the "cosmic conflict."

Other events in the history of human time are also presented in the Junius Manuscript as earthly reflections of Lucifer's extratemporal fall. The descendants of Shem, for example, come to the field of Shinar as leofum mannum 'beloved men' (Gen. 1656b), rofe rincas 'brave warriors' (1651a) and the æðelinga bearn 'sons of princes' (1654a). They settle the sidne and widne 'spacious' (1655b) grene wongas,/ fægre foldan 'green meadows,/ fair earth' (1657b - 58a) of Shinar and

	him forðwearde
on ðære dægtide	duguðe wæron,
wilna gehwilces	weaxende sped.
	(1658b - 60)

[there] were good things for them thenceforth in that time of days, growing prosperity in each of their desires.

But here, as in Heaven, wlence . . . wonhygdum 'pride and rashness' (1673) lead them astray. Eager for mærð

'greatness' (1663a, 1677b) they begin to construct an objective correlative of their prideful state, a stone tower up to Heaven:

		to beacne torr
up	arærde	to rodortunglum
.	.	.
to heofnum	up	hlædræ rærdon
.	.	.
		pæt beacen . . .
to roderum	up	ræran ongunnon.
		(1666b - 81)

as beacon [they] raised up a tower to the stars of the sky

.

raised up a ladder to heaven

.

began to raise up that beacon to the skies

In like manner, and for similar motives, the angels in Heaven ahebban 'raise' themselves up in struggle against the Lord (259b, 263a, 294a). By building their tower, the formerly beloved inhabitants of Shinar act ofer monna gemet 'beyond what is proper for men' (1677a). They are punished by having their speech confounded (1684 - 86, 1695b - 96) and by being scattered on feower wegas 'to the four points of the compass' (1697b), ungepeode 'without nationality/unpeopled' (1698b). Their tower stands samworht 'half-built' (1701a) behind them. As with the angels in Heaven, the people of Shinar disrupt a condition of peaceful bliss and prosperity because of pride, and in consequence suffer the punishment of God which, in earthly terms, is disunity and the loss of their identity as God's beloved.

has heard the story of the Tower of Babel in Genesis) is located on Sennara feld 'the field of Shinar' (601a), he utters his gylp micel 'great boast' (598b):

"Ðu eart seo micle and min seo mære burh
pe ic geworhte to wurðmyndum,
rume rice. Ic reste on pe,
eard and eðel, agan wille."

(608 - 11)

"You are the great and mighty city, the spacious kingdom, which I worked for my renown. I will have my rest [security] in you, nation and homeland."

He gives himself credit (609) for that which him god sealde 'God gave him' (606a) and because of his great pride he is nyðor asette 'set down' (492b) by God. Nebuchadnezzar on fleam gewat 'departed in flight' (613b). He suffers godes wite 'God's punishment' (616b), the nið godes 'hostility of God' (618b): Seofon winter samod susl prowode,/ wilddeora westen 'Seven winters together [he] endured torment,/ the wasteland of wild beasts' (620 - 21a). His mind is reduced to that of a wilddeora gewita 'wild beast' (623a). Not until he recognizes that God alone is the true king of both Heaven and men on earth (624 - 26a, 629 - 30) is he allowed to return and re-assume his throne.

His descendant, Belshazzar, inherits the hea rice 'high kingdom' (670b), the widan byrig,/ ealhstede eorla 'wide city,/ temple-place of earls' (672b - 73a) where ead bryttedon,/ welan, wunden gold 'blessedness [is] distribut-

ed,/ [along with] wealth, wound gold' (671b - 72a). As it did his ancestor, pride injures Belshazzar: him wlenco gesceod,/ oferhyd egle 'pride injured him,/ hateful arrogance' (677b - 78a). Seated medugal 'flushed with mead' (702a) at a feast, he demands the sacred vessels which Nebuchadnezzar had captured from Solomon's temple (59 - 60, 710b - 11a) but which even he had not been so presumptuous as to violate (753 - 56). Not content with this sacrilege he

gealp gramlice gode on andan,
cwæð pæt his hergas hyrran wæron
and mihtigran mannum to friðe
ponne Israela ece drihten.
(713 - 16)

boasted fiercely against God, said that his armies were a greater and mightier protection for men than the eternal Lord of Israel.

When Daniel comes to interpret the writing on the wall he calls Belshazzar and his men deoflu 'devils' (749a, 764a), thereby explicitly identifying them with the real devils, whose laments follow almost immediately in Christ and Satan. Although we do not witness the destruction of Belshazzar, we are given a glimpse of the Medes and Persians on their way to carry it out (696 - 99) in fulfillment of God's purpose (680 - 85). By so portraying earthly struggles as similar to each other and as parallel to an eternal archetype in their essential structure, the poet makes the point that there is nothing really new about earthly events.

Incidentals, such as location and the names of principals, may change, but the basic outline remains the same.

The second conflict between Christ and Satan in Liber II, the central action of lines 365 - 662, is the Harrowing of Hell. Like the casting out of the rebellious angels, this struggle also takes place outside time. But it represents a movement from eternity into time since it is determined by an event that takes place within time, the Crucifixion.¹⁸ The Harrowing of Hell, like the Fall of the Angels, is a non-scriptural legend told in the apocryphal Gospel of Nicodemus. It arose as an attempt to account for Christ's whereabouts and activities during the three days between his Crucifixion and his Resurrection taking as its guide such Scriptural passages as Psalm 24:7-10, Isaiah 42:7, 45:2, 53:9, Hosea 13:14 and 1 Peter 3:19.¹⁹ According to this tradition, Christ descended into Hell during that period and burst asunder the gates of Hell in order to liberate the faithful of Old Testament, pre-Redemption times, and to cast the unfaithful farther into the Inferno.

In the medieval mystery cycles, the play of the Harrowing of Hell (York, 37; Towneley, 25; Chester, 17; N - Town, 33) was considered a "key play from the doctrinal point of view" because it dramatized "the whole concept of salvation." It depicted Christ as "King and Conqueror" defeating the Devil "for all eternity."²⁰ According to Martin Stevens, its depiction of a "physical encounter"

between Christ and Satan made it "the dramatic climax of the cycle."²¹ Geoffrey Shepherd has seen this holding true for the Junius Manuscript. He calls Christ's Harrowing of Hell "the central epic confrontation of good and evil" in Christ and Satan and "the dramatic crisis of the whole collection."²²

Lines 398 - 401a of Christ and Satan say that

Hwearf pa to helle hæleða bearnum,
meotod purh mihte; wolde manna rim,
fela pusenda, forð gelædan
up to eðle.

The Measurer, by means of His might, turned
to Hell, to the sons of men; wished to lead
forth a number of men, many thousands, up
to their homeland.

Christ duru in helle/ bræc and begde 'broke and bent the door of Hell' (379b - 80a). The faithful in Hell experience blis 'joy' when they see the Saviour's head (380b - 81), but the atolan 'evil ones' (382a) wæron mid egsan ealle afyrhte 'were all affrighted with terror' (383). Christ frees the blessed ones, leading them up to eðle (401a), which is Heaven, while at the same time he

wites clomma
feondum oðfæsted, and heo furðor sceaf
in pæt neowle genip, nearwe gebeged,
pær nu Satanus swearte pingað,
earm aglæca, and pa atolan mid him,
witum werige. (442b - 47a)

fastened the fetters of torment on the fiends,
shoved them further into that dark mist,
narrowly constraining, where Satan now rules
darkly, the miserable monster, and the foul ones
[together] with him, wearied by torments.

The structure of the Harrowing of Hell consists of God penetrating the midst of Satan's territory to liberate those beloved by him and to punish his enemies further. Like the structure of the Fall of the Angels, that of the Harrowing is reflected in several of the Old Testament episodes recounted in Liber I. Among the most notable of these are the central actions of Exodus and Daniel, the crossing of the Red Sea and the saving of the Three Youths from the fiery furnace, as well as Lot's rescue from the cursed cities of Sodom and Gomorrah. The last two episodes in particular may be identified with the Harrowing of Hell, since the flames which destroy Sodom and Gomorrah and surround the Youths in the furnace form an obvious parallel with the fiery aspect of Hell. Indeed, the sweartan lige 'black flame' that is forecast as the instrument of God that will destroy the two cities (Gen. 1926b, 2417a, 2507b) is ominously similar to that described as filling a Hell (333b, 760b) which nevertheless remains sweartan (761b) and leohtes leas 'without light' (333a). Hell in Liber II is dimme and deorc 'dim and dark' (104a, 453a), despite the fact that fyr 'fire' surrounds its inhabitants (261b - 64).

In the Harrowing of Hell in Liber II it is the peoden, drihten 'lord' of angels who liberates the blessed (386b, 395a, 518b, 533a); in Daniel, one of the angels of whom he is the lord protects Annanias, Azarias and Misael

from the flames of Nebuchadnezzar's furnace (Dan. 237a, 272b, 336a, 345b, 353b). God sends his messengers to Lot (Gen. 2424b - 26a, 2435b - 37a, 2458b). They rescue him, first from the Sodomite mob (Gen. 2484b - 98a) and then from the impending destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah (2500 - 34). Thus, just as the blessed are rescued by Christ from Hell outside time in the Harrowing, the loyal retainers of God are saved by their Lord from destruction in earthly situations, situations within time that parallel the Harrowing.

While Christ or his servants save those such as the Old Testament saints, Lot and his family, and the Three Youths who are faithful to and beloved by him, he metes out severe punishment to those who are hostile and disobedient. In the Harrowing, Satan, as we have seen, is pushed farther into the depths of Hell and his fetters are tightened. The people of Sodom and Gomorrah, who not only are disobedient to him but also seek to harm his messengers and Lot, his beloved, are first blinded (Gen. 2498b - 93a) and then, along with their cities, totally destroyed:

Hlynn wearð on ceastrum,
 cirm arleasra cwealmes on ore,
 laðan cynnes. Lig eall fornam
 pæt he grenes fond goldburgum in,
 swylce pær ymbutan unlytel dæl
 sidre foldan geondsended wæs
 bryne and brogan. Bearwas wurdon
 to axan and to yslan, eorðan wæstma,
 efne swa wide swa ða witelac
 reðe geræhton rum land wera.
 Strudende fyr steapes and geapes,

but he [God's angel] slew fire onto the others, onto the fiends for their sinful deeds.

After they have been saved from the fire and Nebuchadnezzar has released them from the furnace, the Three Youths enjoy are 'honour' (453b), blæd 'prosperity' (454a), and dom 'renown' (455a), and Wæron hyra rædas rice 'their counsels have great influence' (456a) in Babylon. As for Lot and his family, they make their escape from Sodom on Sigor up 'up into Sigor' (2522a). The Vulgate spelling of this city's name is Segor (Zoar in the Revised Standard Version), and in lines 2533b and 2540a the MS. has Sægor. Only in 2522a is the name spelt like the noun meaning "victory."²³ This could very well be intentional word-play, since Lot's escape is indeed a victory. Furthermore, that he moves up to Sigor/sigor suggests the identical movement of the liberated saints who, after the Harrowing, are led up to eðle 'up to the homeland' (C&S 401a, 459a, 552a) to the joys of Heaven uppe mid ænglum 'up with the angels' (C&S 122a, 141a, 292a, 329a, 389, 590a).²⁴ The Three Youths and Lot, like the blessed in Hell, are freed from dire adversity and raised to a condition of glory and well-being.

Those loved by God are also rescued in extremis at the crossing of the Red Sea. There are no Hell-like flames in the Junius Manuscript account, but pillars of fire and cloud and a personified Red Sea are God's agents in protecting the Israelites from the elements and rescuing

them from the Egyptians. The pillar of cloud appears at the beginning of their journey out of Egypt to protect them from hatum heofoncolum 'the hot coal of Heaven, [the sun]' (Ex. 71a) which had forbærned 'burned' (70a) both the mountain slopes and the people of the area:

Pær halig god
wið færbryne folc gescylde,
bælce oferbrædde byrnendne heofon,
halgan nette hatwendne lyft.
(Ex. 71b - 74)

There holy God shielded the people against
the fearful burning [of the sun], spread a
covering over the burning Heaven, a holy net
across the hot air.²⁵

The pillar of fire which protects the Israelites is called nihtweard 'night-guardian' (116a):

py læs him westengryre,
har hæðbroga, holmegum wederum
on ferclamme ferhð getwæfde.
(Ex. 117b - 19)

lest terror of the wasteland, dark fear of the
wilderness, [and of] stormy weathers, should
overcome their souls with a sudden grip.

The Israelites are eventually gehæged 'caught' (169b) in pincers between the Red Sea and the advancing Egyptians: ðe him on healfa gehwam hettend seomedon,/ mægen oððe merestream 'on each of their sides enemies beset them,/ the great host or the sea-stream' (209 - 10a). But the sea divides at the touch of Moses' green wand and, as an active, personified instrument of God, permits passage to the Israelites but slays the Egyptians. The sea is described by words and formulaic epithets not normally

applied to strictly inanimate objects. It is, for example, called modig 'courageous' (469a) and rofene 'brave' (464a), and a nacud nydboda 'naked messenger of hardship' (475a). The latter phrase is formulaically very similar to two others in the Junius Manuscript which are both applied to people. Nacod niedwædla 'naked needy one' (Gen. 929a) is applied to Adam, and nacod nydgenga 'naked traveller in hardship' (Dan. 632a) is used to describe the reformed Nebuchadnezzar as he returns to Babylon. By describing the sea in this manner, the poet is able to depict it as a living, thinking being. He also describes the sea as coming neosan 'to visit' (475b) the Egyptian host. It is a fah feðegast, se ðe feondum geneop 'hostile warrior, who smites down the enemies' (476).²⁶ The walls of water which the sea forms as it makes a way for the Israelites are referred to as handweorc godes, / famigbosma flodwearde 'the handiwork of God, / the foamy-bosomed guardian of the sea' (493b - 94a), and it is these walls of water that wield the alde mece 'old blade' (495b) against the Egyptians.²⁷ In short, the sea is presented as a sentient being, obedient, like all of Nature (cf. The Song of the Three Children in Daniel), to its creator. It is the loyal retainer of mereflodes weard 'the guardian of the sea-flood' (504b) and does not act until its master, se mihtiga sloh / mid halige hand, heofonrices weard, / on werbeamas 'the mighty one, the guardian of the heavenly

kingdom, [smites] the protecting pillars [holding up the sea-walls that protected the Israelites as they passed] with his holy hand' (485b - 87a). Acting in this way, the sea in Exodus serves the same function as God's messengers do in the Lot episode and his angel does in Daniel: it is the instrument of God's salvation (quite literally) to his people in their direst need.

Since it comes directly before the battle between God's agent (the Red Sea) and the Egyptians, and just after the position of the Israelites has been presented as desperate, the Noah-Abraham episode (Ex. 362 - 446) is certainly not an "interpolation" in the sense that it is out of place.²⁸ Whether the episode owes its presence to the original author of Exodus or to someone else, it is entirely appropriate to emphasize the connection between Moses and the Israelites and their ancestors, Noah and Abraham, at this point. Just as those two were loyal to God (366, 422 - 23a) and therefore were the recipients of God's aid, so the Israelites, freoðowære heold 'loyal to the protecting covenant' (306b) and obedient to the holy teachings (307), can expect the same help. The Noah-Abraham episode allows the audience to be re-assured as to the ultimate fate of the Israelites. The episode would be effective even if we were to consider Exodus independently of its context in the Junius Manuscript, since the stories of Noah and Abraham from the Bible would certainly have been familiar to the

audience. But coming only some 360 lines from the conclusion of Genesis, the last 1600 lines of which are devoted almost exclusively to the fortunes of Noah and Abraham, the episode would be especially à propos. As the Israelites leave Egypt at the beginning of Exodus, following the Plagues, the narrator comments as follows:

feond wæs bereafod,
 hergas on helle. Heofon²⁹ pider becom,
 druron deofolgyld. Dæg wæs mære
 ofer middangeard pa seo mengeo for.
 (Ex. 45b - 48)

the fiend was robbed, as were the hosts of
 Hell. Heaven came there, the gilded-devils
 [i.e. idols] fell. The day was great through-
 out middle-earth when the [Israelite] multitude
 journeyed.

These lines could easily describe the Devil and his hosts in Hell being robbed by Christ of the souls of the Old Testament saints. In fact, they refer to Pharaoh and the Egyptians being deprived of their Israelite slaves. Described as feondas 'fiends' (Ex. 45b, cf. 22a, 64a 203b, 237a, 476b, 571a), Pharaoh and his people are implicitly identified with Satan and his cohorts, who are also described in like manner (Gen. 57a, 306a, 322a, 334b, 488a, 688b; C&S 76a, 103b, 195b, 443a, 461a). 461a, 477b). This suggestion of a parallel between the crossing of the Red Sea and the Harrowing of Hell is re-echoed in lines 570 - 71a: Life gefegon pa hie oðlæded hæfdon/ feorh of feonda dome 'they rejoiced in their being alive after they had been led from the power of the fiend

with their lives'. Like the saints in Hell, the Israelites are led alive from the power of the fiend, who in their case is Pharaoh.

The view of Robert Farrell³⁰ and Jean I. Young³¹ that Exodus (as well as Daniel) exemplifies what Farrell calls "the theme of the Help of God" supports the similarity which we have posited between the Harrowing of Hell and the Exodus as well as the saving of the Three Youths. Young implies a thematic relationship of the Exodus to the Harrowing, which she calls "the main theme of Christ and Satan," and Farrell draws our attention to three further episodes prominent in Genesis as well as Exodus -- "Noah in the Flood, Abraham in Exile, Isaac threatened by his father" -- as further examples of "God's saving power" which illustrate this theme. The similarity perceived in the text of the poems is further reinforced by medieval exegesis which traditionally saw the Exodus (and the crossing of the Red Sea in particular) as a type of salvation, of which the Harrowing was the archetype since it dramatized "the victory which Christ won over death in its very own domain."³²

The final confrontation between Christ and Satan, in lines 659 to 729 of Liber II, is Satan's Temptation of Christ in the wilderness. Unlike the other two confrontations this one takes place entirely in the realm of time and consequently reveals Christ as man, just as the first

two had revealed his power and his mercy and compassion as divine.³³ That he is subjected to temptation at all reveals his human vulnerability; that he is able to resist discloses his strength as man made perfect. This confrontation (665 - 88) is conflated (689 - 97) with that of the time to come, Judgment Day (698 - 729) when time will once again be swallowed up in eternity. This conflation unifies the present of the poem's audience, which (like the Temptation) is in time, with the future, when on Judgment Day the audience, as well as Satan, will be subject to Christ's judgment. The judgment of Satan by Christ is not a conflict between them in the sense that the Casting Out of the Angels, the Harrowing of Hell, and the Temptation are: Satan has already been defeated three times and in the Judgment is simply sentenced.

Satan's Temptation of Christ and Christ's resistance are (to follow John Milton) paradise regained, the recovery of that paradise lost because of Satan's successful temptation of Adam and Eve. When confronted with the same choices, Christ by contrast stands firm and defeats his opponent. His response to Satan, Cer ðe on bæcling! 'Get you behind me!' (697b) becomes, since Christ is perfect man, archetypally correct, just as that of Adam and Eve is archetypally wrong. Because he is man in the Temptation, and has submitted himself to the world of time, Christ's act is the ultimate practical exemplum of right action for

the time-and-space bound men of the poem's audience.

Besides balancing the temptation of Adam and Eve in Genesis B, the Temptation of Christ in Liber II reveals the spiritual significance of Abraham's trial in Liber I (Gen. 2846 - 2936; Ex. 384 - 446). Abraham's success identifies him with Christ as an archetypal model of loyalty and obedience to God. The Testing of Abraham occurs at the climax of the Genesis portion of Liber I. The two incidents, divided by earthly time, are significantly linked by the use of the verb costian 'to tempt', 'try', 'test', the only two occurrences of the verb in the Junius Manuscript:

Pa pæs rinces se rica ongan
 cyning costigan

 . . . pone weregan . . .
 pa costode cyning alwihta
 (Gen. 2846 - 47a; C&S 667a, 669)

Then the king of that kingdom began to
 test the warrior [Abraham]

 . . . the weary one [Satan] . . .
 then tested the king of all things.

The obvious difference between the two temptations is that in the first God is the tempter, but in the second, God as man is tempted. Abraham is asked by God to sacrifice with his own hands the very son God has given as fulfillment of his many promises to Abraham (Gen. 2188 - 2200, 2355 - 69, 2390 - 98) that his descendents would continue in prosperity and renown. Abraham's temptation is to disobey God and so

violate the covenant between them in order to preserve the possibility of greatness promised to his children and grandchildren. As Abraham's people are promised a kingdom between the Euphrates, Nile and Mediterranean (the farthest reaches of the biblical world, Gen. 2204b - 15), Christ is offered folc and foldan 'people and lands' (C&S 685a), burh and breotone bold to gewealde 'city and bright hall to rule' (686). Just as Christ refuses the offer, Abraham unhesitatingly gives up his hopes for the future in order to obey God: Nalles nergendes/ hæse wiðhogode 'not at all withstood the Saviour's command' (Gen. 2864b - 65a). Abraham nihtreste ofgeaf 'gave up his night-rest' (2864a) and hurried (efste, 2873a, 2931a; onetton, 2873b) to obey God's commands (2898b, 2893 - 94, 2872b) and follow his tæhte 'directions' (2855b, 2874b, 2886b, 2901b). In the testing of Abraham stories which are recounted in Genesis and Exodus, as in the biblical source, the focus falls upon Abraham rather than Isaac. This very fact indicates that what interests the poet here is not Isaac's prefiguring of Christ, as in the mystery cycles, but Abraham's steadfast obedience as a model of faith and loyal devotion³⁴ and a concrete example of right action. Abraham's success in the testing signifies to God:

" . . . pæt pu wið waldend wære heolde,
fæste treowe"

.

" . . . pæt pe wæs leofre his

sibb and hylde þonne þin sylfes bearn."
 (Ex. 422 - 23a; Gen. 2921b - 22)

". . . that you are loyal to the covenant
 with the Ruler, secure in the faith"

.

". . . that his peace and support was more
 beloved to you than your own son."

Like the Casting Out of the Rebellious Angels and the Harrowing of Hell, the Temptation of Christ fits in with the concept of battle, of cosmic struggle, which we have suggested is the structural principle of the Junius Manuscript. All three are violent physical confrontations between Christ (God) and Satan. As Robert Finnegan points out,³⁵ the account of the Temptation in Liber II has Satan actually laying hands on Christ (679b - 82), an act for which there is no Scriptural basis. In retaliation, as the Temptation melds into the Judgment, Christ physically casts Satan into the depths of Hell, and assigns him a very physical punishment: to measure out -- in two hours -- the length and breadth of Hell on his hands and knees.³⁶ By expanding the biblical source in this way, the poet dramatically emphasizes the sheer physical aspect of this confrontation between Christ and Satan.

It has been objected that the chronology of events in Liber II is disjointed, since the Harrowing of Hell which follows the Crucifixion precedes the account of the Temptation which occurred before the Crucifixion. On this basis Richard L. Greene long ago proposed that the last two

portions of Christ and Satan ought to be re-arranged,³⁷ and it is one of the factors which has led to the opinion that Christ and Satan is essentially a random collection of fragments. As we have seen, however, there is with the present ordering a movement in Liber II from eternity into time. This movement corresponds to that in Liber I. The account of the Creation and Fall of the Angels which begins Liber I is followed by the Fall of Adam and Eve, the central event of which is the first historical event, the Eating of the Forbidden Fruit. All the other events of sacred history recounted in Liber I occur in time but are based on archetypal models established in eternity, outside time.

The reason for this ordering of events from eternity to time is overtly didactic. The Junius Manuscript was compiled most probably to educate its audience regarding the correct relationship between man and God and on that basis to inculcate right action. Events of the past (that is, those events of biblical history presented) are not of importance for their own sake but because of their utility in remedying the present.³⁸ Like the mystery cycles it precedes, the Junius Manuscript

concentrated . . . upon the significant past and the significant future; . . . addresses toward present time were hortatory and didactic, designed to shape action, not to record it.³⁹

Accordingly, the Junius Manuscript seeks to "shape the

action" of contemporary men so that they behave in accordance with God's will. Such conduct will result not only in eternal bliss in the hereafter but help from God even now in the present age for those who are loyal to him, who fight in the cosmic struggle on his side rather than Satan's. "Received linear chronology" is manipulated⁴⁰ in order to emphasize this present-day relevance of the message of biblical story.

Earlier critics such as Krapp and Clubb appear to take it too easily for granted that a feeling for structure is not a particularly strong point with the Old English poets.⁴¹ A study of the structure and theme of the Junius Manuscript reveals, however, that what these poets/compiler(s) did "was of design, and . . . for that design there is a defence that may still have force."⁴² The later addition to the manuscript (Liber II) is viewed as having been added to serve as a revelation of the eternal import, the spiritual significance, of events in Liber I, on the analogy of the relationship seen to exist between the New Testament and the Old. This approach to the Junius Manuscript⁴³ discloses an overall structural plan which, along with the movement of events in both books from eternity into time, serves the manuscript's didactic purpose by emphasizing the contemporary relevance of praising and serving God, of being on his side in the ongoing cosmic war.

Chapter IV: Imagery of Hall, Troop and Treasure

In Anglo-Saxon poetry, as in any other corpus of poetry, the familiar and mundane are infused with poetic meaning to reveal what is extraordinary, profound, and mysterious. This process of creating metaphor enables what is already known to become a vehicle for disclosing what is not. The Old English poets make use of the hall and the relationships and activities within it as the central metaphors in a vast complex of imagery that denotes their concept of what the universe is like and the nature of man's role in it. This chapter and the next will discuss this imagery and show how its central metaphors are used by the poets of the Junius Manuscript to express what I am suggesting is the manuscript's prevailing theme.

The hall, as a physical structure, was the most prominent feature of an Anglo-Saxon settlement. It was surrounded by out-buildings (buras, cf. Bwf. 140a) of various sorts and probably by defensive earthenworks and a wall.¹ Around the defensive wall were the fields (wangas) where crops were tilled and animals pastured. Beyond these familiar environs the world became increasingly remote, unknown and dangerous until one reached the monster-filled waters (garsecg) which surrounded the island itself and, in medieval cosmography, ringed the

world as a whole.² The settlement (burh, tun) was thus the centre of a hostile world and the hall in turn the centre of the tun.³ The hall was oblong with a high, vaulted ceiling borne aloft by timbered beams, and filled with light and warmth from an open fire. The most important object in the hall and the focus of all the activities which took place within it was the high-seat (heah-setl) of the lord, usually placed half-way down one of the long walls. Ranged along the walls were benches wide enough so that men could sleep on them (cf. Bwf. 1239 - 41). The floors (flet, floras) were often of stone, the doors were wide and sometimes double and occasionally, as with Heorot, the walls, both inside and out, were bound fast with strips of iron (cf. Bwf. 773b - 75a). Archaeological excavations have shown that the description of Heorot in Beowulf was not necessarily an exaggeration of reality; immense halls were not uncommon.⁴

While the hall itself offered obvious benefits of protection from the harsh elements and long, dark, winter nights of northern latitudes, it was also important as the home of the troop or dryht, commonly known as the comitatus, a name given by Tacitus in his Germania (98 AD).⁵ The comitatus was the most important feature of Germanic society, transcending even family loyalties.⁶ It consisted of a lord and his retainers bound together by mutual obligation and personal affection. The relationship

between lord and retainer went beyond mere necessity and legalism and became so "intensely personal in its ideal manifestations"⁷ that it was not unusual for each party to refer to the other as "beloved." The obligation of a retainer (pegn, gesið) to his lord was loyalty and obedience. This loyalty was radical and total; it demanded that a retainer be prepared to die in battle with his lord, either defending, assisting or avenging him. It was a disgrace to survive one's fallen lord, just as it was to betray him by fleeing the battle. This ideal of loyalty is expressed in The Battle of Maldon by Byrhtwold as he fights on over the body of Byrhtnoth, his slain leader:

"fram ic ne wille,
ac ic me be healfe minum hlaforde,
be swa leofum menn licgan pence."
(317b - 19)

"I will not [go] from [here] but I, by the side of my lord, the beloved man, think to lie [in death]."

The narrator comments that all of Byrhtnoth's retainers desire only one of two things: lif forlætan oppe leofne gewrecan 'give up life or avenge their beloved' (208).

The lord on his part was obligated to dispense his wealth generously to his retainers who usually assisted him in gaining it. This wealth took the form of rings (hringas, beagas) of gold and silver, often gem-encrusted. The giving of treasure served both parties well. The lord was able to reward loyal thanes for acts

performed in his service and by his generosity assure that such loyalty would continue. The thane was freed from material needs and given the further security "of a defined position in his society,"⁸ since the receipt of treasure from a lord established him as being a member of that lord's troop and under his protection. The treasure which the lord gave to his followers became, however, more than mere wealth: it was a sign of the giver's value as a lord and the recipient's value as a retainer,⁹ a reification of the connection between them and, in effect, a symbol of their relationship. The amount of wealth a thane obtained from his lord and the degree of the lord's generosity to his followers were tangible, concrete signs of their respective moral conditions.¹⁰

Besides granting gifts of treasure to his retainers, the lord was also obliged to exact wracu 'vengeance' or wergild 'compensation' for any injury suffered by members of his comitatus.¹¹ This discouraged outsiders from attacking individual members of the troop thus giving them a measure of protection (friðe, mundbyrd) from potential enemies and "a sense of personal safety in a world of danger and sudden violence."¹²

In the hall the comitatus relationship was forged, renewed and strengthened by the distribution of wealth, oaths of fealty, and the general conviviality (wynn, dreamas) of eating, drinking, singing, and boasting. The

hall, therefore, was at the social nexus and moral center of Anglo-Saxon life. Exclusion from the hall because of treachery or cowardice or the hall's destruction (along with one's lord and fellow retainers) meant that the retainer was isolated, without protection and without any of the good things which the communal society of the dryht offered. Lacking a homeland, the dispossessed pegn was forced to seek a new lord and comitatus that would accept him. Meanwhile he existed in a state of deprivation and constraint, buffeted by the elements and continually fearful of attack by enemies. The Wanderer portrays such an unfortunate, who has as his only consolation the knowledge that there is a Lord and Comitatus in Heaven to which he may gain entry and for which he therefore yearns. The loss of an earthly lord and dryht is a disaster of such magnitude that only by invoking the Lord and Troop of Heaven can the wandering exile be consoled. This condition of exile, the loss of or exclusion from a comitatus, is thus the "epitome of misfortune in heroic life" and "the greatest evil which can befall" the Anglo-Saxon.¹³

The hall, comitatus and exile become the daily touchstones of a complex of imagery which the Old English poets employ to make larger statements about the nature of the world and man's place in the cosmic scheme. The hall itself, "its enemies and the anti-hall," as Hume notes, "provide the vocabulary for what appear to be the principal

established through his true strength.
Likewise the Creator, through the spirit of
his glory, devised and established the valleys
of the earth and the deep sea in six days,
[from] up in the heavens.

He arærde 'raised up' the rodor 'sky' and gestapelode
'established' the spacious land (Gen. 114 - 15a). In mere-
flode middum 'the midst of the sea-flood' (145) he
commanded hyhtlic heofontimber 'pleasant heaven-timbers'
(146a) to arise. During the first day of Creation time
hastens over timber . . ./ middangeardes 'the timbers . . ./
of middle-earth' (135b - 36a). God separated the waters and
geworhte pa/ roderas fæsten 'made then the fastness of the
sky' (144b - 49a). The earth which was thus constructed
wuniað gyt/ under fæstenne folca hrofes 'still remains
under the fastness of the roof of peoples' (152b - 53).
This kenning (folca hrofes), which identifies the sky as a
roof for peoples, is echoed in the context of the expulsion
of Adam and Eve from the hihtfulne ham 'pleasure-filled
home' (946a) of Paradise: the hyrstedne hrof halgum
tunglum 'roof adorned with holy stars' (956) is not taken
away. In Cædmon's Hymn one of the things for which men are
urged to praise God is the fact that he has created heofon
to hrofe 'Heaven as a roof' (6a) for men. Similarly, as
Exodus begins, we are told that God made known to man how
he created the world:

hu pas woruld worhte witig drihten,
eorðan ymbhwyrft and uprodor,
gesette sigerice. (25 - 27a)

how the wise lord made this world,
the circumference of the earth and the
high skies, established the victory-
kingdom.

The diction in which these Creation passages (cf. Bwf. 92 - 98) are couched establishes parallels with the earthly process of hall-building. For example, the verb timbran 'to build' is used in other contexts with reference to the building of such comparatively mundane things as the first city (Gen. 1057b), the Tower of Babel (Gen. 1692a), the hall of Abraham after he returns from Abimelech's kingdom (Gen. 2841a) and Solomon's temple (Ex. 391a). Weorcan (Gen. 114a; Ex. 25a; cf. C&S 14a) means simply 'to make/construct' in the context of the altars which Abraham builds (Gen. 1791a, 1806a, 2842a), Noah's ark (Gen. 1302b, 1307a, 1316b) and his post-deluvian vineyard (Gen. 1558a). The presence of settan 'to found/establish' (Ex. 27a; C&S 4a, 13a, 15a) calls to mind the similarly described actions of Noah (1558b) in setting up his vineyard and of Abraham building a hall after he returns from Egypt (1881a), building an altar (1882b), and planting a grove by another hall (2841b). Similarly, the use of ræran (Gen. 114a) and hebban (Gen. 148b), which both mean 'to raise or lift up' reminds us of the building of the Tower of Babel (1675b, 1681b). In sum, the actions of God in creating the earth are described by verbs identical to those which describe the actions of mortal men constructing buildings

and establishing settlements on earth.¹⁸

It is Heaven itself which provides the model according to which Christ sereð 'plans / devises' (C&S 15a) the form which the earth will have. The kingdom of Heaven (heofonrice) is described as heahgetimbro, / godlice geardas 'high-timbered [with] excellent yards' (Gen. 739b - 40a). Heaven is called a hehseld 'high-hall' (C&S 43b, 47a, 207a), a halige seld 'holy hall' (C&S 347b) and again, heahgetimbrad 'high-timbered' (C&S 29b). Heaven is further depicted as a cestre 'city' (C&S 256a, 297a, and 655a) where the blessed sittað 'sit' by the Son of the Healer (C&S 645 - 46). The entrance to Heaven is a geat gylden gimum gefrætewod 'golden gate adorned with gems' (647). Its description is reminiscent of Heorot in Beowulf, geatolic and gold-fah 'splendid and adorned with gold' (308a), and the street which leads up to it, stan-fah 'adorned with [precious] stones' (320a). Heaven has weallas 'walls' substantial enough to reflect the radiance of the wlitige 'brightly radiant' (C&S 650) saints. Thus we see that Heaven, the "ideal, eternal order of being,"¹⁹ is itself a hall of which the earth is a copy in time and space.²⁰

Satan's desire to build a hall of his own to rival God's is a concrete manifestation of his prideful disobedience and rebellion:

Pohte purh his anes cræft

hu he him strenglicran stol geworhte,
 heahran on heofonum; cwæð þæt hine his
 hige speone
 þæt he west and norð wyrcean ongunne,
 trymede getimbro (Gen. 272b - 76a)

He thought how he might, by his own strength,
 build a stronger throne, higher in heaven;
 said that his thought encouraged him that he
 should begin to build a stately building in
 the west and north.

The rebellious angels in Christ and Satan, moreover,

Heofon deop gehygd, / þa heo on heofonum ham staðelodon
 'lament deeply [their] thought [plan] to establish a home
 for themselves in Heaven' (C&S 343b - 44, cf. 275, Gen.
 33).

What Satan receives as punishment for this rebellion
 which threatened the "golden dryht" of God, his lord, is a
 "parody of Heaven,"²¹ a perversion of what he desired. For
þam werlogan 'those breakers of the covenant' (Gen. 36b) God
 creates a hall (hof, sele) which is werigan 'miserable' (C&S
 331b), hate 'hot' (C&S 192a) and rædleas 'devoid of good
 counsel' (Gen. 44b). It is a witehus 'house of punishment
 or torment' (Gen. 39a; C&S 626b) and a manhus 'house of
 wickedness' (Ex. 536b). It is a ham 'home' described
 variously as atola 'evil' (C&S 95b), wræcligne 'wretched'
 (Gen. 37a), ðeostræ 'dark' (C&S 38a), and walica 'woeful'
 (C&S 99a). Superficially it is like a real hall, with
 floors (C&S 39b - 40a, 70 - 71a, 317b - 18a) and doors
 (C&S 379b, 465b, 720a), but the floors are of boiling fire
 and the doors are not strong enough to prevent Christ (at

the Harrowing) from breaking them down to deprive Satan of some of his thanes and harm him in his own hall. They afford Satan and his thanes no comfort or protection. This hall is filled with flames (Gen. 43a; C&S 263b - 64)²² but nevertheless remains dark (Gen. 42a, 345b, 761b; C&S 38a, 100a, 104a, 110b, 336b) and cold (Gen. 43b; C&S 334b, 635b).²³ It cannot guard its inhabitants against enemies (Christ), nor can it protect them against the elements of nature. Though it contains a fire, even greater than that of a real hall, it remains cold and is filled with neowle genip 'dark mists' (C&S 101a, 179a, 444a, 703b) and wind (C&S 135b, 319a, 384a).²⁴ Whereas the walls and door of a hall protect those inside against the ravages of military enemies and harsh weather, these are part of the internal conditions of the hall of Hell. Though, like Heorot, it is mid irne eall ymbfangen 'all bound about with iron' (C&S 516), Christ cannot be prevented from entering or leaving at will.

Kathryn Hume remarks that anti-halls often "gain poetic resonance from their affinities with the grave":²⁵ in Christ and Satan and Exodus, Hell is described as a scræf 'pit, grave' (C&S 26b, 73b, 417a, 631a, 690b, 724b; Ex. 538a, cf. Wanderer, 84), and a grim græfhus 'grim gravehouse' (C&S 707a). Hell is also termed a carcern 'prison' (C&S 488a, 635a); like a grave and a prison both, and unlike a true hall, the inhabitants are not free to

come and go -- the hall of Hell holds them captive. In every way, Hell is certainly most ungelic 'unlike' Heaven (cf. Gen. 356 - 58a, 794b - 95). It is an anti-hall: the negation and inversion of everything a good hall should be.

The anti-hall nature of Hell and the absolute distinction between it and Heaven are sharpened by the presence in Heaven of the conventional joys (wynn, dreamas) of the hall, while Hell is filled conversely with their opposites. In a true hall, the bonds of the comitatus are strengthened by the shared conviviality of food, drink and song. In Beowulf there is dream 'joy' in Heorot, hludne in healle; pær wæs hearpan sweg, / swutol sang scopes 'loud in the hall; there was the sound of the harp, the clear song of the minstrel' (88b - 90a). During the celebration which follows the killing of Grendel:

Leoð wæs asungen,
gleo-mannes gyd. Gamen eft astah,
beorhtode benc-sweg, byrelas sealdon
win of wunder-fatum. (1159b - 62a)

The lay [i.e. the Finn Episode just completed] was sung, the song of the glee-man [minstrel]. Merriment rose up, bench-sound brightened, cup-bearers dispensed wine from wondrous vessels.

For the wanderer, it is a matter of regret that symbla gesetu 'seats at the feasting' (Wand. 93a) and sele-dreamas 'joys of the hall' (Wand. 93b) are no more. In The Seafarer, the speaker laments the fact that he has had to content himself with pale imitations of hall-joys:

ielfete sang
 . . . to gamene, ganotes hleoðor
 and hwilpan sweg fore hleahtor werā,
 mæw singende fore medu-drince.
 (19b - 22)

the song of the swan . . . for merriment,
 the laughter of the gannet and the sound
 of the curlew for the laughter of men, and
 the singing sea-mew for mead-drinking.

These hall-joys are present in the hall of Heaven, where
 there is gleam and dream . . . beorhte blisse 'joy and
 pleasure . . . bright bliss' (Gen. 12b, 14a), wuldres
blæd . . . hehselda wyn . . . dreamas 'the fruit of glory
 . . . joy of the high hall . . . joys' (C&S 42b, 43b, 44b).
 There is sang ymb [æt] selde 'song about [at] the hall'
 (C&S 233a, 661a), and the melodious wuldres sweg 'sound of
 glory' (C&S 151b, 235b). Its inhabitants wuniað in wynnum
 'dwell in joys' (C&S 506a, 554, 592a), dryhtene deore 'dear
 to the lord' (C&S 82a):

. . . wæs soð swa ær sibb on heofnum,
 fægre freopopeawas, frea eallum leof,
 peoden his pegnum; prymmas weoxon
 duguða mid drihtne, dreamhæbbendra.
 (Gen. 78 - 81)

there was true peace in heaven as before [the
 rebellion of the angels] fair customs of peace,
 the lord dear to all, the prince [dear] to his
 thanes; glories grew for the loyal troop with
 the lord, the possessors of joy.

Hell, by contrast, is dreama leas 'without joys'
 (Gen. 40a, 108a). Instead it is filled with lamentation and
 cries of anguish: a gnornende cynn grundas mænan 'mourn-
 ful tribe moan in the depths' (C&S 133), pær is wom and wop

wide gehered 'there [in Hell] woe and wailing is heard widely' (C&S 332, cf. 333b). Its inhabitants gnash their teeth in the agony of their torments, filling the hall with their tumult: gristbitungc . . . toða geheaw, / hlude and geomre 'biting together [of teeth] . . . gnashing of teeth, loud and miserable' (C&S 333a, 338b - 39a). Instead of the pleasure and consolation (hyht) of hall-joys and the fellowship of their fellow comites, those who reside in Hell have to be content with much less:

cyle and fyr,
wean and witu and wyrma preat,
dracan and næddran
(C&S 334b - 36a)

cold and fire, woe and torments and a
troop of serpents, dragons and snakes.

The only song they hear is that of the last-named: nedran swæg 'the song of the snake' (C&S 101b). If it is true that "the hall is poetically equivalent to the mondream ['man-joys'] it encloses,"²⁶ Hell is most certainly a demonic inversion of Heaven.

The earth is a niwra gesceafta 'newer creation' (Gen. 208a) expressly made to replace that violated by the rebellion of the angels. Thus its creation, according to Lee, is

. . . at once a restoration of an original
divine pattern and an extension of the
celestial₂ dryht into another part of the
universe.

The halls occupied by earthly troops are therefore intended

to be like that which God created for Adam and Eve: god
and gastlic, gifena gefylled/ fremum forðweardum 'good
 and hospitable, filled with the long-lasting benefits of
 gifts' (Gen. 209 - 10a). This "hall", Neorxnawong
 'Paradise,' contains fair streams and welling springs which
 irrigate (leohte) land adorned with fruits (Gen. 210b -
 15a) like particularly fertile fields surrounding a pros-
 perous Anglo-Saxon tun. When Adam and Eve disobey, they are
 expelled from this hihtfulne ham 'pleasure-filled home'
 (Gen. 946a) an epithet also applied to Heaven in Christ and
Satan, 215a. Adam loses the absolute power he held while
 seated in the stronglican stol 'powerful throne' (Gen. 366)
 which he occupied next to God's own as Lucifer's replace-
 ment. But God in his mercy allows Adam and Eve to remain
 within the hall of middangeard (Gen. 952 - 960). Succeed-
 ing troops abuse and pervert the hall-joys of earth,
 however, and suffer further punishment from God. The
 descendents of Seth disobey God and seek brides among the
 sinful children of Cain (Gen. 1248 - 52); their progeny
 grow womma ðriste,/ inwitfulle 'eager in evil,/ full of
 malice' (1272b - 73a). For their abuse of the pleasures
 which their Lord has made possible, they are swept away by
 the Flood.

In a similar way, the people of Sodom and Gomorrah
 adopt a life of sin which is displeasing to God:

. . . hie firendæda to frece wurdon,

synna priste, soð ofergeaton,
 drihtnes domas, and hwa him dugeða forgeaf,
 blæd on burgum. (Gen. 2582 - 85a)

. . . they became too daring in criminal deeds,
 too eager in sins, forgot the truth, the judgments
 of the Lord, and who gave them benefits,
 prosperity in the city.

From their halls it is not proper dream which is swiðe
hludne 'very loud' (Gen. 2409b), as it is from Heorot (Bwf.
 88b - 89a), but rather synnigra cyrm . . ./ ealogalra gylp,
yfele spræce 'the noise of sins . . ./ ale-inspired
 boasts, evil speech' (Gen. 2409a, 2410). Despite all the
duguða 'benefits' (2584b, cf. 2421a) with which they have
 been provided by God, they repay their lord only with
gnyrne 'insults' (2422a). For this they are consumed by
 Hell-like flames (2550b - 62a). The Israelites, after
 their return from the Egyptian captivity, are prosperous
 until hie wlenco anwod æt winpege/ deofoldædum, druncne
geðohtas 'pride came upon them, devilish deeds, drunken
 thoughts, at the wine-taking' (Dan. 17 - 18). The feasting
 which should lead to a strengthening of the bond between
 Lord and retainer here, as at Sodom and Gomorrah, is
 perverted and instead breaks up the comitatus because it
 leads the Israelites to forleton/ drihtnes domas, curon
deofles cræft 'forsake the commands of the Lord, choose
 the skills of the devil' (Dan. 31b - 32). They are given
 over to their enemies, led by the demonic Nebuchadnezzar.
 Belshazzar, Nebuchadnezzar's descendent, under wealla hleo

. . . wealle belocene 'under the cover of walls . . .
 locked behind walls [in his hall]' (Dan. 690a, 695b) seats
 himself to symble 'to the feast' (Dan. 700a) and medugal
wearð '[becomes] flushed with mead' (Dan. 702a). At the
 feasting Belshazzar does not strengthen the fellowship
 between himself and the Lord who made him prosperous and
 powerful; rather he gealp gramlice 'boast[s] fiercely' (Dan.
 713a) against God and violates the sacred vessels (Dan.
 703 - 11). Subsequently he, like the Israelites before
 him, is given over to his enemies. Belshazzar is ultimately
 consigned to the anti-hall of Hell, as we are made aware by
 Daniel's characterization of him and his comitatus as
 devils (Dan. 749a, 764a).

Those, on the other hand, who are loyal to God and
 do not abuse the eorðan dreamas 'joys of earth' are seen
 in situations reminiscent of the heahseld 'high-hall' of
 Heaven and the hihtfulne ham 'hope-filled home' of Paradise.
 Malalehel, the great-grandson of Seth, Abel's replacement,
 is described as possessing mondreama 'man-joys' (Gen. 1176b)
 in this world. Lot, eadege and wærfæst 'blessed' and 'true
 to the covenant' (Gen. 2597b, 2598a), and waldende leof
 'beloved of the Ruler' (Gen. 2598b), is given land by the
 Jordan River:

	grene eorðan
Seo wæs wætrum weaht	and wæstmum peaht,
lagostreamum leoht,	and gelic godes
neorxnawange	(<u>Gen.</u> 1921b - 24a)

green earth. It was awakened by waters
and covered with fruits, irrigated by
lake-streams, and like God's paradise.
cf. Gen. 210b - 212a, 214b - 15a.²⁸

The liberated Israelites, after the crossing of the Red Sea,²⁹ are described as a troop enjoying the pleasures of the hall:

werod wæs on salum,
sungon sigebyman, (segnas stodon),
on fægerne sweg.
.
Hreðdon hildespelle, siððan hie þam herge
wiðforon;
hofon herepreatas hlude stefne,
for þam dædweorce drihten heredon,
weras wuldres sang. (Ex. 565b - 67a, 574 - 77a)

the troop was in joy, victory-trumpets sang
(banners stood) in a fair [melodious] sound.

[They] rejoiced in the battle-story after they went against that army; the army-troops [of the Israelites] raised loud voices praising the lord for the noble deed, men sang of glory.

The troops (werod, herepreatas) here raise up their voices and those of their trumpets in celebratory song (sang, sweg) and rejoice (hreðdon) in recounting their experiences in battle (hildespelle), just as thanes in an actual Anglo-Saxon hall might regale each other with tales of past glories. The Finn Episode in Beowulf, about which the minstrel sings in Heorot after the death of Grendel, is just such a hildespelle.

Besides using the architectural structure of the hall and the convivial joys of comitatus fellowship within it as sources of imagery, the poets of the Junius Manuscript

also make use of treasure-giving, the granting of wealth by a lord to his loyal retainers, as a metaphor for the relationship between God and his creatures. We have already noted the social and symbolic significance of such gift-giving in Anglo-Saxon society; all that needs to be reiterated here is that the hall was the "centre of power from which treasure was distributed"³⁰ and within the hall "the fundamental gesture was the lord's giving of treasure from the high seat."³¹ Hrothgar, the lord of Heorot, whom the Beowulf-poet describes as a god cyning 'good king' (863b), eall gedælan/geongum ond ealdum,
swylc him God sealde,/ . . . beagas dælde,/ sinc æt symle
 'dispenses all that God gave him, to young and old . . . [he] dispensed vessels, treasure at the feasting' (71b - 72, 80b - 81a). The heahsetl 'high-seat,' or simply setl or stol 'seat, chair, throne,' from which gifts of treasure are given out becomes the conceptual centre of that sub-complex of imagery which we are now examining: wealth, its distribution, and their ironic reversals. Being denied access to the source of wealth or the destruction of the heahsetl (or gifstol 'gift-seat') are, because of the moral values associated with treasure, among the greatest of misfortunes in Old English poetry. The wanderer laments the loss of the maðum-giefa 'treasure-giver' (Wand. 92b), and part of his tragedy is his separation from the giefstol (44b). In Beowulf, Grendel's exclusion from the presence

of the gief-stol (Bwf. 168b) is indicative of his cursed and forscrifen 'proscribed' (106b) condition.³² Later in Beowulf the destruction of the gif-stol Geata 'gift-seat of the Geats' (2327a) by the bryne-wylmum 'whelming fire' (2326b) of the dragon causes Beowulf hyge-sorga mæst 'the greatest of sorrow' (2328b), peostrum geponcum, swa him gepywe ne wæs 'dark thoughts, as was not customary for him' (2332, cf. 1384 - 85). The calamity is of such magnitude that Beowulf, whose gifstol it was, wonders whether he has offended God (2329 - 31a); no explanation short of that appears sufficient. Thus, negatively, we have evidence of the significance of the heahsetl and what it represents.

In the macrocosmic hall of Heaven, the heahsetl is occupied by God himself:

. . . he sylfa sit, sigora waldend,
 drihten hælend, in ðæm deoran ham,
 and ymb pæt hehsetl hwhite standað
 engla feðan and eadigra,
 halige heofenpreatas herigað drihten
 wordum and weorcum. (C&S 217 - 22a)

he himself, the Ruler of Victories, the Healing Lord, sits in that dear home, and about that high-seat stand the white troops of angels and the blessed, the holy troops of Heaven praise the Lord in words and deeds.

God is pictured very much as an earthly lord of Anglo-Saxon times, surrounded by his troop of loyal retainers who pay homage to him as he sits on his throne. After the rebellious angels have been cast out of Heaven, the setl 'throne' of God is seen standing alone amidst gifum

growende on godes rice,/ beorht and geblædfæst 'growing gifts in God's kingdom, bright and filled with blessing' (Gen. 38 - 89a). Heaven is described as buendra leas 'without inhabitants' (89b). The poignancy of having so much wealth but no retainers to receive it inspires God to build a new hall, that is, to create the earth, and fill it with a new comitatus, Adam and Eve and their descendents.

Lucifer, who already has setla gewæld 'power over [his own] high-seat' (Gen. 411b) second only to God's in magnitude (Gen. 254a, 536b), greedily and improperly wants to supplant God's high-seat with his own:

he on norðdæle
ham and heahsetl heofena rices
agan wolde.

• • • • •

"Ic hæbbe geweald micel
to gyrwanne godlecran stol,
hearran on heofne." (Gen. 32b - 34a,
280b - 82a)

he, in the northern parts [of Heaven],
wished to own the home and high-seat of the
kingdom of Heaven.

• • • • •

"I have much power to adorn a more excellent throne, higher in Heaven." Cf. Gen. 272b - 74a.

Lucifer's reward is not only failure but the loss of the throne he already occupies: Pa wearð se mihtiga gebolgen, / hehsta heofones waldend, wearp hine of þan hean stole 'then the mighty one, the Ruler of Highest Heaven, grew angry [and] cast him from that high seat' (Gen. 299b - 300).

In middangeard, modelled after Heaven, those

retainers of God who remain loyal and obedient to him are allowed to maintain their thrones. Although eðel-setl and eðel-stol are often translated simply as synonyms for 'homeland, native land,' they refer literally to the throne (setl or stol) from which the homeland (eðel) is ruled. Seth (Gen. 1129b), Noah (Gen. 1485a, 1514b), Abraham's father at Carran (Gen. 1748a) and Lot (1927b) are all described as being the possessors of such thrones from which they govern a troop and dispense treasure.

Not only do the four poems of the Junius Manuscript contain reference to the heah-setl as it is found both in Heaven and on earth, but the "fundamental gesture," the giving of treasure from the high-seat, is also prominent. When God himself is the distributor, treasure can take various forms; basically, every good thing which men receive is conceived as a gift from God.³³ The first suggestion of this is seen in the use of dælan 'to divide or separate,' but also 'to distribute, dispense' (cf. Bwf. 71b, 80b) in the Genesis Creation passage. We read that waldend ure 'our ruler' (147a) Holmas dælde 'separated/distributed seas' (146b), and that the Flod wæs adæled/under heahrodore '[sea-] Flood was separated/distributed under the high sky' (150b - 51a). In both places, particularly in view of the biblical source (Gen. 1: 6-7, cf. OE Gen. 152a), what is almost certainly intended is that we read dælan to mean 'separate' or 'divide'. But

God continues to grant gifts to those of his followers who remain loyal, inspired by the same mercy and generosity that later leads him to allow the benefits of earth and the adorned sky to remain as comforts for a fallen and outcast Adam and Eve. In Christ and Satan we read that dreamas he gedelde, duguðe and gepeode 'joy he [Christ] distributed, prosperity and languages' (19)³⁶ to Adam and that æðele cyn,/ engla ordfruman 'noble tribe, the first of angels' (20b - 21a). Also we read that drihten weoroda 'the lord of hosts' (C&S 580b) even nu 'now' (579a), dæleð dogra gehwæm . . . help and hælo hælepa bearnum/ geond middangeard 'each day distributes . . . help and health to the sons of men throughout middle-earth' (580 - 82a). To Adam he sealde 'granted' (Gen. 174b, 883b) help (wraðe, Gen. 174b; fultum, Gen. 173b) in the form of a woman, Eve, and control of all the beasts of land and sea is on geweald geseald 'given into [his] power' (Gen. 202b). God makes a similar gift to Noah (Gen. 1516b) after the Flood has destroyed the original creation and life begins anew. God's gifts to his loyal followers can be as concrete as those of an earthly lord. They can be the sinc 'treasures' of gold and seolfre 'silver' begas 'rings' which Abraham possesses (Gen. 1725, 1769, 1876), or which the Israelites have been promised in Exodus (557a) and are themselves distributing in Daniel (2a). God, goldes brytta 'distributor of gold' (Gen. 2868a), gives such

gife 'gifts' as a lean 'reward' to the captive Israelites who maintain their loyalty to him during their Babylonian captivity (Dan. 199, 395b, 420b).

Even Nebuchadnezzar is given wealth and prosperity by God. The greatness of Babylon over which he gloats is, in fact, a sundorgife/ pe him god sealde 'special gift, which God granted him' (Dan. 605b - 06a). The objects of wealth which Nebuchadnezzar is able to plunder from the Israelites are also gifena . . . pe him pær to duguðe drihten scyrede 'gifts . . . which the Lord gave him there for his prosperity' (Dan. 86a, 87). Like his counterpart in eternity, Nebuchadnezzar is not thankful for what he has been given (Dan. 85 - 86) and is cast down. Because he slays Abel, who had offered an acceptable sacrifice to God (Gen. 976b - 78a), Cain is denied the riches which would otherwise have been granted him in the hall of middangeard: Ne seleð pe wæstmas eorðe/ wlitige to woruldnytte 'earth will not give you beautiful riches for enjoyment in the world' (Gen. 1015b - 16a). The sinful people of Sodom and Gomorrah have been given so much wealth that their cities are referred to as goldburgum 'gold-cities' (Gen. 2551b, cf. Gen. 2406a) and they themselves are able to distribute it, presumably to retainers of their own (1997b). When, despite their wealth, they ignore and disobey the lord who him dugeða forgeaf,/blæd on burgum 'gave them benefits, prosperity in the cities' (2584b - 85a), they

are gesealde 'granted' (1925b, 2508a) their final reward: whelming fire, like that granted to Lucifer.³⁷

The gifts which God dispenses from his heahsetl in Heaven are not only as concrete and material as this; help and hælo 'help and health' (C&S 581a) are among the gifts God bestows even now on his followers. He gives the captive Israelites power over weapons (gesealde wæpna geweald, Ex. 20a) so that they can escape from Egypt and claim the onwist eðles 'habitation of a homeland' (Ex. 18a) which he also gives them (16a). The ability Daniel has to interpret dreams is the result of God's gife of heofnum 'gift from Heaven' (Dan. 154b). Daniel is gæst geseald 'granted the spirit' (Dan. 532b, cf. Dan. 154 - 55, 737) from God in Heaven in order to reveal God's messages to Nebuchadnezzar and Belshazzar. Abimelech, though he is Abraham's (and therefore God's) enemy, is nevertheless aware that it is God who sigor seleð 'grants victory' (Gen. 2809a). God is referred to by the epithet lifes brytta (Gen. 122a, 129a) indicating that he gives the gift of life itself, and not just earthly life; as the Christ and Satan-poet emphasizes, God us no forlæteð, ah lif syleð/ uppe mid englum, eadigne dream 'does not forsake us, but grants life, blessed joy, up with the angels' (291 - 92).

The most important of all God's gifts in the poems of the Junius Manuscript, however, are the pledges and covenants which he gives to men.³⁸ First among these is

God's wære 'pledge' to protect Noah and his family from the coming Flood (Gen. 1328 - 32a). The second is given, also to Noah, after the first has been fulfilled: Ic eow treowa pæs/ mine selle 'I give you this my pledge' (Gen. 1535b - 36a). God promises never to destroy the world by Flood again, and sets the rainbow in the sky as a sign of this promise (Gen. 1538b - 42). The central promise of the latter half of Genesis is that Abraham will have a son who will be the progenitor of a tribe so vast that it will fill the world. This promise is first made in lines 2204b - 08a:

"Ic þe wære nu,
mago Ebrea, mine selle,
þæt sceal fromcynne folde pine,
sidland manig, geseted wurðan,
eorðan sceatas . . ."

"Prince of the Hebrews, I now give you
my pledge that the corners of the earth,
many a broadland of the world, shall be
settled by your progeny . . ."

This wære 'pledge' is given again and again:

"Gien þe sunu weorðeð
bearn of bryde purh gebyrd cumen."
(Gen. 2197b - 98)
.

"Ic þa wære forð
soðe gelæste."
(Gen. 2309b - 10a)
.

"Ponne ic pas ilcan cðre siðe
wic gesece, þe beoð wordgehat
min gelæsted."
(Gen. 2395 - 97a)

"Still a son shall come to pass for you, a son
[shall come] from that bride in birth."
.

"I henceforth [in the future] will truly ful-
fill the pledge."
.

"When I seek this same place next
time, my word-promise will be carried
out."

The birth of Isaac (Gen. 2760 - 67) fulfills the promise given to Abraham, for Isaac himself is a gift (2331a). Likewise the burh and beagas, brade rice 'city and rings, broad kingdom' (Ex. 557) to which the liberated Israelites look forward after the crossing of the Red Sea is viewed as the fulfillment of this same promise pæt he lange gehet/ mid aðsware 'which he [God] long promised with oath-swearing' (Ex. 558b - 59a, cf. Ex. 432 - 36). In like manner, an earthly lord would carry out any promise which he gave to his retainers and had sworn oaths to in front of the comitatus gathered in the hall. This series of promises is crucial to the Junius Manuscript because it forms an integral part of the testing process to which the Israelites are being submitted in the first three poems, beginning with the Fall of Adam and Eve. The promised progeny will (as the audience is well aware) bring forth the Second Adam, who will, as we see in Christ and Satan, stand firm where the First failed and be the Redeemer (in a real, physical way at the Harrowing of Hell) of all those who maintain loyalty to God after the Fall. In order that the chosen people be able to recognize and accept him and that they be worthy to receive the Son of God, their trust must be absolute and their faith true -- hence the testing. At the same time the promise serves as

a frofre 'comfort' (Gen. 2311a) to them in the midst of the adversities which try them. It is important to note that these centrally important pledges are described as having been given, as an earthly lord might give gifts to his retainers, and for the same reason: to ensure the comfort and loyalty of those retainers.

God's loyal retainers are able, like their Lord, to dispense treasure of their own. Their halls and troops within the larger ones of middangeard are imitations of the divine one. Mathusal, though in the line of Cain, dælde . . . æðelinga gestreon 'dispense[s] . . . the treasure of nobles' (Gen. 1069b, 1071a) to his brothers and kinsmen. Jared, the father of Enoch, gold brittade 'dispense[s] gold' (1181b). Noah's father, Lamech, woruld bryttade 'dispense[s the treasure of] the world' (1226a), thus ruling it. Noah himself land bryttade 'distribute[s] land' (1236a) after the death of Lamech, as his own sons ead bryttedon 'dispense[s] blessings (1602b) such as their beorht wela 'bright wealth' (1603b) presumably, after his passing. Japhet's son, Geomor, succeeds him and dælde 'dispense[s]' fæder flettgesteald 'the household treasures of his father' (1611) to friends and relatives. Abraham woruld bryttedon 'dispense[s] worldly treasures' (1724b), and in battle against the Northern armies, in true heroic fashion, he sealde/ wig to wedde, nalles wunden gold 'grant[s] battle as a promised security, not at all wound

gold' (Gen. 2069b - 70, cf. Maldon, 46). Once the battle is over and the victory won, Abraham generously returns the captured treasures to their rightful owner, the king of Sodom (2139 - 50) though he has been told by the latter (2128b - 30a) that he may keep it if he wishes. He does, however, keep some treasure so that like a proper Germanic lord he can reward those warriors who aided him in battle:

. . . dæle pissa drihtwera,
 Aneres and Mamres and Escoles.
 Nelle ic pa rincas rihte benæman,
 ac hie me fulleodon æt æscpræce,
 fuhton pe æfter frofre. (Gen. 2151 - 55a)

. . . the portion [belonging to] these troop-
 men, Aner, Mamre, and Eshcol. I do not want
 to take from those warriors what is right,
 because they fully aided me at the ash-struggle
 [battle], fought to ensure your comfort.

In Exodus, the Hebrews dælan 'dispense' (585b) Joseph's treasure (588b) which consists of segnum . . . ealde madmas,/ reaf and randas . . . gold and godweb 'banners . . . old treasures,/ garments and shields . . . gold and good-weave [high-quality cloth]' (Ex. 585b, 586b - 87a, 588a) among themselves as a consequence of the destruction of the Egyptians. After their return from Egypt, the Israelites goldhord dælan 'dispense the gold-hoard' (Dan. 2b) until they fall away from loyalty to their Lord. The descendents of the reformed Nebuchadnezzar ead bryttedon 'distribute blessings' (Dan. 671b, 690b) until Belshazzar, in his drunkenness, violates the sacred

vessels and boasts against God. These last two instances demonstrate that treasure is forthcoming from the Lord only as long as his retainers keep their part of the obligation, loyalty.

Satan, Nebuchadnezzar, and Abimelech are ineffective lords, in contrast with those who are loyal and obedient to God as the Israelites and Chaldeans both are for a time at least. Satan, of course, leads his followers into the miseries of the anti-hall, Hell. He has no rewards for them except the misery of God's punishment; in eternity as in time, all true rewards come from God. Nebuchadnezzar is unable to protect his own thanes from the flames he has had kindled to destroy those of God. Abimelech is termed a brytta 'ruler, distributor' of synna 'sins' (Gen. 2642a) rather than sinces 'treasure'.³⁹

Indeed, those who follow this leader are rewarded with sterility (Gen. 2742 - 49), the very antithesis of the increase and prosperity which comes to those loyal to God.

In this chapter we have shown how the Old English poets of Genesis, Exodus, Daniel, and Christ and Satan describe all three levels of their universe in images of the hall and the activities which take place therein. The relationships which obtain between Creator and creature, God and man, are portrayed in imagery drawn from the Anglo-Saxon hall and the Germanic comitatus which occupy it. Those loyal and obedient to God are consistently

represented enjoying the normal pleasures of the hall, receiving gifts from God, their Lord, and dispensing them to their own followers. The disloyal and disobedient are portrayed in reversals of these norms, suffering in temporal terms and enduring the eternal miseries of the ultimate anti-hall, Hell. In this way the Old English poets forcefully express Christian truths and teach a Christian message in the language of Germanic tradition. Thus they make the overall didactic theme -- the necessity and propriety of serving and praising God -- clear and emphatic to their audience.

The final chapter will show how the poets use the related Germanic imagery of exile to express this theme further.

Chapter V: The Imagery of Exile

The condition of exile in Anglo-Saxon society was much to be feared. In a world "in which all joys were communal" the exile was forced to "depend upon himself for all his worldly joy."¹ In a society in which treasure was "the material manifestation of the proven or inherent worthiness of whoever possesses it,"² to be denied access to the heahsetl from which all treasure flowed was in effect to be denied any social status whatever. A thane's personal security was assured only by his belonging to a comitatus, whose lord would demand vengeance or compensation from those who injured him. The condition of exile therefore meant constant vulnerability to attack. Finally, exclusion from hall and tun or the loss of these forced the exile to face the long, dark nights and the harsh elements in isolation and with scant protection. Hence it is no exaggeration to say that exile represented "the epitome of misfortune"³ in Anglo-Saxon life.

In Old English poetry isolation from social relationships and their concomitant joys and benefits "is typically expressed . . . by the images that cluster around the figure of the exile."⁴ Cast out of the circle of warmth and light into the threatening darkness outside hall and tun, the exile serves as an ideal metaphor for the

lack of moral and spiritual values represented symbolically by hall, troop and treasure; in social terms being exiled means being without these centrally important things.⁵

But there was another concept of exile prevalent in Anglo-Saxon society. The concept of the peregrinatio pro amore dei 'traveller for the love of God' can be understood best by a brief glimpse at Augustine's City of God.

Augustine assigns all societies and all individuals in time and space into two metaphorical cities, the City of God and the City of Man. The City of Man includes all earthly societies which look no farther than themselves for their own strength and glory. Their creation is inspired by a self-love that contemptuously rejects God. The City of God, on the other hand, loves God more than itself and indeed carries its love of God to the point of self-contempt; it glories not in man but in his Creator.⁶ The first citizen of the City of God was Abel, who, Augustine says, was "a pilgrim and a stranger in this world." He goes on to say more generally that

the City of the saints is up above, although it produces citizens here below, and in their persons the City is on pilgrimage until the time of its kingdom comes.⁷

All Christians, citizens of the heavenly city, are by definition exiles and pilgrims in this world. To avoid the lucre and attraction of societies belonging to the City of Man, zealous and ascetic Anglo-Saxons and Celts

voluntarily chose a life of exile despite (indeed, because of) its hardships. How prevalent this was is not easy to say. Bede refers to numerous instances in the Ecclesiastical History. A certain Willibrord (III, 13), for example, for heofona rices lufan in elpeodignesse lifde 'lived in exile for the love of the heavenly kingdom.' The Abbess Hild (IV, 23) of Whitby, where the apocryphal author of the Junius Manuscript poems may have lived, retired in later life to a monastery at Caale in Gaul to in elpeodignesse fore Drihtne lifigean 'live in exile for the Lord.'⁸ Dorothy Whitelock interprets the speaker of The Seafarer as such an exile.⁹

In both views, the situation of exile itself was seen as totally negative. The first saw it as punishment for failing to be loyal to one's lord, the second as a voluntary trial undergone by those so loyal to the Lord that they gave up society. Both views are evident in the Junius Manuscript. Whether the poets are speaking of exile in the usual sense or in that of peregrinatio, the same diction is used. This diction emphasizes three aspects of exile besides explicitly identifying the character as an exile. A lack of the benefits of a comitatus forms one of the major aspects of exile. The idea of deprivation is expressed by the past participles of words such as bedælan, bescirian, bereafian, bedreosan, and benæman following the instrumental or genitive singular or plural of the benefit

which is lacking. Additionally, the suffix -leas, and the adjective feasceaft 'destitute' express the idea of lack or loss.

Leaving one's hall is the second major aspect of exile along with the consequent wandering the exile endures as he desperately searches for a new lord and comitatus. Thus, such verbs as gewitan 'to depart,' faran, wadan 'to journey,' tredan 'to tread, step,' secan 'to seek,' hweorfan 'to turn, wander,' are also prominent in exilic diction, along with the noun laste 'path,' particularly in combination with forms of wræccan, and the adverb feorr 'far.' Finally, exile imagery often refers to the exile's wretched state of mind with words such as geomor, earm, earfoð, hean, compounds of these adjectives, plus compounds of adjectives ending in -cearig and -mod.¹⁰ In The Seafarer, the exile laments,

" . . . ic earm-cearig is-cealdne sæ
winter wunode wreccan lastum,
wine-magam bedroren." (14 - 16)

" . . . I dwelt, miserably-caring, on the ice-cold sea in winter, in the paths of exile, bereft of friendly kinsmen."

Similarly, in The Wanderer the speaker expresses his condition as oft earm-cearig, eðle bedæled, / freo-magam feorr 'often miserably-caring, bereft of a homeland, far from noble-kinsmen' (20 - 21a). He is fated (5b) to wadan wræc-lastas 'travel the paths of exile' (5a) over a hrim-cealde sæ 'ice-cold sea' (4b). Grendel, whom God forscrifen

hæfde 'had proscribed' (Bwf. 106b), is described as earfoðlice 'miserable' (Bwf. 86b): he hean gewat,/ dreame bedæled 'he departed abjectly, bereft of joys' (Bwf. 1274b - 75a).

References to winter, ice, cold and snow, auxiliary aspects of exile imagery, occur in both The Wanderer (4b) and The Seafarer (14b - 15a). This coldness contrasts with the warmth found in a hall. By setting an exile "in a frostbound wintry landscape," the poets objectify and amplify the hardships he undergoes.¹¹

Not all the particular words and possible formulaic elements listed here need be present to evoke the theme of exile. As Stanley Greenfield has demonstrated, these words and formulas are regularly collocated to form a consistent theme of exile. When one or a few occur in any given context, however, they carry with them "some hint of the contexts in which these formulas or words had previously appeared."¹²

Not surprisingly the exile par excellence in the Junius Manuscript is Satan. Since he rebels against God and seeks to violate the heavenly comitatus, his punishment consists of expulsion from that comitatus and exclusion from its benefits. Occurring outside earthly time, his exile is eternal and therefore so much the worse. The Junius Manuscript provides two perspectives of Lucifer's exile. Genesis A presents the exile at a distance. We witness the punishment prescribed and carried out by God. Christ and

Satan shows the exile from the point of view of those who must endure it. We hear the laments of Satan and his troop; we witness Satan's own recognition that he is in perpetual exile from Heaven. What was presented impersonally in the third-person in Genesis is confirmed by the first-person testimony of the exile himself in Christ and Satan. The two perspectives complement and validate each other.

The Genesis account of Lucifer's exile begins with the statement that God created a wræclicne ham (37a) for the rebellious angels. Then God

Heht pæt witehus wræcna bidan,
deop, dreama leas
.
besloh synsceapan sigore and gewealde,
dome and dugeðe, and dreame benam
his feond, friðo and gefean ealle,
torhte tire, and his torn gewræc
.
 æðele bescyrede
his wiðerbreccan wuldorgestealdum.
(Gen. 39 - 40a, 55 - 58, 63b - 64)

Commanded the exiles to remain in that house
of torments, deep, without joys
.

stripped the sinful-injurer of victory and
power, renown and benefits, and deprived his
enemy of joys, protection, and all pleasure,
bright glory, and avenged his injury
.

sheared away the noble ones, those who fought
against him, from glorious treasures.

These passages present the process of exiling God imposes on Lucifer. Lucifer and his hosts are explicitly termed wræcna 'exiles' (39b). The picture of exile is strengthened

The point of view in these passages is somewhat unique in that it comes primarily from the lord imposing the exile. The emphasis is on the fact that he his torn gewræc 'avenged the injuries against him' (58b). Satan and his followers must bidan 'dwell/remain' (39b) in Hell because God so heht 'commanded' (39a); they take their langne sið 'long journey' (68b) because he sende 'sent' (67b) them. The effect of presenting the exile of Lucifer/Satan from this point of view is to elicit support for the Lord who commands and is obeyed rather than sympathy with Lucifer for the miseries he must undergo as an exile. The essential justice of God's position is not vitiated by dwelling excessively on imagery of exile. The torments of Hell are portrayed primarily as those of an anti-hall, and the use of the third-person point of view provides emotional distance. The punishment is therefore seen as eminently appropriate considering Lucifer's over-weening desire to rule a hall of his own.

In Christ and Satan, the third-person account of the exiling is abandoned. The truth of the exile is confirmed by Satan's own words:

" . . . ic sceal hean and earm hweorfan ðy widor,
wadan wræclastas, wuldre benemed,
duguðum bedeled, nænigne dream agan
uppe mid ænglum."

.

" . . . sceal nu wreclastas
settan sorhgcearig, siðas wide."
Hwearf pa to helle pa he gehened wæs,

godes andsaca; dydon his gingran swa.
 (C&S 119 - 22a, 187b - 90)

"I must abjectly and woefully wander the more
 widely, travel the paths of exile, deprived of
 glory, bereft of benefits, to own no joy up with
 the angels.

.

". . . must now inhabit the paths of exile, in
 long journey, sorrow-caring."

God's enemy turned then to Hell when he was
 made abject; his followers did so [also].

These passages make use of more exilic imagery than does
Genesis. But any sympathy they might generate in the
 audience is mitigated by the immediately following
 statements of the narrator, the troop of fallen angels and
 by Satan himself about Lucifer's crime and presumption.
 Satan admits,

". . . ic ær gecwæð
 pæt ic wære seolfa swægles brytta,
 wihta wealdend." (C&S 122b - 24a)

"I said previously that I myself was the
 chieftain of the skies, the ruler of all-
 things."

This confession tends to deflate any sympathy engendered by
 what has gone immediately before because it is evidence,
 spoken by the offender himself, that his exile is for good
 reason. The weight of lines 122b - 24a in this respect is
 all the stronger since it is already the third such passage
 in the poem. The first, in the voice of the narrator, comes
 in lines 22 - 24, and the second, from Satan's own thanes,
 in lines 56b - 57. Before the second passage (ll. 187b -
 90), Satan also admits ic gepohte adrifan drihten of

selde,/ weoroda waldend 'I thought to drive the lord, the ruler of hosts, from the hall' (186 - 87a).¹³ This has the same deflating effect on the passage which follows.

Exilic diction suffuses Christ and Satan in the descriptions of Hell and of the fate of Satan and his followers. Like Grendel in Beowulf (106b), Satan and pæt scyldige werud 'that guilty troop' (33a) are forscrifen 'proscribed' (33b), that is, sentenced to exile. Hell, the anti-hall to which they are consigned, lacks all the good things contained in a hall (92b - 95a):

Blace hworfon
scinnan forscepene, sceaðan hwearfedon,
earme æglecan, geond pæt atole scref.
(C&S 71b - 73)

The bleak ones wandered, the mis-shaped shining
ones, the injurious ones, miserable monsters,
wandered through that evil pit.

Like exiles they wreclastas wunian moton 'must inhabit the paths of exile' (257) and hwearfan 'wander' (71b, 72b, 340a) geond 'through' (73b, 340a) Hell. They are deprived of benefits (duguðum bedeled, 121a), glory (wuldre benemed, 120b; wuldres bescyrede, 342b), joys (dreamum bedelde, 68a, 343a, cf. 121b), the hope of joy or consolation (hyhtwillan leas, 158b), and good things generally (goda bedæled, 185a; goda lease, 330a). Being blace 'black/pale' (71b) they are, by definition, bereft of colour. By contrast, the blessed souls in Heaven and the angels who remained loyal when Lucifer revolted Beorhte scinað 'shine brightly' (294b),

have eadigne dream 'blessed joy' (292b) and are sorgum bedælde 'deprived [only] of sorrows' (295b).¹⁴ When contrasted with the diction applied to Lucifer/Satan and his rebellious host, the diction used to describe the heavenly hosts illustrates clearly and practically the advantages of being loyal and obedient versus the disadvantages of being rebellious.

The conflicts between God and Lucifer/Satan provide eternal archetypes for human struggles in earthly time and Satan introduces his own sin into the world with his temptation and betrayal of Adam and Eve. Likewise Satan's exile provides the model for all exiles meted out to men on earth. Immediately after they succumb to Satan's invitation to eat the forbidden fruit, Adam and Eve, for example, become aware that the very act of eating has made them exiles:

Hwurfon . . . ba twa,
 togengdon gnorngende on pone grenan weald,

 Gewitan him pa gangan geomermode
 under beamsceade blæde bereafod

 hean hleoðrade hrægles pearfa
 (Gen. 840b - 41, 858 - 59, 866)

Both two turned, went mournfully into the green forest.

Both departed, going sad-minded under the shadow of the tree bereft of leaves

spoke [to God] abjectly of [their] need for clothes.

These passages describe their mournful state of mind (gnorngende, 841a; geomermode, 858b; hean, 866a), their movement into exile (hwurfon, 840a; togengdon, 841a; gewitan, gangan, 858a), and the lament about their pearfa 'need' for clothes, the lack of which they are now for the first time aware. The poet comments that the tree under which they hide from God is blæde bereafod 'bereft of leaves' (859b). This diction contributes to the air of loss and deprivation even though the loss does not occur directly to Adam and Eve. Indeed it is Adam and Eve who have reafode 'plundered' (901a) the tree of its blæd 'fruit' (Gen. 883 - 85a, 890b - 93a, 901b - 02; C&S 415 - 16a, 481b - 82a).

Through their sin, Adam and Eve have willfully violated the moral and spiritual foundation of the lord-thane relationship they have with God in the hall of Paradise (cf. 878b - 79). They are driven from the hall therefore and sent into exile by the Lord against whom they have offended:

"Pu scealt oðerne eðel secean,
 wynleasran wic, and on wræc hweorfan
 nacod niedwædla, neorxnawanges
 dugeðum bedæled." (Gen. 927 - 30a)

"You must seek another homeland, a dwelling place without joy, and wander in exile as a naked needy one, spearated from the benefits of Paradise."

God drives them into the exposed and deprived condition which Adam, after eating the fruit, foresaw (802b - 15a) as

the future condition of man.

In killing Abel, Cain is subject to exile because he violates the comitatus relationship he and his brother share as thanes of God. He must on wræc hweorfan,/ awyrged to widan aldre 'wander in exile, cursed to a great age' (1014b - 15a), geomor hweorfan,/ arleas of earde pinum pu flema scealt/ widlast wrecan, winemagum lað 'wander miserably, without honour, from your home You must beat a long path as a fugitive, hateful to friendly kinsmen' (1018b - 19a, 1020b - 21). Cain accepts the sentence; the poet represents him as a Germanic thane in an earthly comitatus who knows and accepts, even while he laments, that exile is a just punishment for slaying one's kinsman and fellow thane.¹⁵ He confesses his crime: Ic his blod ageat,/ dreor on eorðan 'I spilled his blood, [his] gore on the earth' (1030b - 31a). Then in diction as exilic as that in which God sentences him he restates his punishment:

Pu to dæge pißsum
ademest me fram duguðe and adrifest from
earde minum.

.

Ic awyrged sceal,
peoden, of gesyhðe pinre hweorfan.
(Gen. 1031b - 35)

You, on this day, exclude me [by judgment]
from benefits and drive me from my home.

.

I, Lord, must wander from your sight, [being]
accursed.

Finally, the narrator tells us that Cain did as the Lord

commanded him:

Heht pa from hweorfan
 meder and magum . . . Cain gewat
 gongan geomormod gode of gesyhðe,
 wineleas wrecca . . . fædergeardum feor.
 (Gen. 1047b - 48a, 1049b - 51a, 1053a)

[God] Commanded then [Cain] to wander away
 from mother and kinsmen . . . Cain departed
 going sad-minded from the sight of God, a
 friendless exile . . . [to a place] far from
 the lands of his father.¹⁶

In Daniel Azarias sees the fate of the proud and
 sinful Israelites in exilic terms: Siendon we towrecene
geond widne grund,/ heapum tohworfene, hyldelease 'We
 are exiled through the wide world, our troops are scattered,
 without grace' (300 - 01). Daniel interprets Nebuchadnezzar's
 dream of the huge tree which is cut down (495 - 522)
 to mean that Nebuchadnezzar will dreamleas beon,/ westen
wunian 'be without joys, dwell in the wasteland' (557b -
 58a) as an exile, in effect, for seven years. God will send
 him wineleasne on wræc 'into exile, without friends' (568),
 until his heart onhweorfe 'turns about', i.e. 'is changed/
 converted' (569a). As the dream is fulfilled Nebuchadnezzar
on fleam gewat 'departed in flight' (613b) and wod . . . on
gewindagum/ geocrostne sið 'travelled . . . into days of
 struggle, a sad journey' (615 - 16a). After his gast
ahwearf in godes gemynd 'his soul turned into mindfulness
 of God' (629):

Gewat pa earmsceapen eft siðian,
 nacod nydgenga, nið geðafian,
 wundorlic wræcca and wæda leas.

(Dan. 631 - 33)

Then the miserably-shaped one journeyed
again, the naked traveller in need,
acknowledging his sin, a strange exile
and without clothes.

These lines explicitly describe Nebuchadnezzar as a wundorlic wræcca 'strange exile' (633a), travelling (613b, 615a, 616a, 630) in a state of wretched (615b - 16a) deprivation (557b, 631a, 632b). In addition Nebuchadnezzar is linked specifically to other exiles by special elements of diction: he is described like Satan (C&S 73a), as being earmsceapen 'miserably shaped' (631a). Like Adam and Eve, who are nacod niedwædla (Gen. 929a), Nebuchadnezzar is portrayed as a nacod nydgenga (632a). Additionally, hweorfan 'turning / wandering' (569a, 629a, cf. 626b), a word which we have often seen used with reference to the departure and wandering of exiles, reinforces the exilic diction. As it appears here, hweorfan refers to the 'turning' of Nebuchadnezzar's mind from his sinful condition to his recognition and acceptance of God's lordship; before his physical exile, his mind in its self-pride exists in a kind of exile.¹⁷

To this point we have discussed those earthly exiles who are cast out of the comitatus in which God is the lord and they the retainers. In this they are like their archetype, Lucifer/Satan. Failure to praise and serve God properly brings about their exclusion from the benefits of

this relationship. When used to depict the fates of Satan, Adam and Eve, Cain, the sinful Israelites (in Daniel) and Nebuchadnezzar, the imagery of exile reinforces the prevailing theme in the Junius Manuscript by showing the exile imposed upon the disobedient and disloyal.¹⁸

But as we have suggested, being an exile could also be looked on in favourable terms if it was willingly undergone for the love of God. Being without the pleasures of the comitatus could lead one to greater understanding of the impermanence of worldly existence in relation to the permanence afforded by Heaven; the hardships of exile might serve also as a penitence for sins already committed. Having no earthly lord to turn to forced an exile to focus all his attentions on his Lord in Heaven.

The lines that refer to Nebuchadnezzar's exile illustrate the spiritual benefits of exile which led some medieval Christians to choose a life as peregrinus. Only living apart from men in a westen 'wasteland' (558a, 621a) with wilddedora gewita 'the mind of a wild beast' (623a) leads Nebuchadnezzar to nið geðafian 'recognize his sin' (632b). Likewise, the Song of Azarias demonstrates that the Hebrew's exile is just and spiritually therapeutic. Azarias thanks God for the hardships of the Hebrew's exile in Babylon: Pæs pe panc sie,/ wereda wuldorcynig, pæt pu us pas wrace teodest 'for this we thank you, glory-king of hosts, that you have granted us this punishment (307b -

08).

If we accept Professor Whitelock's interpretation of The Seafarer as peregrinus, then Adam's wish to on sæ wadan 'journey on the sea' (Gen. 830b), on flod faran 'travel on the flood' (Gen. 832a), suggests that he wants to atone for his crime by becoming a peregrinus. This concept of exile as peregrinatio pro amore dei also provides insight into how the Old English poet views the exodus of the Israelites. In particular, it helps explain the apparently incongruous maritime imagery used in Exodus. The Israelites are described as sæmen 'seamen' (Ex. 105b), flotan 'sailors', lit. 'floaters' (133a, 223a, 331b) and sæwicingas 'sea-vikings' (333a) travelling over a flodwege 'floodway' (106a, cf. Seaf. 52a). The pillar of cloud guiding them is described as a segle 'sail' (81b, 89b) whose mæstrapas 'mast-ropes' (82a) cannot be seen. Among other things, the pillar of fire is present to protect the Israelites from the fear of holmegum wederum 'storms like those of the sea' (118b). In lines 331b and 333a the Israelites do not actually cross the Red Sea as sailors but walk along the path through the waters which God has cleared for them. All the other sea-imagery occurs when they travel across the desert. With the reference to the Egyptians as landmanna 'land-dwellers' (179b, cf. 136a) an opposition similar to that in The Seafarer is established between those who live on land and those, like the Israelites, who are sea-dwellers:

" . . . him geliefep lyt, se-pe ag lifes wynn
 gebiden on burgum, bealu-siða hwon,
 wlanc and win-gal, hu ic werig oft
 on brim-lade bidan scolde."
 (Seaf. 27 - 30)

" . . . he can little believe, he, proud and flushed
 with wine, who has the joys of life, dwelling in
 the cities, [can believe] little about such a
 fearful journey, how I often must live sad on the
 sea." (cf. Seaf. 12b - 17, 55b - 57).

The Israelites depart from Egypt and cross the desert led by God's agents, Moses and the two pillars and because they are obedient to God they make the perilous journey successfully. In actual terms, of course, the Israelites are as much land-men as the Egyptians; however the maritime imagery invites us to see that the Israelites, like the seafarer, on brim-lade bidan 'live on the sea' (Seaf. 30), but the imagery here is used figuratively. In the proem to Exodus, the poet says that for eadigra gehwam 'each of blessed ones' (4b) there is bote lifes 'the reward of life' (5b) after the bealusiðe 'baleful journey' (5a). Since this reward comes in Heaven clearly bealusiðe here acts as a metaphor for the life of mortal men in this world. At the conclusion of the Israelites' journey, the narrator says, they bote gesawon 'saw the reward' (583b) and life gefegon 'rejoiced in life' (570a). This comment perhaps means that we are to interpret their journey symbolically as signifying earthly life. Their journey has undeniably been as 'baleful' as that in The Seafarer (28b).¹⁹

The poet also calls the Israelites quite explicitly

wræcmon . . . eðelleasum 'exile-men . . . without a homeland' (137b, 139a), but since their journey as exiles is described figuratively as we have seen, so too we must see their exile not only as conventional but as spiritual, undertaken for the love of God. The spiritual significance of the exile-imagery in Exodus is particularly clear in a passage spoken by Moses but reported by the narrator:

. . . læne dream
wommum awyrged, wreccum alyfed,
earmra anbid. Eðellease
pysne gystsele gihðum healdað
murnað on mode. (Ex. 532b - 36a)

[Earthly life is] transitory joy cursed with
sins, granted to exile in expectation of
miseries. Without a homeland we hold this
guest-hall with sorrows, with mournful minds.²⁰

As we saw previously in 137b and 139a, the Israelites to whom Moses is speaking are physically exiles. Their joyous arrival on the far shore of the Red Sea represents the end of their exile, even though in terms of the biblical source they have yet to undergo forty years of wandering in the wilderness. They are home from exile in Egypt and their exodus is over; figuratively they have arrived at the City of God, having left their exile in the City of Man. As Edward Irving says, "the phrase folc wæs on lande ['the people were on land'] (567b) seems to bring together the voyage and homecoming theme in one exultant phrase."²¹ The difference in terms of peregrinatio/exile imagery between this poem and The Seafarer is that the seafarer does not

actually reach his destination within the poem and is more explicit about the spiritual significance of his exile.²²

This symbolic reading does not contradict Chapter II's claim that the poets make their themes explicit. It complements rather than contradicts the overt statements of theme in Exodus and the manuscript as a whole. It serves to explain otherwise incongruous imagery and does not depend upon external sources; the poet makes his symbolism clear within the text. Furthermore, the poet himself invites us to read his work symbolically when he suggests how to understand what is found on gewritum 'in [sacred] writings' (Ex. 520b) concerning doma gehwylcne 'each law' (521a) given to Moses by God (521b). He remarks,

gif onlucan wile lifes wealhstod,
 beorht in breostum, banhuses weard,
 ginfæsten god gastes cægon.
 Run bið gerecenod, ræd forð gæð,
 hafað wislicu word on fæðme.
 (Ex. 523 - 27)

if the guardian of the bone-house [the body], the generous God, will unlock the interpreter of life, bright in the breast, with the key of the spirit [then what] is mysterious will be made clear, counsel goes forth, has wise words in its bosom.

The poet goes on to "unlock" the hidden truth about life itself, comparing it to exile (533b - 36a) and an anti-hall (532b, 535 - 38a). Applying his method to his own work, we become aware of an "encrustation of meaning" which "is deeper, more allusive and more learned" than the "clear and

prominent" narrative of the literal and historical exodus.²³

The Old English poet also sees Abraham as an exile: the Exodus-poet makes this clear when he comments that Abraham on wræce lifde 'lived in exile' (383b). In Genesis much exilic diction occurs in descriptions of his adventures. Abraham and his tribe travel from Babilone (1707a) to Carran (1736b), from Carran to Canaan; sohton Cananea/ lond and leodgeard. . . . he faran sceolde, / Carran ofgifan, and cneowmagas 'they sought the land and people of Canaan . . . he had to journey, give up Carran and kinsmen (1772b - 73a, 1777b - 78). Next he journeys from Canaan to Egypt: gewat on Egypte, . . . drohtað secan 'departed into Egypt . . . seeking nourishment (1817b, 1818b). While in Egypt, fremu secan 'to seek benefits' (1843b), he describes himself and Sarah as feorren cumenra '[people] come from afar' (1836). Then he returns to Canaan (1873 - 79), but he and Lot must rumor secan/ ellor eðelseld 'seek a roomier foreign native-hall' (1895b - 96a) when their respective troops conflict. Later, the narrator describes Abraham's journey to Abimelech as an exile. Abraham is freonda feasceaft 'destitute of friends' (2700). He arrives earda leas 'without a home' (2706b). Abraham says he fela . . . folca gesohte 'many . . . peoples sought' (2698). Later Abimelech overtly describes Abraham's arrival as that of an exile: ðu feasceaft feorran come/ on pas werpeode wræccan laste 'you came destitute from afar, into this people on

the paths of exile' (2822 - 23). Even after he has accepted Abimelech's offer to let him wuniað her 'dwell here' (2735b) and thus save him from further exile (2733b - 35a), Abraham is described as living feasceaft mid fremdum 'destitute amidst the foreigners' (2837a). Once more he travels to Canaan (2837b - 39). In his mind it is the lack of a son that has made him feasceaft 'destitute' (2176b). Finally, he must wadan ofer wealdas 'journey through forests' (2887a) and ofer westen 'over wasteland' (2875a) to Mount Zion to sacrifice the son whose lack he had so long lamented. Even without the exile-related diction of travelling and seeking, Abraham's lack of a permanent home provides good evidence for viewing him as an exile.

Unlike the exile of Adam and Eve, Cain, the sinful Israelites, or Nebuchadnezzar, there is no suggestion that Abraham's exile is to be seen as an earthly counterpart of Satan's eternal exile from Heaven. Indeed, far from disobeying God and earning his enmity, Abraham is foremost among those explicitly described as acting according to his Lord's commands and being loved by him. Throughout his life Abraham is continually called upon to sacrifice what is of value to him. He gives up the sinc 'treasure' (Gen. 1725a) and sibbe 'peace' (Gen. 1725b) he enjoys at Carran, and the lands like Paradise (1750b - 52a, 1787 - 90a, 1804, 1921b - 24a) to which God directed him. He suffers from famine (1813b - 19) and endures the onslaughts

of Pharaoh (1824 - 72) and Abimelech (2621 - 2716). Though from the beginning he longs only for a son to perpetuate his family, he is asked to on wræc drife 'drive into exile' (2792b) his eldest (if not strictly legitimate) son, Ishmael. This act causes Abraham weorce on mode 'pain in his mind' (2792a, cf. 2794b - 95a), but he nevertheless obeys. The language makes use of exile diction. Finally, in the test which is described the most minutely and dramatically (Gen. 2846 - 2936; Ex. 384 - 446), he is asked to sacrifice Isaac. Again he is prepared to comply. Within the framework of the exilic diction just examined, through all these adversities Abraham remains loyal and obedient to his Lord. Indeed, he continually gives offerings to God (1790b - 93a, 1805 - 10, 1882 - 89, 2120 - 23a, 2842 - 45, 2930 - 36). God in turn protects him against Pharaoh (1859b - 67a) and Abimelech (2634b - 70a; 2739 - 41), and grants him victory against the Elamites (2107 - 19).

We can see Abraham's true position in the world by reflecting on that of his kinsman Lot in Sodom; Abraham's exile is not imposed for wrong-doing. The Sodomites speak of Lot's "exile" when he loyally protects God's thanes and is willing to sacrifice his daughters in their place:

"Pu pas werðeode wræccan laste
 freonda feasceaft feorran gesohtest,
 winepearfende." (Gen. 2480 - 82a)

"You sought this people from afar, from the paths of exile, destitute of kinsmen, needing friends."

Had he come to them as an exile he would be obligated to conform to the customs of the comitatus which received him. But their view of Lot as an exile establishes a clear dramatic irony, since we know, as they do not, that Lot voluntarily has chosen to live among them (1927 - 28). Far from being without lord and friends, he is thane to the most powerful of all lords, the Lord himself, and has the most loyal of kinsmen, Abraham. Both come to his aid when help is required. Likewise, despite the fact that he appears an exile, Abraham in the cosmic scheme is part of the comitatus of God.

Though from the opposite perspective, Christ in Christ and Satan also clarifies Abraham's true position in the world. Like Abraham, he lives in the world of men as an exile. The narrator says, Ferde to foldan . . . /ufan from eðle, and on eorpan gebad '[he] Travelled down from above, to earth from his native-land, and dwelled on earth' (C&S 493 - 94). On earth he undergoes tintregan fela and teonan micelne 'many tortures and great injuries' (C&S 495). The rices rædboran 'ruler of the kingdom' (C&S 498a) plots against him, as the rulers Pharaoh and Abimelech do against Abraham. Abraham passes a final crucial test analogous to Christ's defeat of Satan in the wilderness (cf. Gen. 2875a). This testing comes at the climax of Abraham's career in both Genesis and Exodus, as it does in the Bible. The Christ and Satan-poet (or whoever is responsible for the

ordering of the poem as we now have it) places it at the same climactic point in Christ's career by the way in which he orders his poem out of normal chronological sequence. In a similar way the quasi-exilic diction (cf. 493a, 494a) which Christ uses to describe his passage from Heaven to earth and his sufferings there correspond to the exile and suffering of Abraham in Genesis. The whole passage (C&S 468 - 512) which recounts Christ's earthly career is pervaded with the sense of loss he suffered on leaving Heaven, his eðle 'native-land' (C&S 494a). Christ's exile gives moral and spiritual significance to Abraham's exile, therefore, just as his success in resisting Satan points to Abraham's success on Zion as an archetypal model of obedience in the face of temptation.

Abraham's prominence in the Junius Manuscript²⁴ points implicitly to his role as a model to be imitated by the members of the audience. Pre-eminent among post-lapsarian men, Abraham fulfills the demands to praise and serve God as others fail. Even under the stresses of exile, Abraham does not waver from his devotion to his Lord. The poets make explicit statements too, however, about the moral to be taken from the story of Abraham's obedience. After the Genesis-poet describes the Sodomites as sinful (1933 - 37a), he emphasizes Lot's loyalty to God and his moral purity (1937b - 44). A passage follows in praise of Abraham's loyalty and obedience to God and the benefits he

derives from this relationship (1945 - 53a). Having progressed from the Sodomites' depravity to Abraham's virtues the narrator comments,

næfre hleowlora
æt edwihtan æfre weorðeð
feorhberendra forht and acol,
mon for metode, þe him æfter a
purh gemynda sped mode and dædum,
worde and gewitte, wise pance,
oð his ealdorgedal oleccan wile.
(Gen. 1953b - 59)

Never does a man, a life-bearer, who will ever after serve him [God] with the skills of his mind, his thought and deeds, words and wit and wise thinking, until his life passes, come before the Measurer without a defense, and afraid and trembling, in any matter.

Like Lot and especially like Abraham, the poem's audience is exhorted here to serve God with all their capacities. If they do so, like Lot and Abraham, they will be rewarded and protected by their Lord. In Exodus the angel who stops the sacrifice of Isaac says, not only to Abraham but to the audience: Hu pearf mannes sunu maran treowe? 'How do the sons of man need a greater faith?' (Ex. 426). This faith is the fæste treowe 'secure faith' (Ex. 423a) which Abraham displays on Zion.

The Junius Manuscript makes extensive use of exilic imagery to emphasize the single theme but in divergent ways. Used in the conventional way, it represents in Anglo-Saxon terms the ultimate punishment for disobedience to God and failure to praise him with proper thankfulness for all the

glories, treasure and prosperity he has dispensed. Exile imagery in this sense is applied to Satan, Adam and Eve, Cain, the sinful Israelites at the beginning of Daniel, and Nebuchadnezzar, all of whom are guilty of such disloyalty. Along with the anti-hall imagery examined in the preceding chapter, exile imagery is used in this traditional way to emphasize the negative aspect of the prevailing theme of the Junius Manuscript: those who do not praise and serve God will suffer the miseries of the exile and anti-hall in this world as well as the next.

Exile imagery in the Junius Manuscript is also used to commend those who choose, or at least stoically accept, a life of exile for the love of God. Once Adam recognizes his sin he mitigates this sinfulness in part by his voluntary desire for a life as a peregrinus pro amore dei. Similarly, Nebuchadnezzar and the corrupt Israelites in Daniel are chastized by their experience as exiles and brought into the right relationship with God. In Exodus, too, exile as peregrinatio forms one of the major principles governing the characterization of the Israelites.

Finally, exile is presented as a trial willingly undergone by Abraham as part of the testing process by which he is being trained to be the father of Israel (cf. Ex. 18b, 353b - 55; Dan. 193 - 95). Ultimately, through the chosen people he is to be the progenitor of Christ, the Redeemer of mankind. We see Christ in action in Christ and Satan in a

way that parallels and gives spiritual meaning to the lives depicted earlier. Portraying Abraham as a Germanic exile would make his faithfulness all the more impressive to an Anglo-Saxon audience, for even without explicit exhortation they would be encouraged to follow Abraham's example in their own less perilous but equally transitory lives. At the same time, that Abraham's exile is only temporal would serve to console a contemporary audience. He, like the audience, has a Lord in Heaven whose hall, comitatus and generosity in giving treasure and aid are second to none. As God helped the faithful Abraham, who praised and loyally obeyed him under all conditions, so he will surely aid men here and now. All Christian men who remain loyal to their heavenly Lord, whatever their station in middangeard, are exiles like Abraham from the City of God in the hall of Heaven.

Chapter VI: Conclusion

The four poems of the Junius Manuscript are unified on the basis of a common didactic theme: it is right, wise, and fruitful to praise God and serve him loyally. In the words of the introduction to Genesis A

Us is riht micel ðæt we rodera weard,
wereda wuldorcining, wordum herigen,
modum lufien! (Gen. 1 - 3a)

It is very right for us that we praise in words,
laud in our thoughts, the guardian of the skies,
glory-king of hosts!

This theme is overtly stated in all four poems of the Junius Manuscript. Such statements take various forms. In some cases they are made by the poet speaking to the audience in his own voice. In other cases they take the form of comments from the narrator. Finally, they are voiced by characters within the poems. That explicit statements of the theme recur throughout the four poems suggests that they were perhaps originally gathered together to serve as a single poetic expression of this overall theme.

The Junius Manuscript is divided into Liber I and Liber II. The first contains the poems Genesis, Exodus and Daniel; the second, Christ and Satan. Viewing the relationship between Liber I and Liber II as analogous to that between the Old and New Testaments makes clear the place of

each in the whole. Liber I presents episodes from history and Liber II explicates and comments on their spiritual and moral significance. All the events of history are seen to be struggles between those who serve and obey God and those who do not. Both the relationship between Liber I and Liber II and the chronological "disorder" of Christ and Satan are explained by the manuscript's overriding didactic purpose.

The Junius Manuscript-poets make use of imagery drawn from traditional Anglo-Saxon literature to reinforce the single theme and serve the didactic purpose. Among the most prominent of such imagery is that based on the hall, the comitatus and treasure-giving. Those who serve and praise God are presented enjoying life in a hall, sharing its joys with other thanes of the Lord and receiving treasure and protection from him. Those who fail to be loyal to God are without these advantages and must wander as homeless exiles. Exile imagery is therefore used to portray those who are disloyal to God. It is also used adeptly as the epitome of earthly misfortune to show how the truly loyal do not fail their Lord despite adversity. At the same time God's aid to exiles such as Lot and Abraham provides comfort to loyal Christians in middangeard, exiled from the City of God but not deserted by their Lord. By selecting familiar poetic diction in which to couch their message, the poets are able to clarify their theme

and make its implications more directly obvious and relevant to a contemporary audience.

The suggestion that the Junius Manuscript possesses unity of some sort is not new, but until very recently few extended studies had been written on this question.¹ Any complete study which argues for the essential unity of the Junius Manuscript-poems must consider carefully questions of provenance, language, and compilation in far greater detail than space has allowed in this thesis. A familiarity with Anglo-Saxon manuscripts is a necessary prerequisite for such a study. Other strands of imagery must be examined in closer detail as part of any further study of the poetic unity of the manuscript. Spatial limitations in this thesis have not permitted an analysis of the diction connected with boasting, oath-swearing and warfare, or those based on such polarities as light/dark, heat/cold, and bondage/liberation. All such imagery is conspicuous in the poems of the Junius Manuscript.

Any study emphasizing the unity of a number of separate works inevitably obscures the differences among those works. By drawing evidence for the conceptual and poetic unity of the Junius Manuscript from all the poems that comprise it, one may give the impression that each poem functions in the same way. Each displays diverse approaches and styles, however; the nature of this diversity provides room for further study. Comparatively

little has been written to date on how each of these poems functions as poetry that is able to instruct us and move us. The entire question of authorial voice and the related matter of how each poet contemporizes his message has been only briefly touched upon in this thesis. How they vary from poem to poem and within individual poems requires further examination.

A modern sensibility may be displeased by poetry as unashamedly didactic as that of the Junius Manuscript, but a close study of the poetic diction of each work or portion of each will reveal the nuances which each recurrence of a word or phrase adds to their meaning. A thorough comprehension of the way in which the diction operates can contribute meaningfully to our understanding and appreciation of Genesis, Exodus, Daniel, and Christ and Satan as the varied and splendid works they are.

Footnotes

Chapter I: Introduction

¹ See Israel Gollancz, ed., The Cædmon Manuscript of Anglo-Saxon Poetry (Oxford, 1927), pp. xiv - xv, and B.J. Timmer, ed., The Later Genesis (London, 1948), pp. 3 - 10, for speculations on this matter. N.R. Ker, Catalogue of Manuscripts Containing Anglo-Saxon (Oxford, 1957) suggests that the manuscript is "possibly identical with the 'Genesis Anglice depicta' in the early-fourteenth century catalogue of Christ Church, Canterbury" (p. 408).

² More detailed descriptions and histories of the manuscript may be found in Ker, pp. 406 - 08, the standard reference on Old English MSS. See also Gollancz, pp. xiii - xlviii; G.P. Krapp, ed., The Junius Manuscript (New York, 1931), pp. x - xxiv; and Minnie Cate Morrell, A Manual of Old English Biblical Materials (Knoxville, 1965), pp. 18 - 22. The matter of the quire-count is far more complex than my brief comment indicates. For a full account, see Ker, pp. 407 - 08. The suggestions that the pagination is by Junius comes from Ker, p. 408. Ker also says that the manuscript did not actually come into the hands of the Bodleian Library until 1678 (p. 408).

³ See Gollancz, pp. lix, lxxxiv; Krapp, pp. xxvi - xxvii; Edward B. Irving, Jr., ed., The Old English Exodus (1953; rpt. Hamdon, Conn., 1970), p. 28; and Fr. Klaeber, ed., Beowulf and the Finnsburg Fragment, 3rd ed. (Boston, 1950), p. cxiii.

⁴ See Gollancz, p. cvi; and Merrell D. Clubb, ed., Christ and Satan (1925; rpt. Hamdon, Conn., 1972), p. lx.

⁵ See Gollancz, p. xviii; Krapp, p. x; and Clubb, p. xii.

⁶ Ker dates Liber I (Genesis, Exodus, Daniel) between 990 and 1010, and Liber II (Christ and Satan) after 1010 but before 1025 (p. 406). Gollancz says "'about 1000'" (p. xviii, cf. p. xxxiv) and Krapp concurs (p. x).

⁷ Ker erroneously cites "pp. 11 - 40" (p. 406). See Gollancz' facsimile edition and p. lii of his introduction.

See also Timmer, p. 1.

⁸ See Gollancz, pp. lii - liii; Krapp, p. xxvi; and Timmer, p. 43, who places its date as late as 900.

⁹ Gollancz, pp. lii - lvi, gives a brief summary of this specualtion. See also Krapp, p. xxvi, and Timmer, pp. 43 - 50.

¹⁰ The first artist illustrated pp. 1 - 68, the second pp. 73 - 88. There is, however, an unfinished illustration by neither of these artists on p. 96. See Ker, p. 207, and Francis Wormald, English Drawings of the Tenth and Eleventh Centuries (London, 1952), p. 76, n. 50. Wormald suggests that the work of the first artist is reminiscent of that in other manuscripts "of the first half of the 10th century" whereas that of the second "appears to be a derivative" of work in MSS. from "the second half of the 10th century." The fainter lion which occupies the bottom third of page 31 and the incomplete drawing on page 96 he dates to "the second half of the 12th century." Wormald detects "Scandinavianisms . . . in some of the ornament," esp. pp. 56, 57, 65, 66, 68, 73, and in the design for the binding on p. 225. Gollancz describes each of the illustrations, pp. xxxix - xlvii. See also C.W. Kennedy, trans., The Cædmon Poems (1916; rpt. Gloucester, Mass., 1965), pp. 197 - 248, for much reduced reproductions of the MS. illustrations. These are introduced and discussed by C.R. Morey, "The Drawings of the Junius MS.," pp. 175 - 95.

¹¹ The style of the decorative capitals, many of which indicate "the influence of . . . Viking tastes," is discussed by T.H. Kendrick, Late Saxon and Viking Art (London, 1948), pp. 33. The Viking influence on some of the designs is discussed on pp. 104 - 05. Kendrick dates these and the decorative capitals from 1030 - 1050. See also Gollancz, pp. xviii - xx.

¹² The capital "D" on page 43 is floreate rather than zoomorphic. See Gollancz, p. xviii.

¹³ The focus of the Junius Manuscript, however, is substantially different from that of the mystery cycles. The core of the latter are the magnificent Nativity - Passion plays, but in the Junius Manuscript, Christ's birth, death and resurrection are referred to only briefly, and at second-hand, towards the end of the last poem,

Christ and Satan. The material on which the first three poems are based occupies only the initial plays of the mystery cycles, or, like the events recounted in the Old English poem Daniel, are omitted from the cycles altogether. This suggests that the emphasis of the Junius Manuscript is not on the redemption of man by Christ. Rather, I believe, it is on the struggle between the forces of God and those of Satan as it takes place in history and on the importance of being on the right side in this struggle, of being loyal to the right lord. The Old English poems are as Christian and didactic as the plays, but less explicitly theological and less concerned about presenting the whole sweep of salvation-history as J.R. Hall argues in his unpublished dissertation, "The Old English Book of Salvation History," University of Notre Dame, 1973. See especially his chart on page 63.

¹⁴ Paradise Lost, XI, 359 - 60, John Milton: Complete Poems and Selected Prose, ed. M.Y. Hughes (Indianapolis and New York, 1957).

¹⁵ M.W. Grose and D. McKenna, Old English Literature (London, 1973), p. 80.

Chapter II: Overt Statements of Theme

¹ In Fruyt and Chaf (Princeton, 1963), a book written by Huppé and D.W. Robertson, Jr., the Augustinian conception of the nature and purpose of poetry (as the authors understand it) is set forth with great force and clarity. See especially Chapter I. De Doctrina Christiana has been translated into English as On Christian Doctrine by D.W. Robertson, Jr. (New York and Indianapolis, 1958).

² Huppé, Doctrine and Poetry (New York, 1959), p. 239.

³ Huppé's analysis of Genesis A (Chapter V) remains the only readily-available full-length study of which I am aware.

⁴ Huppé, pp. 132 - 33. There is no significant disagreement between the theme which I see running through the manuscript as a whole and that which Huppé concludes is the theme of Genesis:

the need for man to praise God, so that
he may regain the heaven which was lost
in disobedience and so that, God willing,
he may not suffer the punishments of the
damned. (p. 207, cf. p. 173)

I place greater stress on what Huppé refers to as a "structural emphasis on the themes of damnation and salvation" (p. 169), and see man's duty to be loyal and obedient to God as being as important a part of the theme as the duty to praise. Our disagreement is on how this theme is to be detected. Huppé resorts to outside commentaries on the relevant portions of scripture; I believe the theme is explicitly stated in the poems themselves.

⁵ Huppé, pp. 217 - 18; my emphasis.

⁶ Huppé, p. 224.

⁷ Huppé, p. 231.

⁸ All references to the text of Genesis, Exodus, Daniel, and Christ and Satan (abbreviated as Gen., Ex.,

Dan., and C&S, respectively) are from G.P. Krapp, ed., The Junius Manuscript (New York, 1931). Citations from other Old English poems are from C.L. Wrenn, ed., Beowulf (London, 1973), and John C. Pope, ed., Seven Old English Poems (Indianapolis & New York, 1966). All accent marks and indications of vowel length have been silently omitted from passages drawn from these two sources, both for the sake of convenience and to conform with Krapp's practice in his edition of our primary works. All references are to line and half-line.

⁹ Huppé, p. 175.

¹⁰ For discussion of the beasts of battle (raven, eagle, wolf) motif in Old English poetry see F.P. Magoun, Jr., "The Theme of the Beasts of Battle in Anglo-Saxon Poetry," NM, 56 (1955), 81 - 90; and Adrien Bonjour, "Beowulf and the Beasts of Battle," PMLA, 72 (1957), 563 - 73.

¹¹ Philip Rollinson, "Some Kinds of Meaning in Old English Poetry," Annuaire Mediævale, 11 (1970), 5 - 21, and Alvin A. Lee, The Guest-Hall of Eden (New Haven, 1972), pp. 230 - 31, criticize Huppé's critical approach to Old English poetry. S.B. Greenfield, A Critical History of Old English Literature (New York, 1965), pp. 148 - 50, and Bennett A. Brockman, "'Heroic' and 'Christian' in Genesis A: The Evidence of the Cain and Abel Episode," MLQ, 35 (1974), 115 - 28, criticize certain of Huppé's specific readings of episodes in Genesis A.

¹² In their song the Three Youths recognize (362 - 916) the way in which all Nature praises God: (ge)bletsige, 326a, 380b, 389b; wurðiað, 366b, 385b; herigað, 370a, 374a, 376a, 386a, 392a; lofigað, 372b, 379a, 395a; domige, 371b; lufiað, 390b. Not only do they recognize it but they themselves, in the midst of adversity, join in the praise (397 - 409).

¹³ Their return is described in part in Exodus, which immediately precedes these initial lines of Daniel.

¹⁴ Daniel deals with the consequences to the Hebrews of choosing deofles cræft 'skills of the devil' (32b) over loyalty to God. Genesis B concentrates on how this process comes about. See Rosemary Woolf, "The Fall of Man in Genesis B and The Mystère d'Adam," in Studies in Old English Literature in Honor of Arthur G. Brodeur, S.B. Greenfield,

ed., (Eugene, Ore., 1963), p. 187.

¹⁵ Cf. Grendel, in Bwf. 1351b, 2228b.

¹⁶ Abraham is called "God's beloved" in Gen. 1773b, 2738b, 2862b, cf. 1749a, 2306a, 2398b, 2589a, 2763a, 2914a. See also Ex. 354a, 355b.

¹⁷ In Exodus, lines 120 - 24, it is said that the fiery pillar which led and protected the Israelites, threatened them with destruction nymðe hie modhwate Moyses hyrde 'except if they boldly obeyed Moses' (124). Obeying Moses becomes shorthand for obeying God, in whose service both Moses (30 - 31, 550b) and the fiery pillar (95 - 96) are. Likewise in Genesis 1754 - 58, obedience to Abraham is rewarded as if it is obedience directly to God.

¹⁸ This is strengthened by the assonance of hyrde/hyrað as well as by the fact that Hyrde 'guardian' has the same form as the preterite of hyran, i.e. hyrde 'obeyed', which occurs, for example, at Gen. 1493b, 2804a, and Ex. 124b, 410a.

¹⁹ Cf. Dan. 174b and Gen. 1677a.

²⁰ The drunkenness referred to by wingedrync (2581b) as one of the sins of the citizens of Sodom and Gomorrah (cf. Gen. 2410a) is consistent with what seems to be a general association of excessive drinking with disobedience to God and serious negative consequences. The Sodomites, for example, are destroyed. Noah's drunkenness (Gen. 1562 - 65a) leads to Ham's sin of disobedience and disrespect. Lot's daughters sleep with him while he is drunk (Gen. 2600 - 06) and the Moabites and Ammonites, traditional enemies of Israel, are the result of the incestuous union. Abimelech is described as wine druncen 'drunk with wine' (2635b) and symbolwerig (Gen. 2641b) in order, presumably, to blacken his character. The biblical source (Gen. 20) makes no mention of Abimelech acting under the influence. And Abimelech and his people are punished with sterility because of his sin against God. It is the druncne geðohtas 'drunken thoughts' (Dan. 18b) which overcome them at winpege 'wine-taking' (Dan. 17b) that lead the Israelites astray and into the hands of their enemies (cf. Dan. 749 - 52a). Nebuchadnezzar is described as wingal 'flushed with wine' (Dan. 116b) at one point, and Belshazzar grows medugal 'flushed with mead' (Dan. 702a) æt wine 'at the wine-drinking' (Dan. 695a). Shortly thereafter he boasts

foolishly against God and is given over to his enemies.

In Beowulf this association also exists, albeit in more secular terms. Drunkenness there is a prelude to the attacks by Grendel (117 - 19a, 480 - 87a) and his mother (1231 - 35) and, according to Beowulf, Unferth's flyting of him (530 - 32, cf. 1466 - 67). It is specifically mentioned as a virtue in Beowulf that he did not slay his closest companions while drunk (2179b - 80a).

21 We note as well that it is waldend usser 'our ruler' (2587a) who rewards Abraham. The use of the first person plural of the personal pronoun emphasizes that the same Ruler who punished Sodom and Gomorrah and rewarded Abraham, also punishes and rewards us.

Also the use of the present tense of the verb, "to do," viz. deð, in the comments on the punishment of Lucifer (Gen. 297b) and Nebuchadnezzar (Dan. 493b) makes it plain that God does even now what he did in ages past to those who disobey and rebel against him.

22 Cf. C&S 626b.

23 Just as endedæg 'end-day' (Dan. 678b) and siðestan dæge 'last day' (Dan. 700b), with reference to Belshazzar's Feast, suggests the Day of Judgment itself as well as the last day of the Chaldean empire.

24 Structural Principles in Old English Poetry (Knoxville, Tenn., 1968), p. 137. R.E. Finnegan in "Christ and Satan: Structure and Theme," Classica et Mediævalia, 30 (1974), 490, calls such passages "hortatory - homiletic exhortations."

25 Cf. C&S 362b - 64a, 593b - 95a.

26 Cf. Gen. 2864b - 65.

27 Like Daniel himself, Dan. 150b, 735b; cf. Gen. 1734a, 1818a.

28 It is also worthy of note that just as Nature praises and celebrates God (see Note #12), so it and the primeval Chaos from which it was formed obeys him. See Gen. 121b - 25, 157b - 63a, as well as Gen. 205, where power to command Nature is delegated to Adam and Eve. To be disobedient to God is to go, quite literally, counter to Nature and thus to be fundamentally perverse in contrast to the

order of the universe.

²⁹ He is however unable to do anything else but obey when directly ordered by God. See Gen. 345b - 49a; C&S 719 - 21.

³⁰ Cf. C&S 22 - 24a, 56b - 57a, 123 - 24.

³¹ The most famous offspring of these forbidden unions are Grendel and his mother. See Beowulf 107a, 1261b.

³² Cf. Grendel, Bwf. 786b, 1682b.

³³ This theme is present in the Bible, from which the subject matter of these poems is drawn. For particularly clear and strong statements of it see Leviticus, Chapter 26, and Deuteronomy, Chapter 28.

In the Ecclesiastical History, E.E.T.S., #95 (London, 1890), p. 52, Bede interprets British history in light of the same principle. For example, he explains the ravages which the newly arrived Angles and Saxons inflicted on their Briton hosts, as the rihte Godes dome 'just judgment of God' on the latter, not

ungelic wræcc pam ðe iú Chaldeas bærndon
Hierusaleme weallas 7 ða cynelican
getimbro mid fyre fornaman for ðæs Godes
folces synnum (I, 15)

unlike the earlier punishment in which the
Chaldeans burned the walls of Jerusalem and
destroyed the royal palace with fire because
of the sins of God's people.

See also Eccles. Hist. I, 14; II, 5, 9, 16; III, 1, 7, 16, 22; IV, 13, 25, 26, 31; V, 11.

Chapter III: Theme and Structure

¹ Jerome Taylor, "The Dramatic Structure of the Middle English Corpus Christi, or Cycle, Plays", Literature and Society, ed. Bernice Slote (Lincoln, Neb., 1964), p. 184, sees a similar separation "of mankind into basically two strains" in the medieval mystery cycles:

. . . the seed of Cain, prolonged in the wicked rulers of men (Pharaoh, Herod, Caesar, Pilate, Caiaphas and their minions), and the friends and instruments of God, represented by Abel, Noah, Abraham, the prophets, the Magi, Mary, and climactically Christ.

As we are suggesting is the case with the Junius Manuscript, Taylor says that "the conflict between these two groups provides the substance of the complication" in the mystery plays.

² Martin Stevens, "The Theatre of the World: A Study in Medieval Dramatic Form", Chaucer Review, 7 (1973), 237.

³ "The Changing Ideas of Time and the Timeless in English Morality Plays", Studies in English Literature (The English Literary Society of Japan), 46 (1969), 17.

⁴ No individual English edition of Genesis A has yet been published, but an edition has been prepared as an unpublished dissertation by David M. Wells: "A Critical Edition of the Old English Genesis A with a Translation," University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 1969.

Genesis B has been edited separately by B.J. Timmer as The Later Genesis (Oxford, 1948). See also John F. Vickrey, Jr., ed., "Genesis B: A New Analysis and Edition," Diss., Indiana, 1960.

The most recent edition of Exodus is that of Edward B. Irving, Jr., The Old English Exodus (New Haven, 1953; rpt. Hamdon, Conn., 1970). It was edited earlier, together with Daniel, by Francis A. Blackburn, Exodus and Daniel (Boston, 1907), and Theodore W. Hunt, Cædmon's Exodus and Daniel (Boston, 1883).

Robert T. Farrell is the latest (London, 1974) editor of Daniel (with the Exeter Book Azarias), for Methuen's Old English Library series. See also F.C. Brennan, ed., "The Old English Daniel, Edited with Introduction, Notes and Glossary," Diss., University of North Carolina at Chapel

Hill, 1966.

Christ and Satan was edited separately by Merrell D. Clubb, (New Haven, 1925; rpt. Hamdon, Conn., 1972).

⁵ Genesis begins at the top of MS. page 1, Exodus at the top of page 143, Daniel on page 173, and Christ and Satan on page 213. All references to the manuscript on textual matters are to I. Gollancz, ed., The Cædmon Manuscript of Anglo-Saxon Biblical Poetry (Oxford, 1927).

⁶ To be succeeded by two more, on pp. 216 and 229, respectively.

⁷ Compare, for example the capitals on pp. 65, 67, 71, 73, 79, etc. to the "H" on page 143, and those on pp. 75, 83, 90, 92, 156, 160, 166, etc. with the capital "G" on page 173. The absence of large, decorative capitals between pp. 79 and 143 suggests, perhaps, that something new begins on the latter page.

⁸ Blackburn, p. xxii, and C.W. Kennedy, ed. and trans., The Cædmon Poems (1916; rpt. Gloucester, Mass., 1965), p. xi, both speak of Liber I as containing but one poem.

⁹ Clubb, p. xii. See also Gollancz, p. xcvi, and Krapp, pp. xi - xii, who are essentially in agreement with Clubb's position on this point.

¹⁰ Clubb, p. xv. But see Gollancz, p. xxix, who disagrees with Clubb's conclusions.

¹¹ Most of my remarks regarding the poetic structure of Liber II (Christ and Satan) reflect my indebtedness to Robert Emmet Finnegan, "Christ and Satan: Theme and Structure," Classica et Mediaevalia, 30 (1974), 490 - 551.

¹² The City of God, trans. Henry Bettenson, ed. David Knowles (Harmondsworth, Middlesex, 1972), xvi, 26.

¹³ Finnegan, p. 541.

¹⁴ See also C&S 22 - 24a, 56b - 57a, and 123 - 24, for statements of Lucifer's rebellion against God.

¹⁵ M. James Young, "The Unity of the English Mystery Cycles," Quarterly Journal of Speech, 58 (1972), 329. Young is speaking specifically about the beginnings of each of the mystery cycles, but his description is quite appropriate to the Heaven in Genesis, the loss of which is mourned in Christ and Satan.

¹⁶ But in an ironically different context. In recalling his words to the angels as he convinced them to join his rebellion, Satan says that he called God's claim to absolute power an idel gylyp. Of course, the position of Satan and his cohorts as he recalls this puts the lie to that claim -- it is, in fact, Satan who is guilty of idle and empty boasting.

¹⁷ ofermod, 262b, 293b, 332a, 337a, 338a, 351a; oferhygd, 328a.

¹⁸ Finnegan, p. 541. The Crucifixion is referred to by Christ in his account of his earthly career to those he has rescued from Hell (499 - 500, 507b - 09a), and by the narrator (547 - 48).

¹⁹ Peter Stuart Macauley, "The Play of the Harrowing of Hell as a Climax in the English Mystery Cycles," Studia Germanica Gandensia, 8 (1966), has a good, brief account of the origins and history of the Harrowing of Hell legend on pp. 119 - 21. See also W. H. Hulme, "The Old English Gospel of Nicodemus," PMLA, 13 (1898), 457 - 541, and MP, 1 (1903 - 04), 579 - 614.

²⁰ These quotations are from Macauley, pp. 123, 133, 125 and 134 respectively.

²¹ Stevens, p. 247.

²² "Scriptural Poetry," in Continuations and Beginnings, ed. E. G. Stanley (London, 1966), pp. 6, 34. But see Finnegan, p. 551, and Neil D. Isaacs, Structural Principles in Old English Poetry (Knoxville, Tenn., 1968), p. 128, who see Satan's Temptation of Christ as the climax of Christ and Satan.

²³ Krapp, p. 192, n. 2522, suggests the influence of the noun sigor 'victory' on this particular spelling of the town's name.

24 Similar formulaic expressions to do with moving up to Heaven are common in Christ and Satan, cf. up to englum 'up to the angels' (287a, 623a), up to [on] earde 'up to [in] the homeland' (229a, 456a, 504a), up on heofonum 'up in Heaven' (562a). The saints in Hell are said to up faran 'journey up' (405a, 441a) and to be led, by Christ, up heonan 'up from here [Hell]' (395b, 422b) to the bliss of Heaven up panon 'up to there' (326b, 633a).

25 Perhaps in these lines (see also lines 77b - 78a) we might see a suggestion of the fires of Hell against which God's chosen ones are protected metaphorically in Daniel and Genesis and literally in the Harrowing of Hell.

26 Cf. Grendel who also comes neosian, 'to visit' the drunken warriors at Heorot (Bwf. 115). The feðe-gestum 'warriors' (Bwf. 1976a) for whom room is made in Hygelac's hall are Beowulf and his retainers. See also Elene, 844b.

27 Cf. the description of Adam and Eve as handweorc godes 'the handiwork of God' (Gen. 702b). Similar formulaic phrases are used elsewhere of Adam and Eve (Gen. 241b, 494a, 628a, 822b) and fallen humanity as a whole (C&S 487b).

The earth itself, which we have elsewhere seen described as praising and obeying God, is the only other "non-human object" to which a formula of this type (geweorc godes 'God's work') is applied in the Junius Manuscript (Gen. 604b).

28 Their situation seems so desperate that even the usually infallible beasts of battle have decided, erroneously as it turns out, that it is the Israelites who will be their food (162 - 67). M. Bentinck Smith, "Old English Christian Poetry," The Cambridge History of English Literature (Cambridge, 1908), p. 48, calls the theme of the Noah-Abraham episode "not entirely relevant". E.E. Wardale, Chapters on Old English Literature (London, 1935), p. 130, calls it a mere "interruption". And even S.B. Greenfield, A Critical History of Old English Literature (New York, 1967), p. 154, considers it "a questionable flashback".

29 I accept the MS. reading against Krapp's reading Heofung 'lamentation,' which was first suggested by Blackburn. See Krapp, p. 199, n. 46, and Irving, pp. 69 - 70. Heofon could serve as a metonymy for Christ.

30 "A Reading of the OE Exodus," RES, n.s., 20 (1969), 404, n. 3; 417.

31 "Two Notes on the Later Genesis," in The Anglo-Saxons, ed. Peter Clemoes (London, 1959), p. 206.

32 Jean Daniélou, From Shadows to Reality, trans. Dom Wulstan Hibberd (London, 1960), p. 184. Geoffrey Shepherd (p. 31) points out that in the baptismal liturgy "The Red Sea was hell itself and its crossing was a figure for the descent of Christ in death to harrow hell." James W. Bright, "The Relation of the Cædmonian Exodus to the Liturgy," MLN, 27 (1912), 97 - 103, was the first to suggest the relationship between the matter of the OE Exodus and the lections for Holy Saturday, when new converts were baptized. See also J.E. Cross and S. Tucker, "Allegorical Tradition and the Old English Exodus," Neophil., 44 (1960), 122 - 27. O.B. Hardison, Christian Rite and Christian Drama in the Middle Ages (Baltimore, 1965), p. 139, and V.A. Kolve, The Play Called Corpus Christi (Stanford, 1966), p. 85, also refer to the typological link traditionally seen between the Exodus and the Harrowing.

33 His power is revealed in the fear he inspires in the hosts of Hell and the ease with which he defeats Satan. His mercy and compassion are most apparent in his response to Eve's pleas and in the fact of his Incarnation and Crucifixion.

34 Cf. Piers Plowman, B. XVI, ll. 173 - 76.

35 pp. 548 - 49.

36 Note that the Metod 'Measurer [Christ]' commands Satan ametan 'to measure' (699b, 702a, 705b, 709b, 712b, 722b) out Hell in return for acting in hubris (ofer monna gemet 'beyond what is proper for men') by tempting and grappling with him.

37 "A Rearrangement of Christ and Satan," MLN, 43 (1928), 108 - 10.

38 Kolve, p. 108.

39 Kolve, p. 103, see also p. 27. According to Bede's Ecclesiastical History, IV, 24, E.E.T.S. #95 (London, 1890), p. 346, Cædmon, the apocryphal author of these poems, had as his poetic aim something very similar:

he geornlice gemde, pæt he men atuge

from synna lufan 7 mandæda, 7 to
lufan 7 to geornfulnesse awehte goda
dæda.

he earnestly strove so that he might draw men
from the love of sins and criminal deeds, and
to awaken love and eagerness for deeds of
goodness.

40 Finnegan, p. 550.

41 See Krapp, p. xix:

structure in its larger aspects
was never a strong point with
Anglo-Saxon poets,

and Clubb, p. lvi:

Old English poets have never been
commended especially for their
constructive ability.

42 J.R.R. Tolkien, "Beowulf: The Monsters and the
Critics," in The Beowulf Poet, ed. D.K. Fry (Englewood
Cliffs, N.J., 1968), p. 17.

43 For a similar approach to the relationship between
Liber I and Liber II, see Shepherd, pp. 33 - 34.

Chapter IV: Imagery of Hall, Troop and Treasure

¹ See R.I. Page, Life in Anglo-Saxon England (London, 1970):

. . . a group of buildings incorporating some element of defence, and a great hall, rectangular or nearly so and of timber, multi-purpose, lit and heated by a fire placed somewhere along the main axis. (p. 144)

See also the artist's conception, based on archaeological evidence, of an Anglo-Saxon settlement on p. 140, and in R.H. Hodgkin, A History of the Anglo-Saxons, (Oxford, 1952), I, 219, fig. 35.

² In an article to which I shall often refer ("The Concept of the Hall in Old English Poetry", ASE, 3 (1974), 63 - 74), Kathryn Hume makes essentially the same point:

the hall was pictured, for poetic purposes, as a circle of light and peace enclosed by darkness, discomfort and danger. (p. 64)

For a brief discussion of the medieval belief in a world-encircling river or sea, see Thomas D. Hill, "Apocryphal Cosmography and the 'Stream uton Sæ': A Note on Christ and Satan, Lines 4 - 12," PQ, 48 (1969), 550 - 54.

³ See Mircea Eliade, Patterns in Comparative Religion, trans. Rosemary Sheed, (New York, 1963):

. . . every town, every dwelling [in the world-view of "primitive" mythology] stands at the "centre of the world."

Every dwelling, by the paradox of the consecration of space and by the rite of its construction, is transformed into a "centre". Thus, all houses -- like all temples, palaces and cities -- stand in the selfsame place, the centre of the universe. (p. 379)

⁴ See Hume, p. 64:

The royal hall at Cheddar was 78 feet long, and at Old Yeavinger . . . traces of four successive great halls have been

found, one of them 80 feet long and 50 wide. The Thetford great hall was a staggering 110 feet in length.

See also Page, pp. 140 - 41. For a description of hall interiors, see Hodgkin, I, 218 - 20., and P.H. Blair, An Introduction to Anglo-Saxon England, (Cambridge, 1956), p. 208. In Hodgkin, I, plate 33 (between pp. 220 - 21) is a photograph of a present-day barn built in a fashion similar to that of an Anglo-Saxon hall. Hodgkin includes it to give an idea of the spacious interior and lofty ceiling of such a hall.

⁵ See Tacitus, Dialogus, Agricola, Germania, trans. W. Peterson (New York, 1914), p. 283:

In the retinue [comitatus] itself degrees are observed, depending on the judgment of him whom they follow: there is great rivalry among the retainers to decide who shall have the first place with his chief, and among the chieftains as to who shall have the largest and keenest retinue. This means rank and strength, to be surrounded always with a large band of chosen youths -- glory in peace, in war protection: nor is it only so with his own people but with neighbouring states also it means name and fame for a man that his retinue [comitatus] be conspicuous for number and character.

⁶ See Hodgkin, I, 208 - 10 and Blair, p. 211. See also F.M. Stenton, Anglo-Saxon England, (Oxford, 1947), pp. 298 - 99, and Dorothy Whitelock, The Beginnings of English Society (Harmondsworth, Middlesex, 1974), pp. 29 - 38.

⁷ Alvin A. Lee, The Guest-Hall of Eden (New Haven and London, 1972), p. 12. Hodgkin, I, 211, speaks of the bond between lord and retainer as "a devotion that . . . was almost a religion."

The intense and personal nature of the lord/thane relationship is attested to in the corpus of Old English poetry by the Exeter Book poem traditionally titled The Wife's Lament. Rudolph C. Bambas, in "Another View of the Old English Wife's Lament," Old English Literature, ed. M. Stevens, and J. Mandel (Lincoln, Nebr., 1968), pp. 229 - 36, and Martin Stevens, in "The Narrator of The Wife's Lament," NM, 69 (1968), 72 - 90, both argue convincingly that this poem is the lament of a thane for his lord, not of a wife for her husband. The terms of endearment used by the speaker in this poem are, for a modern sensibility,

only acceptably used between lovers or spouses.

⁸ Hume, p. 65. Hume cites Bwf. 1020 - 49 and 20 - 4a as examples, respectively, of treasure being given as a reward and as insurance of future loyalty.

⁹ Thus Heremod, the epitome of a poor lord in Beowulf, nallas beagas geaf/ Denum æfter dome 'not at all gave rings to the Danes for the sake of glory' (1719b - 20a). His stinginess is among those qualities which make him a bad king. The blood-thirsty violence which he displays against his own followers (904b - 06, 1718b - 19a) shows that he is no better as a protector of his men than he is as a giver of gifts. See L.L. Schücking, "The Ideal of Kingship in Beowulf," in An Anthology of Beowulf Criticism, ed. L.E. Nicholson (Notre Dame, Ind., 1963), pp. 35 - 49.

¹⁰ Michael D. Cherniss, Ingeld and Christ (The Hague and Paris, 1972), pp. 81, 100 - 02.

¹¹ Whitelock, pp. 31 and 36. The Germanic conception of vengeance is thoroughly discussed by Cherniss, pp. 60 - 78.

¹² Cherniss, p. 102.

¹³ Cherniss, p. 102.

¹⁴ Hume, p. 69.

¹⁵ Lee, p. 103.

¹⁶ Edward B. Irving, Jr., "Image and Meaning in the Elegies," in Old English Poetry, ed. Robert Creed (Providence, 1967), p. 157. Exile occurs as a metaphor in this way in The Wanderer.

¹⁷ p. 24.

¹⁸ The Anglo-Saxon concept of the world as a great hall is explicit in the following anecdote from Bede's Ecclesiastical History, II, 13, E.E.T.S. 95 (London, 1890), pp. 134 - 136. A thane tells Edwin of Northumbria,

pis andwearde lif manna on eorðan to wiðmetenesse
pære tide, þe us uncuð is, swylc swa pu at swæs-

endum sitte mid pinum ealdormannum 7 pegnum on
 wintertide, 7 sie fýr onæled 7 pin heall gewyr-
 med, 7 hit rine 7 sniwe 7 styrme ute; cume
 spearwa 7 hræðlice pæt hus purhfleo, cume purh
 opre duru in, purh opre ut gewite. Hwæt he on
 pa tid, þe he inne bið, ne bið hrinen mid þy
 storme pæs wintres; ac pæt bið an eagan bryhtm 7
 pæt læste fæc, ac he sona of wintra on pone
 winter eft cymeð. Swa pone pis monna lif to
 medmiclum fæce atyweð; hwæt pær foregange, oððe
 hwæt pær æfterfylige, we ne cunnen.

this present life of man on earth, in comparison
 with that time which is not known to us [seems to
 me] as if you sat at table with your elders and
 thanes in winter, and the fire was kindled and your
 hall warmed and it rains and snows and storms out-
 side [and] a sparrow came and quickly flew through
 that house, coming in through one door and departing
 out the other. Now he, during that time that he is
 inside, is not attacked by the storm of the winter,
 but that [time] is only the twinkling of an eye and
 but a moment of time, and he straightway goes from
 winter back again into winter. Likewise this life
 of man appears briefly for a short period of time;
 what precedes it or what follows it we do not know.

¹⁹ Lee, p. 13.

²⁰ See Mircea Eliade, The Myth of the Eternal Return,
 trans. Willard Trask (Princeton, 1974):

the world in which the presence and the
 work of man are felt -- the mountains that
 he climbs, populated and cultivated regions,
 navigable rivers, cities, sanctuaries --
 all these have [in the "primitive" world-
 view] an extraterrestrial archetype, be it
 conceived as a plan, as a form, or purely
 and simply as a "double" existing on a
 higher cosmic level. (p. 9)

The argument in this thesis, with regard to Old English
 poetry and that of the Junius Manuscript in particular, is
 that earth and Hell are conceived as copies of the "extra-
 terrestrial archetype" (Heaven), although the particulars of
 the imagery used to express this idea are drawn from daily
 life -- which was itself seen as a more or less imperfect
 reflection of a perfect "form" or "plan" which exists in
 Heaven and existed on earth before the Fall, before time.

²¹ Lee, p. 23.

²² The fyrenan hrofe 'fiery roof' (Dan. 238b) of the Chaldean furnace has thus an obvious architectural affinity with Hell. This is in keeping with Nebuchadnezzar's role as a demonic inversion of God, a type of Satan.

²³ Hell is also described as hat 'hot' (Gen. 324a, 331a, 354b, 362a, 439a, 754a; C&S 158a, 192a, 280a, 318a, 417a, 454a, 483b). This is not simple logical inconsistency, however; it reinforces the picture of Hell's total negativity because its inhabitants suffer not only excruciating and unexpected cold but also the extreme and unpleasant heat normally found in the midst of flames.

Thomas D. Hill, in "The Tropological Context of Heat and Cold Imagery in Anglo-Saxon Poetry," NM, 69 (1968), 522 - 32, suggests that such imagery has allegorical implications in Old English poetry: "heat and cold can express moral significance -- the fiery hot love of perfect charity, and the icy cold of unrepentant sinfulness" (p. 523). He cites in support of his thesis Jeremiah 6:7, Ecclesiasticus 3:15, Matthew 24:12, and the writings of Gregory the Great. He further contends that Hell in Old English poems such as Solomon and Saturn and Judgment Day I "is murderously cold and fixed in winter because the warmth of charity is wholly absent there" (p. 530).

In view of the references to Hell's heat which we have noted, Mr. Hill's thesis, whether or not it can be substantiated from the poems to which he refers, is clearly untenable with regard to Genesis and Christ and Satan. There is no consistent identification of Hell with either cold or heat alone in these poems. Rather the concern of the poets seems to be to make Hell as physically unpleasant as possible. To this end, both extreme heat and cold are attributed to Hell, thereby making it twice as bad as it would be if it were only one or the other. Likewise, we would hardly identify the extreme heat of Nebuchadnezzar's furnace with "the fiery hot love of perfect charity."

²⁴ The last two read win-sele in the MS. but were emended by both Clubb and Krapp to read wind-sele 'wind-hall'. Clubb suggests the possibility of retaining win-sele 'wine-hall' (cf. Bwf. 695a, 771b, 2456a), a place of conviviality, with an ironic reading. See also R.E. Finnegan, "Two Notes on MS Junius Christ and Satan, lines 19 - 20, lines 319 and 384," PQ, 49 (1970), 559 - 61. In any case, that the sele 'hall' is windy is clear from 135b.

²⁵ Hume, p. 68.

26 Hume, p. 67. When we read that in Egypt, after the Final Plague, wop wæs wide, worulddreama lyt 'lamentation was widespread, world-joys few' (Ex. 42, cf. 35b - 36a), we are invited to see Egypt as an anti-hall along the lines of Hell. This fits well with the typological similarity between Egypt (in the Exodus) and Hell (in the Harrowing), and earlier discussion of lines 45b - 48 and 570 - 71a.

Lines 200 - 02 function in a similar fashion:

Forpon wæs in wicum wop up ahafen,
atol æfenleoð, egesan stodon,
. . . pa se woma cwom.

Therefore lamentation, an evil even-song, was raised high in the camp, terror arose, . . . when the tumult came.

These lines appear to identify the position of the Israelites with that of the devils in Hell as Christ arrives for the Harrowing (cf. C&S 378b - 80a, 383 - 84). The deflation of the expectation which this establishes (assisted by the beasts of battle who gather around the wrong troop, 162 - 67) is central to the dramatic effect of Exodus, which in turn emphasizes the miraculous nature of the Redemption of Israel.

27 Lee, p. 23.

28 Alan K. Brown, "Neorxnawang," NM, 74 (1973), suggests that the problematic word neorxnawang could mean 'green meadow.' "The first element could represent a highly artificial coinage out of OE groene . . . by reverse spelling and . . . the gyfu rune X" (p. 610). If this is the case, it is possible to see in all the references to "green fields or meadows" (Gen. 511, 1137, 1657 - 58) at least "an implicit reference to Paradise" (pp. 619 - 20), the normal gloss for neorxnawang.

Compare, for example, the description of the lands by the Jordan in Genesis 1921b - 24a, with that of Paradise in The Phoenix 62b - 65a:

pær lagustreamas,
wundrum wrætlice, wyllan onspringað
fægrum flodwylmum. Foldan leccap
wæter wynsumu

there lake-streams, wondrously splendid,
fair flood-whelms [of water] spring from
wells. Beautiful waters irrigate the
earth.

29 The walls which are set up in the Red Sea to allow the passage of the Israelites are described as if they are the walls of a protecting hall:

" . . . nu se agend up arærde
 reade streamas in randgebeorh.
 Syndon þa foreweallas fægre gesteppe,
 wrætlicu wægfaru, oð wolcna hrof."
 (Ex. 295 - 98)

" . . . now the owner [of the world, God] raised up the red streams in a shield-fortress. The fore-walls were well raised, an adorned way-passage, [up] to the roof of peoples."

See also lines 571b - 72: ðe hie hit frecne geneðdon, /
weras under wætera hrofas. Gesawon hie pær weallas standan
 'they had bravely ventured it, the men under the watery roofs. They saw walls standing there [holding back the water].' Note particularly the resemblance of wolcna hrofes 'roof of clouds' to the formulaic expressions which are used elsewhere of the sky, cf. Gen. 153b, 956a; Cædmon's Hymn, 6a.

Along the same lines, note also how the ark which protects Noah and his family is described as a hall: sund-reced 'sea-hall' (Gen. 1335b, cf. Bwf. 68a), geofonhusa mæst 'greatest ocean-house' (Gen. 1321a), merehus 'sea-house' (1364a), hof seleste 'best of halls' (Gen. 1393b, cf. Bwf. 146a, 285b), holmærna mæst 'greatest of sea-halls' (Gen. 1422b, cf. Bwf. 78a), hean hofe 'high hall' (Gen. 1489a), and just plain hof 'hall' (Gen. 1316b, 1401a) and hus 'house' (Gen. 1442b). It is furthermore a hall containing maðmhorda mæst 'greatest of treasure hoards' (Ex. 368a).

30 Jeffrey Helterman, Beowulf: the Archetype enters History, "ELH", 35 (1968), 6.

31 Hume, p. 64.

32 See James L. Baird, "Grendel the Exile," NM, 67 (1966), 375 - 81.

33 See, for example, Bwf. 1724b - 27.

34 under heahrodore 'under the high-skies' (Gen. 151a) suggests the high ceiling of an Anglo-Saxon hall under which treasure was dispensed, particularly so if we keep in mind the kenning (folca hrofes, 'roof of peoples') already discussed.

35 Among Lucifer's boasts is one that he, not God, is swegles brytan 'ruler of the skies' (C&S 23b, 123b). Brytta, a noun meaning ruler, comes from the verb bryttian 'to distribute.' To call a ruler a brytta 'distributor' is therefore an instance of metonymy in that a ruler receives his descriptive epithet from one of his most important functions, the distribution of treasure to his followers.

36 The meaning of this line has been the subject of much scholarly controversy, but some such meaning as this seems acceptable. See Bright, MLN, 18 (1903), 129 - 31; Clubb, pp. 50 - 51; Krapp, p. 232; and Finnegan, PQ, 49 (1970), 558 - 59.

37 In C&S 450b - 54, the evil torments of Hell are described ironically as æhte 'possessions,' normally a positive word used to describe material wealth (Gen. 1767b, 1873b, 1894a, etc.; Ex. 11b; Dan. 34b, 43b, 67a, etc.). Here they are possessions sealde 'granted' (451b) to Satan by God as a gift or reward entirely the reverse of what Satan hoped and longed for.

38 These may be seen as the positive counterparts of the curses given by God to Adam, Eve, the serpent, Cain, Ham, etc. See Chapter II.

39 With similar irony, Satan (C&S 159b) and Grendel (Bwf. 750b) are each termed firena hyrde 'guardian of sins' rather than, for example, sinces hyrde 'guardian of treasure,' as Melchizedech, a priest of God, is called in Gen. 2101b, or rices hyrde 'guardian of the kingdom,' which is applied to Moses in Ex. 256b.

Chapter V: Imagery of Exile

¹ Michael D. Cherniss, Ingeld and Christ (The Hague and Paris, 1972), p. 108.

² Cherniss, p. 100.

³ This phrase is Cherniss' subtitle to his chapter on exile, (p. 103).

⁴ Edward B. Irving, Jr., "Image and Meaning in the Elegies," in Old English Poetry, ed. Robert Creed (Providence, R.I., 1967), p. 157. See the preceding chapter for the social foundations of the metaphor of exile.

⁵ The concept of exile in relation to Old English poetry is discussed thoroughly in Cherniss, Chp. V, pp. 103 - 19. See also S.B. Greenfield, "The Theme of Spiritual Exile in Christ I," PQ, 32 (1953), 321 - 28; Leonard H. Frey, "Exile and Elegy in Anglo-Saxon Christian Poetry," JEGP, 62 (1963), 293 - 302; and Frank Bessai, "Comitatus and Exile in Old English Poetry," Culture, 25 (1964), 130 - 44. Perhaps the single most important study of this subject is S.B. Greenfield, "The Formulaic Expression of the Theme of Exile in Anglo-Saxon Poetry," Speculum, 30 (1955), 200 - 06; rpt. in Essential Articles: Old English Poetry, ed. J.B. Bessinger and S.J. Kahrl (Hamdon, Conn., 1968), pp. 352 - 62.

⁶ The City of God, trans. Henry Bettenson, ed. David Knowles (Harmondsworth, Middlesex, 1972), xiv, 28, p. 593.

⁷ The City of God, XV, 1, p. 596.

⁸ See also the Ecclesiastical History, III, 4, 13, 19; IV, 3; V, 9, 10, for accounts of other such voluntary exiles.

⁹ "The Interpretation of The Seafarer," in The Early Cultures of North-West Europe, ed. Cyril Fox and Bruce Dickins (Cambridge, 1950), pp. 261 - 72; rpt. in Old English Literature, ed. M. Stevens and J. Mandel (Lincoln,

Nebr., 1968), pp. 198 - 211. P.L. Henry, The Early English and Celtic Lyric (London, 1966) echoes Whitelock's interpretation. See especially pp. 36 - 37. Both Whitelock (pp. 200 - 01; 205 - 11) and Henry (pp. 29 - 39) discuss the concept of peregrinatio pro amore dei.

¹⁰ For the preceding I am indebted to Stanley Greenfield's essay, "The Formulaic Expression . . ." See note 5.

¹¹ See B.K. Martin, "Aspects of Winter in Latin and Old English Poetry," JEGP, 68 (1969), 379. See also the Finn episode in Beowulf, esp. ll. 1125 ff. Hengest's predicament is heightened by the fact that winter prevents him from leaving Finn's tun.

¹² Paul Beekman Taylor, "Themes of Death in Beowulf," in Old English Poetry, ed. Robert Creed (Providence, 1967), p. 250. See also Greenfield, "Formulaic Expression . . .," p. 359.

¹³ Cf. C&S 172 - 74a.

¹⁴ Satan's traditional alter-ego, the serpent, does not escape punishment. Like Adam and Eve, he is exiled:

"Pu scealt wideferhð werig þinum
breostum bearm tredan bradre eorðan,
faran feðeleas, penden þe feorh wunað,
gast on innan." (Gen. 906 - 09a)

"You must miserably tread the bosom of the broad earth on a long journey, on your breast, travel without feet, as long as life remains within you, a soul inside you."

Here diction of deprivation is applied to the serpent's lack of feet (908a). There is also an indication of his state of mind: werig 'miserable' (906b), and the idea of movement: faran 'travel' (908a), tredan 'tread' (907a).

¹⁵ Bennett A. Brockman, "'Heroic' and 'Christian' in Genesis A: The Evidence of the Cain and Abel Episode," MLQ, 35 (1974), 124. Brockman has drawn attention to the differences between the biblical and Old English portrayals of Cain and has pointed out that the Genesis-poet seems very little interested in the allegorical/typological aspects of Cain and his slaying of Abel (cf. Augustine, The City of God, XV, 7 - 8), focussing instead on "Cain the honorless exile,

the social at least as much as the spiritual exile" (117), in keeping with his "primarily secular, social orientation to the entire account" (125).

16 In his brief reference to Cain's slaying of Abel, the Beowulf-poet also makes use of exilic diction: Ne gefeah he pære fæhðe, ac he hine feor forwræc 'He [Cain] received no joy as a result of that feud, but he [God] exiled him far away' (Bwf. 109). It is because he is a descendent of Cain's that Grendel behaves in such a murderous fashion and accordingly, like his ancestor, is forscrifen 'proscribed, i.e. sentenced to exile' (Bwf. 106b).

17 Note again the similarity of spelling and sound between wrace 'punishment, vengeance' and wræce 'exile,' which enables the former to reinforce the sense of the latter to a listener's ear or a reader's eye. Cf. Gen. 58b and 39b discussed above.

18 Besides the passages discussed above, exilic diction is used in a similar way to describe Ham (Gen. 1595a), the people of Babel (Gen. 1692b - 93a, cf. Dan. 301a), the Elamites defeated and sent into retreat by Abraham (Gen. 2080b - 82a), the widows of the Sodomite warriors defeated by the Elamites (Gen. 2133a), and Hagar (Gen. 2264b - 79).

19 The only suggestion of exile in the episode of Noah and the Flood is the use of earfoðsið 'miserable-journey' (Gen. 1476a) to describe his passage over the waters. The similarity of this word to bealusiðe, and inasmuch as he is a homeless seafarer after all, suggest that Noah, like the seafarer and the Israelites, is a peregrinus.

20 This passage is reminiscent of The Seafarer 65b - 67 and 80b - 90. Compare also The Seafarer, 68 - 71, with Exodus, 538b - 40a.

21 Edward B. Irving, Jr., ed. The Old English Exodus (Hamdon, Conn., 1970), p. 31.

22 This figurative reading is supported by other elements of diction having to do with the journey of the Israelites.

The first of these is the word lifweg 'life-way' (Ex. 104b) which the pillars leading the Israelites across the desert measure out for them. Gollancz suggests that the

Exodus-poet in using this word might have in mind the Patristic notion that "the journey of the children of Israel from Egypt was some such allegory of 'the way of life'" (p. lxxxiii). As it is used in its only other occurrence in the corpus of Old English poetry (Guthlac, 768a) it clearly refers to metaphorical liðe 'sweet, gracious' lifeways leohte geræhte 'adorned with light' (768b) which it is hoped God will continue to make available to his devoted followers. Krapp (p. 202) and Blackburn (p. 40) take the word more literally as referring only to the path away from the pursuing Egyptians which will save the Israelites from death, but the poem's latest editor, Edward Irving, leans towards Gollancz, saying that it "is probable that this word contains a reference to some sort of allegory of spiritual progress" (p. 75).

If we agree with Krapp and Blackburn, the case is still complicated by the description of the path between the parted waters of the Red Sea as a grenne grund 'green ground' (312a). This is curious for two reasons. First, the poet has already referred to the path as being of sand (291a) which one would hardly expect to be green, and as a haswe herestræta 'grey army-street' (284a). Secondly, the Christ and Satan-poet refers to the path to Heaven which Christ will prepare for his loyal retainers as a grene stræte 'green street' (C&S 286b). The similarity of this phrase to that used to describe the path through the parted waters is striking. Note as well that in Exodus Moses separates the waters by striking them with a grene tacen 'green token' (281a), apparently a supernatural green rod, which is entirely absent in the biblical account (Ex. 14: 21). If we add to this the references to the greenness of Paradise (cf. Gen. 1137, and note 28 in Chapter IV), there seems to be some ground for speculating that the poet has allegorical intent in using such a phrase which appears otherwise contradictory and unrealistic. See Hugh T. Keenan, "Exodus 312: 'the Green Street of Paradise,'" NM, 71 (1970), 455 - 60; and "Exodus 312a: Further Notes on the Eschatological 'Green Ground,'" NM, 74 (1973), 217 - 19; and A.N. Doane, "'The Green Street of Paradise': A Note on Lexis and Meaning in Old English Poetry," NM, 74 (1973), 456 - 65.

23 Shepherd, p. 30.

24 The final 1235 lines of Genesis are concerned exclusively with his exploits (and to a much lesser degree with those of his kinsman Lot), as are the central 69 lines of Exodus. Additionally he is referred to in Ex. 18b and 273b, and Daniel 193b and 313a, to make the point that the Israelites are his descendents and their God, his God. In

Christ and Satan, 458 - 59, the halige witigan 'holy prophets' whom Christ releases from Hell and leads up to Heaven at the Harrowing are identified as Abrahames cynn 'Abraham's tribe' (459b).

Chapter VI: Conclusion

¹ Jean I. Young, "Two Notes . . .," p. 204, regards the Junius Manuscript as having been "built up on a consistent principle." For suggestions of thematic unity see Alvin Lee, pp. 16, 60, and Geoffrey Shepherd, p. 25. James R. Hall's unpublished dissertation, "The Old English Book of Salvation History" (University of Notre Dame, 1973), suggests that the poems of the Junius Manuscript constitute a complete salvation-history and is therefore unified in its overall conception. This is the only full-length study addressing itself to the question of unity in the Junius Manuscript of which I am aware.

Bibliography

Abbreviations

<u>ASE:</u>	<u>Anglo-Saxon England</u>
<u>ELH:</u>	<u>Journal of English Literary History</u>
<u>ES:</u>	<u>English Studies</u>
<u>JEGP:</u>	<u>Journal of English and Germanic Philology</u>
<u>MLN:</u>	<u>Modern Language Notes</u>
<u>MLQ:</u>	<u>Modern Language Quarterly</u>
<u>MP:</u>	<u>Modern Philology</u>
<u>Neophil.:</u>	<u>Neophilologus</u>
<u>NM:</u>	<u>Neophilologische Mitteilungen</u>
<u>PLL:</u>	<u>Papers on Language and Literature</u>
<u>PMLA:</u>	<u>Publications of the Modern Language Association</u>
<u>PQ:</u>	<u>Philological Quarterly</u>
<u>RES:</u>	<u>Review of English Studies</u>
<u>SP:</u>	<u>Studies in Philology</u>
 n.s.:	 new series

Primary Sources

Blackburn, F.A., ed. Exodus and Daniel: Two Old English Poems Preserved in MS. Junius 11 in the Bodleian Library of the University of Oxford, England. Boston: D. C. Heath, 1907.

Clubb, Merrel Dare, ed. Christ and Satan: An Old English Poem. 1925; Hamdon, Conn.: Archon Books, 1972.

- Farrell, R. T., ed. Daniel and Azarias. London: Methuen and Co., 1974.
- Gollancz, Sir Israel, ed. The Cædmon Manuscript of Anglo-Saxon Biblical Poetry. Facsimile edition. 1927; rpt. University Microfilms, 1970.
- Irving, Edward B., Jr., ed. The Old English Exodus. 1953; rpt. Hamdon, Conn.: Archon Books, 1970.
- Krapp, George Philip, ed. The Junius Manuscript. The Anglo-Saxon Poetic Records. Ed. G. P. Krapp, and E. V. K. Dobbie. 6 vols. New York: Columbia University Press, 1931 - 52.
- Timmer, B. J., ed. The Later Genesis: Edited from MS. Junius 11. Oxford: Scrivener Press, 1948.
- Vickrey, J. F., Jr., ed. Genesis B: A New Analysis and Edition. Diss. University of Indiana, 1960.
- Wells, David Marsden, ed. and trans. "A Critical Edition of the Old English Genesis A with a Translation." Diss. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 1969.

Secondary Sources

- Augustine. On Christian Doctrine. Trans. D. W. Robertson, Jr. New York and Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill, 1958.
- Augustine. The City of God. Ed. David Knowles. Trans. Henry Bettenson. Hammondsworth, Middlesex: Penguin, 1972.
- Baird, Joseph L. "Grendel the Exile." NM, 67 (1966), 375 - 381.
- Bambas, Rudolph C. "Another View of the Old English Wife's Lament." Old English Literature: Twenty-two Analytical Essays. Ed. M. Stevens, and J. Mandel. Lincoln, Nebr.: University of Nebraska Press, 1968.
- Bede. The Old English Version of Bede's Ecclesiastical History of the English People. Trans. and ed. Thomas Miller. Early English Text Society, #95. London: N Trubner & Co., 1890.
- Bentinck Smith, M. "Old English Christian Poetry." The Cambridge History of English Literature. Ed. A. W.

- Ward, and A. R. Waller. 13 vols. Cambridge: at the University Press, 1908.
- Bessai, Frank. "Comitatus and Exile in Old English Poetry." Culture, 25 (1964), 130 - 44.
- Blair, Peter Hunter. An Introduction to Anglo-Saxon England. Cambridge: at the University Press, 1956.
- Bonjour, Adrien. "Beowulf and the Beasts of Battle." PMLA, 72 (1957), 563 - 73.
- Britton, G. C. "Repetition and Contrast in the Old English Later Genesis." Neophil., 58 (1974), 66 - 73.
- Bright, James W. "Jottings on the Cædmonian Christ and Satan." MLN, 18 (1903), 129 - 31.
- . "The Relation of the Cædmonian Exodus to the Liturgy." MLN, 27 (1912), 97 - 103.
- Brockman, Bennett A. "'Heroic' and 'Christian' in Genesis A: The Evidence of the Cain and Abel Episode." MLQ, 35 (1974), 115 - 28.
- Brown, Alan K. "Neorxnawang." NM, 74 (1973), 610 - 23.
- Cherniss, Michael D. Ingeld and Christ: Heroic Concepts and Values in Old English Christian Poetry. The Hague Paris: Mouton, 1972.
- Creed, Robert P. "The Art of the Singer: Three Old English Tellings of the Offering of Isaac." Old English Poetry: Fifteen Essays. Ed. R. P. Creed, Providence, R. I.: Brown University Press, 1967.
- Cross, James E., and Tucker, Suzie I. "Allegorical Tradition and the Old English Exodus." Neophil., 44 (1960), 122 - 27.
- Daniélou, Jean. From Shadows to Reality: Studies in the Biblical Typology of the Fathers. Trans. Dom Wulstan Hibberd. London: Burns and Oates, 1960.
- Doane, A. N. "'The Green Street of Paradise': A Note on Lexis and Meaning in Old English Poetry." NM, 74 (1973), 456 - 65.
- Earl, James W. "Christian Tradition in the Old English Exodus." NM, 71 (1970), 541 - 70.

- Ehrhart, Margaret J. "Tempter as Teacher: Some Observations on the Vocabulary of the Old English Genesis B." Neophil., 59 (1975), 433 - 46.
- Eliade, Mircea. The Myth of the Eternal Return or, Cosmos and History. Trans. Willard R. Trask. Bollingen Series XLVI. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press, 1974.
- . Patterns in Comparative Religion. Trans. Rosemary Sheed. New York: Meridian, 1963.
- Evans, J. M. "Genesis B and Its Background." RES, n.s., 14 (1963), 1 - 16, 113 - 23.
- Farrell, Robert T. "Eight Notes on Old English Exodus." NM, 67 (1966), 364 - 75.
- . "A Reading of the OE Exodus." RES, n.s., 20 (1969), 401 - 17.
- . "The Structure of Old English Daniel." NM, 69 (1968), 533 - 59.
- . "The Unity of Old English Daniel." RES, n.s., 18 (1967), 117 - 35.
- Finnegan, Robert Emmett. "Christ and Satan: Structure and Theme." Classica et Mediævalia, 30 (1974), 490 - 551.
- . "Two Notes on MS. Junius Christ and Satan, lines 19 - 20, lines 319 and 384." PQ, 49 (1970), 558 - 61.
- Frey, L. H. "Exile and Elegy in Anglo-Saxon Christian Poetry." JEGP, 62 (1963), 293 - 302.
- Greene, Richard L. "A Rearrangement of Christ and Satan." MLN, 43 (1928), 108 - 110.
- Greenfield, Stanley B. A Critical History of Old English Literature. New York: New York University Press, 1965.
- . "The Formulaic Expression of the Theme of 'Exile' in Anglo-Saxon Poetry." Essential Articles: Old English Poetry. Eds. J. B. Bessinger and S. J. Kahrl. Hamdon, Conn.: Archon Books, 1968.
- . "The Theme of Spiritual Exile in Christ I." PQ, 32 (1953), 321 - 28.

- Grose, M. W., and McKenna, D. Old English Literature. London: Evans Brothers, 1973.
- Hall, J. R. "Geongordom and Hyldo in Genesis B: Serving the Lord for the Lord's Favor." PLL, 11 (1975), 302 - 07.
- . "The Old English Book of Salvation History: Three Studies on the Unity of MS. Junius 11." Diss. University of Notre Dame, 1973.
- Hardison, O. B., Jr. Christian Rite and Christian Drama in the Middle Ages: Essays in the Origin and Early History of Modern Drama. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 1965.
- Helterman, Jeffrey. "Beowulf: The Archetype enters History." ELH, 35 (1968), 1 - 20.
- Henry, P. L. The Early English and Celtic Lyric. London: George Allen and Unwin, 1966.
- Hill, Thomas D. "Apocryphal Cosmography and the 'Stream uton Sæ': A Note on Christ and Satan, Lines 4 - 12." PQ, 48 (1969), 550 - 54.
- . "The Tropological Context of Heat and Cold Imagery in Anglo-Saxon Poetry." NM, 69 (1968), 522 - 32.
- Hodgkin, R. H. A History of the Anglo-Saxons. 3rd ed. 2 vols. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1952.
- Hulme, W. H. "The Old English Gospel of Nicodemus," PMLA, 13 (1898), 457 - 541, and MP, 1 (1903 - 04), 579 - 614.
- Hume, Kathryn. "The Concept of the Hall in Old English Poetry." ASE, 3 (1974), 63 - 74.
- Huppé, Bernard F. Doctrine and Poetry: Augustine's Influence on Old English Poetry. New York: State University of New York, 1959.
- , and Robertson, D. W., Jr. Fruyt and Chaf: Studies in Chaucer's Allegories. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press, 1963.
- Irving, Edward B., Jr. "Image and Meaning in the Elegies." Old English Poetry: Fifteen Essays. Ed. R. P. Creed. Providence, R. I.: Brown University Press, 1967.

- Isaacs, Neil D. Structural Principles in Old English Poetry. Knoxville, Tenn.: University of Tennessee Press, 1968.
- Iwasaki, Soji. "The Changing Ideas of Time and the Timeless in English Morality Plays." Studies in English Literature, 46 (1969), 1 - 17.
- Keenan, Hugh T. "Exodus 312: the Green Street of Paradise." NM, 71 (1971), 455 - 60.
- . "Exodus 312a": Further Notes on the Eschatological 'Green Ground'." NM, 74 (1973), 217 - 19.
- Kendrick, T. D. Late Saxon and Viking Art. London: Methuen, 1949.
- Kennedy, Charles W. The Cædmon Poems Translated into English Prose. 1916; rpt. Gloucester, Mass.: Peter Smith, 1965.
- Ker, N. R. Catalogue of Manuscripts Containing Anglo-Saxon. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1957.
- Klaeber, Fr., ed. Beowulf and the Fight at Finnsburg. 3rd ed. Boston: D. C. Heath, 1950.
- Kolve, V. A. The Play Called Corpus Christi. Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press, 1966.
- Lee, Alvin A. The Guest-Hall of Eden: Four Essays on the Design of Old English Poetry. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 1972.
- Lucas, Peter J. "The Cloud in the Interpretation of the Old English Exodus." ES, 51 (1970), 297 - 311.
- Macauley, Peter Stuart. "The Play of the Harrowing of Hell as a Climax in the English Mystery Cycles." Studia Germanica Gandensia, 8 (1966), 115 - 34.
- Magoun, F. P., Jr. "The Theme of the Beasts of Battle in Anglo-Saxon Poetry." NM, 56 (1955), 81 - 90.
- Mandel, Jerome. "Contrast in Old English Poetry." Chaucer Review, 6 (1971), 1 - 13.
- Martin, B. K. "Aspects of Winter in Latin and Old English Poetry." JEGP, 68 (1969), 375 - 90.

- Morrell, Minnie Cate. A Manual of Old English Biblical Materials. Knoxville, Tenn.: University of Tennessee Press, 1965.
- Page, R. I. Life in Anglo-Saxon England. London: B. T. Batsford, 1970.
- Pope, John C., ed. Seven Old English Poems. Indianapolis and New York: Bobbs-Merrill, 1966.
- Renoir, Alain. "The Self-Deception of Temptation: Boethian Psychology in Genesis B." Old English Poetry: Fifteen Essays. Ed. R. P. Creed. Providence, R. I.: Brown University Press, 1967.
- Robinson, Fred C. "Notes on the Old English Exodus." Anglia, 80 (1962), 363 - 78.
- Rollinson, Philip. "Some Kinds of Meaning in Old English Poetry." Annuaire Mediævale, 11 (1970), 5 - 21.
- Schücking, Levin L. "The Ideal of Kingship in Beowulf." An Anthology of Beowulf Criticism. Ed. L. E. Nicholson. Notre Dame, Ind.: University of Notre Dame Press, 1963.
- Shepherd, Geoffrey. "Scriptural Poetry." Continuations and Beginnings: Studies in Old English Literature. Ed. E. G. Stanley. London: Nelson, 1966.
- Shippey, T. A. Old English Verse. London: Hutchinson University Library, 1972.
- Solo, Harry Jay. "The Twice-Told Tale. A Reconsideration of the Syntax and Style of the Old English Daniel, 245 - 429." PLL, 9 (1973), 347 - 64.
- Stenton, F. M. Anglo-Saxon England. 2nd ed. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1947.
- Stevens, Martin. "The Narrator of The Wife's Lament." NM, 69 (1968), 72 - 90.
- . "The Theatre of the World: A Study in Medieval Dramatic Form." Chaucer Review, 7 (1973), 234 - 49.
- Tacitus. Dialogus, Agricola, Germania. Ed. and trans. W. Petersen. Loeb's Classical Library. London: William Heinemann, 1914.
- Taylor, Jerome. "The Dramatic Structure of the Middle English Corpus Christi, or Cycle, Plays." Literature

and Society. Ed. Bernice Slote. Lincoln Nebr.:
University of Nebraska Press, 1964.

Taylor, Paul Beekman. "Themes of Death in Beowulf." Old English Poetry: Fifteen Essays. Ed. R. P. Creed.
Providence, R. I.: Brown University Press, 1967.

Tolkien, J. R. R. "Beowulf: The Monsters and the Critics."
The Beowulf Poet: A Collection of Critical Essays. Ed.
Donald K. Fry. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall,
1968.

Utley, Francis Lee. "The Flood Narrative in the Junius
Manuscript and in Baltic Literature." Studies in Old
English Literature in Honor of Arthur G. Brodeur. Ed.
S. B. Greenfield. Eugene, Ore.: University of Oregon
Press, 1963.

Vickrey, J. F. "The 'Micel Wundor' of Genesis B." SP, 68
(1971), 245 - 54.

-----". "The Vision of Eve in Genesis B." Speculum, 44
(1969), 86 - 102.

Wardale, E. E. Chapters on Old English Literature. London:
Kegan Paul, Trench, Trubner & Co., 1935.

Whitelock, Dorothy. The Beginnings of English Society. 2nd
ed. The Pelican History of England, vol. 2. Harmonds-
worth, Middlesex: Penguin, 1974.

-----". "The Interpretation of The Seafarer." Old
English Literature: Twenty-two Analytical Essays. Ed.
M. Stevens, and J. Mandel. Lincoln, Nebr.: University
of Nebraska Press, 1968.

Wilson, James H. Christian Theology and Old English Poetry.
Paris and The Hague: Mouton, 1974.

Woolf, Rosemary E. "The Devil in Old English Poetry." RES,
n.s., 4 (1953), 1 - 12.

-----". "The Effect of Typology on the English Mediaeval
Plays of Abraham and Isaac." Speculum, 32 (1957), 805 -
25.

-----". "The Fall of Man in Genesis B and The Mystère d'
Adam." Studies in Old English Literature in Honor of
Arthur G. Brodeur. Ed. S. B. Greenfield. Eugene, Ore.:
University of Oregon Press, 1963.

Wormald, Francis. English Drawings of the Tenth and Eleventh Centuries. London: Faber and Faber, 1952.

Wrenn, C. L., ed. Beowulf. 3rd ed. Revised by W. F. Bolton. London: Harrap, 1973.

------. A Study of Old English Literature. New York: Norton, 1967.

Young, M. James. "The Unity of the English Mystery Cycles." Quarterly Journal of Speech, 58 (1972), 327 - 37.

Young, Jean I. "Two Notes on the Later Genesis." The Anglo-Saxons: Studies in Some Aspects of Their History and Culture Presented to Bruce Dickins. Ed. Peter Clemoes. London: Bowes and Bowes, 1959.

B30184